

Seminar discusses ways to assess soundness of financial system to improve surveillance

As countries in East Asia and elsewhere weigh far-reaching measures to strengthen their financial systems, increase transparency, and address systemic issues affecting their



Ingves: Stress testing is important in forward-looking approaches to macroprudential analysis.

financial sectors, a number of critical questions arise. How can the IMF strengthen its surveillance over countries' financial systems in the context of Article IV consultations? What indicators of the soundness and vulnerabilities of financial systems (that is, macroprudential indicators) can be used most effectively to monitor financial system stability? Should the international community establish guidelines and standards for the compilation of such indicators and, in general, aim for harmonization of efforts in this area?

compilation of such indicators and, in general, aim for harmonization of efforts in this area?

To tackle these questions, the IMF organized a consultative meeting on September 10–11 at IMF headquarters. The meeting, hosted by the IMF's Monetary and Exchange Affairs and Statistics Departments, elicited a wide range of private and official opinions from outside the IMF. Participants included high-level experts from central banks and bank supervisory agencies, banking and investment officials from the private sector, academicians, and representatives from other international organizations.

IMF senior staff, management, and Executive Board members also participated.



Carson: National authorities should be encouraged to compile and disseminate macroprudential indicators.

How to strengthen surveillance

In his opening statement, IMF Managing Director Michel Camdessus emphasized the role of IMF surveillance over countries' financial systems and the role of improved monitoring of financial systems by the countries themselves in strengthening the inter-

national financial architecture. The IMF is reinforcing its financial sector surveillance through the Financial Sector Assessment Program, which it is jointly developing with the World Bank. The objective of the program is to recognize potential problems at an early stage and develop responses promptly to avoid costly systemic crises. Identification of a core set of macroprudential indicators will support these efforts.

The main issues were whether it would be possible to define a core set of macroprudential indicators that the IMF could use in its surveillance work and whether macroprudential indicators could be included in the

IMF's Special Data Dissemination Standard or alternative vehicles. In the individual presentations, participants reported their experiences in identifying, collecting, using, and disseminating macroprudential indicators. The private sector participants contributed valuable insights drawn from their work in monitoring systemic financial sector risks as a basis for investment decisions.

Assessing financial sector soundness

There was broad agreement among participants that knowledge in the area of macroprudential indicators is still limited. In particular, there is no consensus yet on a model for determining the vulnerability of a financial system or on a set of universally accepted macroprudential indicators. Using a single composite indicator was considered simplistic and even potentially misleading. Furthermore, analyses of financial sector vulnerability cannot rely on quantitative indicators alone. Qualitative information on institutional circumstances and informed judgments are also essential.

The meeting highlighted several important considerations that national authorities should bear in mind when monitoring and assessing financial sector vulnerabilities. These include the relative importance of macroeconomic variables and aggregated macroprudential data as well as the role of cyclical factors in interpreting changes in macroprudential conditions. Participants also emphasized that financial institutions tend to exhibit herding behavior based on bail-out expectations and tend to underestimate the likelihood of shocks.

Selecting and measuring indicators

On specific issues related to the selection and measurement of macroprudential indicators, participants broadly agreed on the need to improve the quality of accounting practices in many countries, assess non-bank financial institutions and the health of the corporate sector, address the limitations of aggregating microprudential information to obtain macroprudential indicators, and develop benchmarks and norms for the indicators. In light of the complex questions raised about defining the scope of the work on macroprudential indicators and ascertaining the technical feasibility of compiling them, the group supported undertaking a survey of national supervisors, statistical authorities, and data users.

In his summary, Stefan Ingves, Director of the Monetary and Exchange Affairs Department, noted that macroprudential analysis requires well-developed linkages between theory and practice, between supervi-

sors responsible for individual institutions and central banks responsible for systemic stability, and between a wide variety of backward- and forward-looking indicators for financial sector health. He emphasized the importance of stress testing in forward-looking approaches to macroprudential analysis. He also underscored the need for better indicators of asset price booms and cycles in order to understand their role in causing financial distress, the need for improved availability of data on corporate and household indebtedness and balance sheets, and the need for a better understanding of contagion issues.

Carol Carson, Director of the Statistics Department, indicated in her summing up that, given the substantial work that would be required to craft a core set of macroprudential indicators, it was premature to include the indicators within the Special Data Dissemination Standard. In light of a consensus that

the public needs better access to information on financial sector soundness, Carson noted that consideration should be given to creating incentives for national authorities to compile and disseminate macroprudential indicators. Private sector participants favored the compilation of internationally comparable sets of data to facilitate cross-country comparisons. In this connection, they agreed on the need to establish a process leading to greater harmonization of data over time.

The comments received during this consultative meeting will be incorporated in a paper on macroprudential indicators and data dissemination issues that will be presented to the Executive Board for discussion in October 1999. ■

Paul Hilbers and Marina Moretti,
IMF Monetary and Exchange Affairs Department
Russell Krueger, IMF Statistics Department

Recent publications

Annual Reports

Annual Report 1999 (free)

Annual Report on Exchange Arrangements and Exchange Restrictions 1999 (\$95.00; academic rate, \$47.50)

World Economic and Financial Surveys

International Capital Markets: Developments, Prospects, and Policy Issues, by a staff team led by Charles Adams, Donald J. Mathieson, and Garry Schinasi (\$36.00; academic rate, \$25.00)

Occasional Papers

(\$18.00; academic rate, \$15.00)

179: *Disinflation in Transition, 1993–97*, Carlo Cottarelli and Peter Doyle

182: *Tax Reform in the Baltics, Russia, and Other Countries of the Former Soviet Union*, by a staff team led by Liam Ebrill and Oleh Havrylyshyn

183: *Economic Reforms in Kazakhstan, Kyrgyz Republic, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan*, Emine Gü, Harry Snoek, Jon Craig, Jimmy McHugh, Ivailo Izvorski, and Ron van Rooden

Working Paper (\$7.00)

99/104: *The IMF Approach to Economic Stabilization*, Michael Mussa and Miguel Savastano

Economic Issues (free)

17: *La Libéralisation des mouvements de capitaux*

IMF Staff Country Reports (\$15.00)

99/87: Ireland: Article IV Staff Report (Pilot Project)

99/88: Denmark: Article IV Staff Report (Pilot Project)

99/89: The Bahamas: Article IV Staff Report (Pilot Project)

99/90: Czech Republic: Selected Issues
99/91: Czech Republic: Statistical Appendix

99/94: Sweden: Article IV Staff Report (Pilot Project)

99/95: Kazakhstan: Selected Issues and Statistical Appendix

99/96: Lithuania: Selected Issues and Statistical Appendix (Background Papers to Pilot Project)

99/99: Latvia: Selected Issues and Statistical Appendix (Background Papers to Pilot Project)

99/101: United States: Selected Issues (Background Paper to Pilot Project)

99/103: New Zealand: Staff Report for the 1999 Article IV Consultation (Pilot Project)

99/104: Tunisia: Staff Report for the 1999 Article IV Consultation (Pilot Project)



Publications are available from IMF Publication Services, Box XS900, IMF, Washington, DC 20431 U.S.A. Telephone: (202) 623-7430; fax: (202) 623-7201; e-mail: publications@imf.org.

For information on the IMF on the Internet—including the full texts of the English edition of the *IMF Survey*, the *IMF Survey's* annual *Supplement on the IMF, Finance & Development*, an updated *IMF Publications Catalog*, and daily SDR exchange rates of 45 currencies—please visit the IMF's website (www.imf.org). The full texts of all Working Papers and Policy Discussion Papers are also available on the IMF's website.