

INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND

EUROPEAN DEPARTMENT

DEPARTMENTAL PAPER

European Labor Markets and the COVID-19 Pandemic

Fallout and the Path Ahead

Prepared by Sakai Ando, Ravi Balakrishnan, Bertrand Gruss,
Jean-Jacques Hallaert, La-Bhus Fah Jirasavetakul,
Koralai Kirabaeva, Nir Klein, Ana Lariau, Lucy Qian Liu,
Davide Malacrino, Haonan Qu, and Alexandra Solovyeva

Cataloging-in-Publication Data
IMF Library

Names: Ando, Sakai, author. | Balakrishnan, Ravi, author. | Gruss, Bertrand, author. | Hallaert, Jean-Jacques, author. | Jirasavetakul, La-Bhus Fah, 1984-, author. | Kirabaeva, Koralai, author. | Klein, Nir, author. | Lariau, Ana, author. | Liu, Lucy Qian, author. | Malacrino, Davide, author. | Qu, Haonan, author. | Solovyeva, Alexandra, author. International Monetary Fund. European Department, issuing body. | International Monetary Fund, publisher.

Title: European labor markets and the Covid-19 pandemic: fallout and the path ahead / prepared by Sakai Ando, Ravi Balakrishnan, Bertrand Gruss, Jean-Jacques Hallaert, La-Bhus Fah Jirasavetakul, Koralai Kirabaeva, Nir Klein, Ana Lariau, Lucy Qian Liu, Davide Malacrino, Haonan Qu, and Alexandra Solovyeva.

Other titles: Fallout and the path ahead | Departmental papers (International Monetary Fund).

Description: Washington, DC : International Monetary Fund, 2022. | March 2022. | DP/2022/004 | Departmental paper series. | Includes bibliographical references.

Identifiers: ISBN 9798400200960 (paper)

Subjects: LCSH: Labor market -- European Union countries. | European Union countries -- Economic conditions. | COVID-19 (Disease).

Classification: LCC HD5764.A6 A53 2022

Acknowledgments

This departmental paper was led by Ravi Balakrishnan and Nir Klein, under the overall guidance of Jörg Decressin. It has benefited immensely from review and comments by many IMF staff colleagues in the European, Fiscal Affairs, Strategy Policy and Review, and Research Departments. We gained many insights from participants at numerous presentations of this work, including at the European Commission, the European Central Bank, and several national authorities in the context of Article IV Consultations. Estefania Cohn Bech, Chun Jin, and Christine Rubio provided invaluable help with data management and document production. Thanks are also due to Houda Berrada for leading the editorial process.

The Departmental Paper Series presents research by IMF staff on issues of broad regional or cross-country interest. The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of the IMF, its Executive Board, or IMF management.

Publication orders may be placed online or through the mail:

International Monetary Fund, Publication Services

P.O. Box 92780, Washington, DC 20090, U.S.A.

T. +(1) 202.623.7430

publications@IMF.org

IMFbookstore.org

elibrary.IMF.org

Contents

Executive Summary	v
Glossary	vii
1. Introduction and Conclusions	1
2. Pre-Pandemic Landscape	4
3. Pandemic Impact and Recovery So Far	6
A. Pandemic's Macroeconomic Impact	6
B. Pandemic's Impact across Sectors and Population Groups	12
C. Recovery So Far	13
4. Drivers of Cross-Country Variation in Labor Market Outcomes	19
A. Okun's Law Framework	19
B. Forecast Errors and Episodic Factors	21
5. Understanding Sectoral Dynamics and Contributions to Aggregate Labor Market Outcomes	23
A. Sectoral Analysis of Okun's Law and Its Drivers	23
B. Is the COVID-19 Crisis Different?	24
6. The Role of JRS during the Pandemic	25
7. Labor Market Recovery Prospects	32
A. Near-Term Unemployment Prospects: What Does a Macro Okun's Law Approach Suggest?	32
B. Using a Sectoral Approach to Illustrate Potential Reallocation Needs over a Longer Horizon	34
8. Designing Labor Market Policies amid High Uncertainty	38
A. Adjusting Job Retention Schemes	38
B. Facilitating Reallocation	40
C. Minimizing Workforce Scars and Protecting the Vulnerable	42
9. Concluding Considerations	44
Annex 1. Beveridge Curve during the COVID-19 Pandemic	45
Annex 2. Labor Reallocation: What Can We Learn from Past Experience?	49
Annex 3. Take-Up of Job Retention Schemes and Their Fiscal Cost	53
Annex 4. Experience from Past Pandemics	57
Technical Appendix 1. Sectoral Decomposition Analysis and Selected Country-Specific Results	59
Technical Appendix 2. Okun's Law Estimation	65
Technical Appendix 3. Sectoral Okun's Law Estimations—Data, Empirical Specifications, and Additional Results	69
Technical Appendix 4. Labor Market Recovery Prospects	72
Technical Appendix 5. Methodology to Assess the COVID-19 Induced Reallocation	76
References	81

