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INTRODUCTORY NOTE

The Sixty-Second Annual Meeting of the Board of Governors of the International Monetary Fund was held in Washington, D.C. on October 13, 2008, jointly with the Annual Meetings of the Boards of Governors of the World Bank Group. The Honorable Zoran Stavreski, Governor of the Bank and the Fund for the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, served as Chairman. These Proceedings include statements presented by Governors during the meetings; resolutions adopted by the Board of Governors of the Fund since the last Annual Meeting in October 2007; reports, recommendations, or communiqués issued by the Committees of the Board of Governors at the time of the meetings; and other documents relating to the meetings.
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OPENING ADDRESS BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARDS OF GOVERNORS OF THE FUND AND THE BANK

Zoran Stavreski

Mr. Strauss-Kahn, Managing Director of the IMF, Mr. Zoellick, President of the World Bank Group, Ladies and Gentlemen:

Allow me to welcome you all to the 2008 Annual Meetings of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank Group. It is a great honor for my country, Macedonia, to chair these meetings.

At a time of unprecedented challenge and change in the global economy, it is reassuring that the Bretton Woods Institutions have at their helms leaders with outstanding experience and energy. I know that fellow Governors will join me in thanking Mr. Strauss-Kahn and Mr. Zoellick for their wise leadership in addressing immediate and longstanding global challenges through enhanced external engagement and comprehensive internal refocusing. Looking forward, I have full confidence that they will continue these important efforts and remain vigilant toward new risks. Governors will also wish to thank Mr. Carstens for his able chairmanship of the Development Committee, and Mr. Padoa-Schioppa for chairing the IMFC, as well as to congratulate Mr. Youssef Boutros-Ghali on his selection as the new IMFC Chairman.

Global Market Turmoil: New Challenges Call for Timely and Flexible Responses

Events of the past year starkly reminded us of the wide range of pressing challenges facing the global economy. We are currently in the midst of one of the most serious global financial market crises of our time. This financial turmoil, along with the surging food and fuel prices that we have been seeing this year, has forced rapid and flexible reactions from policymakers. At the same time, other challenges—such as climate change, aid effectiveness, and governance of the Bretton Woods Institutions—remain imperative and demand action.

It is vital that we harness the comparative advantage of both the Fund and the Bank Group to tackle immediate threats quickly, while continuing efforts to address ongoing challenges. The Bretton Woods Institutions are well placed to work with member countries and other international and regional institutions to cushion the impact of financial turbulence so as to prevent a further expansion of the crisis. There is also urgent need to improve food security by going beyond an immediate
emergency response. Yet, the unparalleled scale and complexity of the challenges we confront today require that global institutions, like the Fund and the Bank Group, devise fast and flexible policy responses so that gains made over the past decade in macroeconomic stability and overcoming poverty are not reversed.

**Advancing Surveillance Issues**

Fund surveillance is a valuable tool in our efforts to promote macroeconomic and financial stability, but—to remain effective—surveillance needs to be continually updated and enhanced to address new challenges. The Fund’s first ever Statement of Surveillance Priorities highlights the key elements of the global macroeconomic changes that surveillance needs to address. In particular, it correctly recognizes the increased potential for disruptive financial sector spillovers into the real economy, and the pace with which adverse macroeconomic impacts spread. To address these developments, the Fund intends to strengthen its analysis of macro-financial linkages, and extend Early Warning Indicators to advanced economies.

This updating builds on other efforts to make surveillance more relevant to changing global macroeconomic dynamics. Governors will recall the refocusing of exchange rate surveillance through the 2007 Surveillance Decision. Steps are now being taken to strengthen observance of the decision, and to improve the underpinning methodological framework. Looking forward, the Fund must build on this progress—and the findings of the Triennial Surveillance Review—and continue to adapt surveillance in line with the changing global economy.

**Strengthening Fund-FSF Collaboration**

Ensuring the greatest possible impact of Fund surveillance is also of vital importance. In this regard, we commend steps to strengthen collaboration between the Fund and the Financial Stability Forum. The Fund’s lead role in macro-financial surveillance and its near universal membership complements the Financial Stability Forum’s role in bringing together policymakers of the most systemically important financial centers, international institutions, and standard setting bodies.