BOXES

Box 1. A Comparison of Dynamics in Advanced Europe versus the United States.....	8
Box 2. Taxonomy of Labor Market Policies	11
Box 3. The Pandemic's Impact Across Population Groups	16
Box 4. JRS Use Over Time: Contrasting France with Bulgaria	30
Box 5. Skill and Occupational Mismatches and Unemployment Risk.....	37

FIGURES

Figure 1. Precrisis Trends in the European Labor Market	4
Figure 2. Sectoral Shifts in the European Labor Market, 1999-2019	5
Figure 3. Decomposition of GVA Growth, Total, 2020.....	6
Figure 4. Labor Market Adjustment.....	7
Figure 5. Unemployment Rate and Employment Ratio	7
Figure 6. Transitions Out of Employment and Unemployment to Inactivity	12
Figure 7. Sectoral Gross Value Added and Employment Growth, GFC versus COVID-19 Crisis	13
Figure 8. Adjustments to Workers and Sectoral STWs Take-up During the COVID-19 Crisis.....	14
Figure 9. Real GDP, Hours Per Worker, and Labor Force Participation	15
Figure 10. Labor Shortages and Labor Market Tightness.....	18
Figure 11. Estimation Results: Dynamic Betas	20
Figure 12. Estimation Results: Dynamic Betas	22
Figure 13. Sectoral Okun's Law, Drivers, and Divergence during the COVID-19 Crisis	24
Figure 14. Distribution of JRS Use Across Sectors in Selected Countries	27
Figure 15. Unemployment Rate Forecasts Based on the "Shadow Unemployment" Approach	33
Figure 16. Potential Labor Reallocation by Sector and Occupation in the Long Term	35
Figure 17. Sectoral and Occupational Labor Reallocation: Baseline versus Post-Pandemic.....	36

TABLES

Table 1. Institutional Factors Influencing the Responsiveness of Changes in Unemployment to Changes in Output.....	20
Table 2. JRS in Europe in the Initial Phase of the Crisis	26
Table 3. Short-Time Work Schemes in Selected European Countries.....	28

Executive Summary

In 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic caused by far the largest shock to European economies since World War II. Yet astonishingly, the EU unemployment rate had already declined to a record low by December 2021, and in some countries the labor force participation rate is at a record high. This departmental paper documents that the widespread use of job retention schemes (JRSs) has played an essential role in mitigating the pandemic's impact on labor markets and thereby facilitating the restart of European economies after the initial lockdowns. Nonetheless, it also highlights the daunting labor market challenges in the post-pandemic era, many of which are legacies from pre-pandemic days, including major structural changes that the pandemic has simply accentuated. Unless these are addressed, major job losses relative to a pre-pandemic baseline may well materialize. That said, European labor markets will likely exit the pandemic in much better shape than following previous recessions, providing policymakers a potentially crucial head start in navigating the structural transformations that lie ahead and in making sure nobody gets left behind. This opportunity should not be wasted.

A rapid and forceful policy response at both EU and national levels prevented labor market outcomes being much worse than initially feared. Indeed, the analysis in this paper suggests that the unemployment response to the historic pandemic-induced fall in activity was much more muted than previous economic cycles would have suggested. In addition to other policy support, this largely reflects the widespread use of JRSs that is estimated to have mitigated the rise in the euro area unemployment rate in 2020 by 2½ percentage points, keeping about 4 million workers in their jobs.

More recently, as activity has recovered, labor market conditions have improved remarkably, albeit with a high degree of heterogeneity across countries. This turnaround has been much more rapid than following the global financial crisis (GFC) and European sovereign debt crisis. Nonetheless, concerns about important labor market scarring remain in specific sectors. Contact-intensive services have been disproportionately affected, and workers in these sectors, who tend to be low-skilled, young, with temporary employment arrangements have been hit harder, potentially exacerbating underlying inequality trends. Underemployment also remains elevated in some countries. Importantly and in large part reflecting the unknowns surrounding the pandemic's dynamics and legacies—as the recent emergence of the Omicron variant clearly underscores—the outlook for European labor markets is subject to a high degree of uncertainty, posing significant challenges to policymakers.

Looking ahead, as policy support measures are further phased out and firms adjust their operations to the post-pandemic era, some workers may be laid off, potentially creating limited near-term upward pressures on unemployment rates. Having said that, the recent unwinding of JRSs in some countries (for example, the United Kingdom) has not translated into higher unemployment rates so far, likely reflecting strong labor demand. But to the extent that it also reflects reversible transitions into inactivity and lags given notice periods, some unemployment pressures may merely be delayed.

Thinking longer term, our simulations demonstrate that the pandemic is likely to have further accentuated precrisis trends—including from digitalization, automation, and climate change policies—which were already expected to result in significant reallocation of workers across sectors and occupations. Under a pre-pandemic baseline, about 5 million jobs would have been created over the next 10 years in the largest four euro area countries, with the pandemic reducing this by up to 1½ million.

Taking all this together, there are three key policy areas to focus on: adjusting JRSs, facilitating job-to-job transitions, and minimizing scarring, and protecting the most vulnerable. Specifically:

- *Adjusting JRSs.* As the direct effect of the pandemic and containment measures on economic activity fades, countries should normalize the generosity of short-time work schemes (STWs) to precrisis standards and phase out wage subsidies. Remaining sector-specific support should be determined by health-related indicators and restrictions, with continued eligibility to generous STW conditions and access to wage subsidies increasingly restricted to sectors that are still facing temporary and significant revenue losses. Support through JRSs should become time-limited in all circumstances, with clear maximum duration limits linked to the normalization of the sanitary situation. Once the crisis is over and working hours normalize, countries that intend to maintain STWs as part of their regular policy toolkit should consider adopting experience rating schemes to avoid excessive use in some sectors and associated cross-subsidies.
- *Facilitating job-to-job transitions.* As always, high degrees of labor and product market flexibility and sound macroeconomic policies are essential to facilitating reallocation. Temporary and targeted hiring subsidies and wage-loss insurance could pave the way for job creation given lingering uncertainty among potential employers. Subsidies can also be used to incentivize workers to move to other areas with better labor prospects, addressing a well-documented information failure. Although challenging given previous experiences, policies should seek to address the likely rise in skill-mismatches by ensuring efficient reskilling and upskilling of the workforce, with a strong focus on digital skills.
- *Minimizing scarring and protecting the most vulnerable.* Providing educational and vocational programs to those who may find it difficult to transition to new jobs or enter the labor market would help them meet changing labor market demand. Moreover, the pandemic has shone a bright light on fault lines in social safety nets and social protection institutions. Ensuring that these adequately cover the vulnerable—young, temporary, low-skilled, and gig/independent workers—is critical.

Glossary

AEs	Advanced Economies
ALMP	Active Labor Market Policies
BLS	US Bureau of Labor Statistics
EA4	Euro area largest four economies (France, Germany, Spain, and Italy)
EEs	Emerging Economies
EPL	Employment Protection Legislation
CEDEFOP	European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training
FTE	Full-Time Employment
GFC	Global Financial Crisis
GVA	Gross Value Added
HS	Hiring Subsidies
ICT	Information, Communication and Technology
JRS	Job Retention Scheme
PPP	Paycheck Protection Program
SURE	Support To Mitigate Unemployment Risks in Emergency
STWs	Short-Time Work Schemes
UB	Unemployment Benefits
WSs	Wage Subsidies

This page intentionally left blank