**Reviewing the Fund and the Bank Group’s Lending and Policy Advice Roles**

In recent years, positive developments in the global economy and strengthened domestic policy frameworks have contributed to
diminishing requests for Fund lending. In the current pressing circumstances, however, the Fund needs to stand ready to provide fast, timely, and flexible financial assistance to its members. In this respect, we are encouraged by the Managing Director’s request that the Fund’s Board activate its fast-track loan procedure. We are also encouraged by the determination of the Fund to continue adapting its lending instruments to changing circumstances. We welcome the innovative instruments being put in place, including the revamped Exogenous Shock Facility, which will provide faster assistance and in larger amounts to members experiencing a shock, as well as the consideration of a new liquidity instrument for crisis prevention. In this context, the recent review of the Fund’s financing role in member countries, which found that Fund facilities have been used flexibly and have provided the needed support to the membership, is noteworthy.

Last year, the World Bank Group saw a record replenishment of $41.7 billion to IDA-15, jumpstarted by a $3.5 billion contribution from the Bank Group’s own income. This is an important vote of confidence from the donor community in the Bank Group’s work. We are further encouraged by the Bank Group’s efforts this year to develop innovative financing instruments and to expand options for its clients. In particular, we welcome the simplification and reduction in IBRD loan charges, the extension in maturity limits, and the initiatives to expand local currency financing and to develop local bond markets.

We are also pleased to note the World Bank Group’s strong capitalization and prudent risk management policies that have kept it strong, allowing it to expand finance in response to client demand. In the current environment, the Bank Group’s role as a lender cannot be underestimated. We are also encouraged that the Bank has a team of highly experienced financial experts to help assist member countries strengthen crisis preparedness and to respond promptly to crises when they happen.

Financial support is only one aspect of the Fund’s and the Bank Group’s assistance to member countries. Policy advice is increasingly requested by governments as they face a more testing external environment and manage growing and complex economies. In this respect, the Fund is playing its part, helping countries assess the macroeconomic impacts of the food and fuel crisis, design targeted measures to alleviate its effects, and calculate the fiscal costs of the necessary policy responses. The Bank Group has already played an active role with the launch of a New Deal for Global Food Policy, which focuses—among other initiatives—on providing support to the World Food Program to facilitate food access to the poor, increasing investment in agricultural research, and acting to remove export bans and to reduce
distorting subsidies and trade barriers. The Bank Group has established a $1.2 billion Global Food Crisis Response Program, which provides the overall framework for a comprehensive and rapid response. We urge the Bank to continue its engagement on this matter and provide policy advice and financing to the neediest countries in coordination with donors, stakeholders, and civil society.

Regional Developments

While downside risks to the global economy and their impact on the Western Balkans cannot be underestimated, our region is better positioned than ever before to absorb these shocks. After a decade of reforms, rapid growth and stronger domestic institutions bode well for a progressive integration of the Western Balkan countries into the European Union. The Fund and the World Bank Group have been valuable partners in this respect. The World Bank Group’s lending and advisory services are increasingly supporting activities in improving investment climate and infrastructure, in particular by developing power and transport networks that will ensure reliable energy supply and facilitate regional trade. In addition, several projects dealing with climate change and disaster-risk mitigation are also underway. I call on the governments of Western Balkans countries to work together to ensure the success of these efforts.

In line with the region, Macedonia has made considerable progress in recent years. Growth increased rapidly in the last two years, macroeconomic stability was secured, and a range of important reforms were undertaken. The government program “Rebirth in 100 steps” includes a multi-sectoral approach encompassing sound economic and regulatory policies to improve the investment climate, strengthen the labor market, promote high-quality education and training systems, and create an efficient social safety net. Macedonia’s progress in the World Bank Group’s Doing Business rankings reflects the improvements achieved so far in the business climate thanks to bold regulatory reforms. A number of efforts to modernize and improve the infrastructure are also ongoing, but major additional investments in infrastructure remain critical for future growth prospects. Macedonia’s overarching goal is to complete the EU accession process and to achieve rapid convergence with EU member states’ income levels in a fiscally, socially, and environmentally sustainable fashion. The Bank Group and Fund have been Macedonia’s trusted and reliable advisors in its successful transition, and we look forward to a continued effective partnership.
Ongoing Core Challenges

Helping member countries respond to pressing short-term threats should not divert the Fund and the World Bank Group from confronting other ongoing core challenges. Addressing these core challenges will strengthen legitimacy and enhance effectiveness, thus increasing the chances of successfully tackling short-term threats.

Strategic Framework on Climate Change

The World Bank Group has rightly supported developing countries’ efforts to integrate climate change considerations into their core development strategies while maintaining economic growth. The current discussion of the Strategic Framework on Climate Change and Development is testament to that challenge, and will allow the Bank Group to scale up plans going forward and further foster international collaboration on this important global public good. The World Bank Group has a catalytic role to play in helping to mobilize private sector funding, and in complementing existing and new financing mechanisms. The new financing instrument established via the Climate Investment Funds is a step in the right direction.

Aid Effectiveness: Accra Agenda for Action

Scaling-up of aid to low-income countries is another pressing challenge. Despite the welcome endorsement of the Accra Agenda for Action (AAA), more can be done to increase aid effectiveness. I urge all development partners to keep moving the agenda forward. In particular, I encourage donors to continue efforts to share good practices, harmonize monitoring systems, and scale-up capacity building efforts.

Enhancing Voice and Participation of Developing and Transition Economies

The Fund and the World Bank Group are pragmatic institutions and must adapt to a changing international environment. It is critical to ensure fair representation of all members and adequate participation of low-income countries in the governance of both institutions.

In April 2008, the Fund approved a forward-looking package of governance reforms that increases the representation of dynamic economies and strengthens the voice of low-income countries. I call on you—fellow Governors—to show leadership in speeding up domestic approval of this reform. Keeping in mind the distinct nature of its development mandate, the ongoing efforts to explore concrete options on voice and participation within the Bank Group are also a step toward
enhancing the legitimacy, credibility, and accountability of its operations. We urge the Bank to reach a consensus on a comprehensive package of reforms as soon as possible.

But more remains to be done. I thus welcome the establishment of a joint steering committee of Executive Directors and Fund Management and the appointment by the Managing Director of a Committee of Eminent Persons, chaired by Trevor Manuel, to assess the adequacy of the Fund’s current decision-making framework. I also welcome the work underway at the World Bank Group in the area of internal governance; including the recent announcement by the President of a High Level Commission, led by Ernesto Zedillo.

This has been a tumultuous year; unprecedented in many ways. The global economy is grappling with an acute financial crisis and a surge in commodities prices, which are undermining confidence in a globalized financial system and the open trading system. The challenges of globalization are stark, the threat is a retreat to protectionism, and solutions are not simple. At times of distress, however, great opportunities arise. We need to seize them through a more effective Fund and World Bank Group, and a strong commitment by member countries to pursue difficult economic and political reforms. For the world to successfully rise to this challenge, bold statements need to be followed by even bolder actions.

With these remarks, I hereby declare open the 2008 Annual Meetings of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank Group.
ADDRESS BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE EXECUTIVE BOARD AND MANAGING DIRECTOR OF THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND

Dominique Strauss-Kahn

Mr. Chairman, Governors, honored guests, it is a pleasure to welcome you to these meetings on behalf of the International Monetary Fund.

We meet at a critical time. We are living through the most dangerous financial crisis since the one that led to the Great Depression. Many people have observed that some aspects of the current crisis are similar to that terrible crisis: among the public, over-optimism followed by a faltering of confidence, in the markets, mania followed by panic. Many people fear that the economic consequences could be as important.

I do not share this view. The world is a very different place now from the ‘30s. We have learned from the mistakes of the past, and we have learned from each other’s experiences. We have tools to manage markets and economies now that we did not have then. We have the will to use them. I am confident that we can emerge from this crisis with our economies and our societies intact.

To succeed, we must do three things.

We must act quickly.

Between the stock market crash of 1929 and March 1933, when a new president took office, two-fifths of the banks in the United States were closed and unemployment rose to almost 25 percent. We cannot let this happen again. We cannot wait while banks fail and jobs are lost.

We have already acted quickly. Central banks have injected liquidity; governments have mobilized to arrange the orderly takeover of key financial institutions and to guarantee deposits. Emerging economies with ample reserves cushions have used them to provide foreign exchange to their banks as needed.

We must act comprehensively and imaginatively.

In the ‘30s countries acted in a piecemeal way, and were hamstrung by old-fashioned orthodoxies.

Instead, we are acting imaginatively. Governments have already been prepared to break with precedent and try new approaches. Increasingly, these approaches are comprehensive, attacking all aspects
of financial market problems: liquidity, bad assets, shortage of capital, and especially confidence.

We must act cooperatively.

An upsurge of nationalism was one of the worst consequences of the great depression, but it was also one of its causes. European countries argued with each other instead of finding solutions to their common problems. The United States gave a low priority to trade, and favored tariffs.

We can act cooperatively. This weekend the IMFC, representing 185 countries, endorsed an action plan formulated on Friday by the G7. The centerpiece of this plan is a stronger than ever commitment to use all available tools to support systemically important financial institutions. The plan outlines specific mechanisms that countries can use to support the system, jump-start credit, and restore confidence.

The IMFC also asked the Fund to take on a special responsibility. It recognized that the Fund is a unique institution for this moment, with universal membership, core macro-financial expertise, and a mandate to promote international financial stability. Therefore the IMFC asked the Fund to take the lead in drawing lessons from the crisis and in recommending actions to restore confidence and stability.

The IMFC asked us to begin immediately, so I will begin right now. To restore confidence and stability, we need government intervention in the financial markets which is clear, comprehensive and cooperative between countries. I have suggested in recent weeks that action should be governed by a few simple principles.

First, government action needs to have a clear objective so that effective oversight of how public money is used is possible. This has not always been the case.

Second, national plans need to be comprehensive: they must contain guarantees to depositors and assurances to creditors that are sufficient to ensure that markets function; they must deal with distressed assets and provide liquidity; and most importantly they must include bank recapitalization. The Fund has been advocating this for several months. It seems that now we are all of the same opinion.

Third, action should be fair, in that taxpayers should be able to share upside gains once the crisis passes. IMF experience in 122 banking crises shows that if a crisis is managed well the net cost to the taxpayer can be close to zero, or even better.

Finally, action should be coordinated, at the global level and at the regional level when appropriate—as was done yesterday in the euro zone.
Let me expand on this last principle, because promoting international monetary cooperation is the very first responsibility of the IMF. It is in Article I of our governing document.

International cooperation has not been good enough. Until this weekend, the collapse in confidence in the markets has been almost matched by a collapse in confidence between countries. We saw a very bad trend toward unilateral measures taken with national interests in mind.

Now things are beginning to turn around. Last week we saw coordinated moves by major central banks. This weekend we have gone much further: we have a G7 plan which envisages action on all of the major financial market issues; we have the endorsement of that plan by the entire international community; and, last but not least, we have an action plan in the euro zone.

But we still have a very long way to go. We don’t all need to have the same policies, but we must all talk to each other about our policies, and consider the effects of our actions on our partners. This weekend is just the beginning of a long effort.

Let me turn to the global economy.

Action in the financial markets is essential, but it is not sufficient. We also need to deploy all of the instruments of modern macroeconomic policy to limit the damage to the real economy.

For the advanced economies, this means using fiscal policy when they can. The most obvious use of fiscal policy is precisely to ease pressures where they are greatest: in the financial and housing sectors. But governments that can afford it should also be ready to undertake a broader fiscal stimulus.

There is also scope to use monetary policy to support growth, building on the collaborative easing that we have seen this week.

Emerging economies have differing degrees of freedom to act. Some can afford to draw reserves down to finance a temporary and sudden shortfall in capital flows. Others will need to raise policy interest rates in line with rising risk premia to stem outflows and bolster confidence in their currencies.

Some may need help, and possibly very substantial help. The IMFC called on the Fund to offer financial support to members that need it, and we will heed that call. In fact, we have activated emergency procedures to respond quickly to urgent requests, with high access financial programs, based on streamlined conditionality that focuses on crisis response priorities. And to do that, we have plenty of liquidity.

Developing countries need help too. They face reduced export demand and reduced access to trade credit. And many are already
suffering from the other crisis—the food and fuel crisis that has strained budgets and balances of payments, and raised inflation and living costs.

The Fund will support you, and I know the World Bank will too, under the great leadership of my friend Bob Zoellick. Together, the Fund and the Bank are already providing advice, technical assistance and financial support. The Fund has increased financing to many countries. We have also changed our Exogenous Shocks Facility so that we can provide assistance more quickly, in larger amounts, with more focused conditionality, and more flexibly.

The rest of the world must also help. I understand that the budgets of advanced countries will be under more strain because of the financial crisis. But it is very important that donors do not respond to the crisis by cutting aid to the poorest and most vulnerable people in the world.

Now, let’s look beyond the crisis.

Part of the process of drawing lessons from the crisis is to consider what we need to change in the financial sector and in the financial architecture. Let me take these in turn.

The crisis in financial markets is the result of three failures: a regulatory and supervisory failure in advanced economies; a failure in risk management in the private financial institutions; and a failure in market discipline mechanisms.

Preventing a recurrence of these failures will require an international effort, because borders do not confine financial institutions or keep out financial turmoil.

The Fund can help in coordinating this effort, drawing on the expertise of others. Why the Fund? Two reasons: first, with our universal membership and demonstrated financial diplomacy (for example our work this year on Sovereign Wealth Funds) we can bring together the different actors to discuss risks to global stability and policy responses; and second, we have the machinery for follow up through bilateral surveillance and additional FSAPs.

Let me now talk about the financial architecture, where we have also seen some failures. To prevent a recurrence of these, I see a need to enhance the effectiveness and the legitimacy of the system.

To enhance effectiveness we need better coordination and fewer overlaps between international organizations and better follow up on international agreements. Something as simple as a secretariat to follow up the meetings of the “Gs” could be very useful.

To enhance legitimacy we could add some countries to the existing groups, but I think we need to go further. We need greater reliance on multilateral institutions with near universal membership, so that all countries that are affected by crises have a voice in resolving them.

I will end with a word on Fund reform.
Of course, if we are going to rely more on multilateral institutions, they will have to be representative as well as universal. In the case of the Fund, the reform of quotas and voice that you approved on April 28 is very important. It is a dynamic reform that will continue to produce results over time. We are also undertaking broader governance reform, working with the Board and with the help of Trevor Manuel and his colleagues.

Governance reform is part of a comprehensive program to refocus and modernize the Fund.

We are improving the way we conduct surveillance to emphasize cross-country and macro-financial linkages.

We are reforming our facilities, to make them more useful to members.

In March next year we will hold a conference in Tanzania to discuss how Africa is changing and what the Fund can do to help Africa flourish.

We are changing the way we offer technical assistance, opening new regional centers, and putting in place a system of charges for our work, based on countries’ income levels.

We have reached agreement on a new income model, which will put the Fund’s finances on a sustainable footing for years to come.

As many of you know, alongside our refocusing efforts, we are completing a restructuring and downsizing of the Fund. I want to thank the staff—both those leaving and those staying—for the flexibility and dedication they have shown during this transition. I also want to thank my management colleagues, the Executive Board, and you, our Governors, for the support that you have given to our work over the past year.

Let me conclude by returning to the point I made earlier. We can emerge from this crisis so long as we act quickly, comprehensively, and cooperatively. The Fund will do its part. But much will depend on you: finance ministers and central bank governors, representatives of your countries, to take the actions needed to restore confidence and stability.

When we meet again in Istanbul in a year’s time, let it be said that this weekend in October 2008, the international recovery began, because the nations of the world, gathered together at the Annual Meetings of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank, chose to act together to create a better world.
OPENING ADDRESS BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE WORLD BANK GROUP

Robert B. Zoellick

Modernizing Multilateralism and Markets

Thank you for joining us at these Annual Meetings. I want to express my particular thanks to our Chairman, Zoran Stavreski, and, once again, to Agustin Carstens for his leadership of the Development Committee and his partnership as a friend. I cannot imagine a better Chair to work with during my first year.

I also want to single out my good colleague, Dominique Strauss Kahn. We work closely together, and I am fortunate to have a partner with such experience, insight, and fine humor.

We meet at an extraordinarily difficult time – a time of uncertainty and insecurity, with a danger that those fears push us away from – not towards – a more inclusive and sustainable globalization.

Recent weeks have made 2008 a precarious year. A meltdown in financial, credit, and housing markets. The continuing stress of high food, fuel, and commodity prices. Anxieties about the global economy.

People are hurting. Families are worried about what coming days will bring.

People are reacting with a sense first of confusion, then frustration, anger, and fear.

These are natural responses, just as we have seen in developed countries. The challenges of psychology will spread around the world as the financial and economic effects spread. We need to take them seriously.

October could be a tipping point for many developing countries. A drop in exports, as well as capital inflow, will trigger a falloff in investments. Deceleration of growth and deteriorating financing conditions will trigger business failures and increase the risk of banking emergencies. Some countries will slip toward balance of payments crises. As is always the case, the most poor are the most defenseless.

The events of this year are a wake up call. There are storm clouds over multilateralism and markets.

As food prices soared, agricultural markets started to break down under political pressures. Some 40 countries imposed bans or restrictions on exports of food. Others imposed price controls and halted trading. The UN strained mightily to get countries to double their contributions to
food assistance for those most in need. Poverty, hunger, and malnutrition increased.

As the global system for agriculture ran aground, the World Trade Organization drifted into dangerous waters. The Doha Round has hit the rocks.

The Climate Change negotiations organized under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change faces an uphill struggle, now steepened by the breakdown in the WTO.

While needs are growing, the international aid system is not keeping pace.

Donors bring ideas, energy, and resources, but they also can overwhelm national ownership by developing countries, harming the effectiveness of aid. In 2006, there were more than 70,000 aid transactions with an average project size of only $1.7 million. Last year, the average developing country hosted 260 donor visits. Vietnam had 752.

National governments are drawn increasingly to provide aid with their flag, not through multilateralism that encourages coherence and building local ownership. The G-7 as a whole is far behind its Gleneagles’ commitments to boost development assistance.

Private financial markets and businesses will continue to be the strongest drivers of global growth and development. But the developed world’s financial systems, especially in the United States, have revealed glaring weaknesses after suffering titanic losses.

The international architecture designed to deal with such circumstances is creaking.

A New Multilateralism

Even as the United States and the world dig out of the present hole, we need to look further ahead: We must modernize multilateralism and markets for a New Global Economy.

Some say today’s crisis should take all our energy and focus. But the architects of Bretton Woods in 1944 laid the foundations for the future even as they still fought the armies of the past.

For us, tomorrow is already here.

New economic powers are on the rise.

The engagement of rising powers with the global economy has made them “stakeholders” in the global system that benefitted them. These rising powers want to be heard. They want to know what will be their role in making new rules for the global economy.

The developed economy “stakeholders,” in turn, both benefit from – and are threatened by – the changes. Rising developing economies offer
multiple poles of growth that assist their recoveries and offer new possibilities, but they also serve as fodder for scaremongers.

With growth rates averaging about 6.6 percent between 1997 and 2007, some 25 countries in sub-Saharan Africa, with almost two-thirds of the region’s population, offer a vision of yet another pole of growth that might be developed over the coming decades. This could be a great achievement, not only for overcoming poverty and for development, but also freeing untapped talents and energies.

But it will be an achievement left unrealized unless we have the vision and the courage to stand up to the challenges of economic isolationism, and to offer the leadership to help make it happen.

We need a new approach

At its best, multilateralism is a means for solving problems among countries, with the group at the table willing and able to take constructive action together. When multilateralism is dysfunctional, globalization can be a Tower of Babel, with competing national interests colliding to the benefit of none.

The Bretton Woods generation left two legacies: first, specific international institutions and regimes – in various states of service and repair. Second, and more important, that generation left an intellectual, policy, and political commitment to act multilaterally to turn the problems of an era into opportunities.

The New Multilateralism, suiting our times, is likely to be a flexible network, not a fixed system. It needs to maximize the strengths of interconnecting actors, public and private, profit-making and civil society NGOs.

The New Multilateralism must respect state sovereignties while solving interconnected problems that transcend borders.

This New Multilateral Network needs to be pragmatic. Its baseline work is to foster cooperation by encouraging exchanges of perspectives on interests, both domestic and international. Often just sharing information is a start.

We should encourage a search for mutual interests. Sometimes mutual interests can be fostered with incentives – and international institutions can become catalysts for action. Practical problem-solving builds a culture of cooperation.

Our New Multilateralism must build toward a sense of shared responsibility for the health and effective functioning of the global political economy. This means – chiefly and critically – that it must involve those with a major stake in that economy, those willing to share in the responsibilities along with the benefits of maintaining it.

We must redefine economic multilateralism beyond the traditional focus on finance and trade. Today, energy, climate change, and
stabilizing fragile and post-conflict states are economic issues. They are already part of the international security and environmental dialogue. They must be the concern of economic multilateralism as well.

**Priorities**

*A New Steering Group*

The New Multilateralism will still depend principally on national leadership and cooperation. Countries matter.

We need a core group of Finance Ministers who will assume responsibility for anticipating issues, sharing information and insights, exploring mutual interests, mobilizing efforts to solve problems, and at least managing differences.

For financial and economic cooperation, we should consider a new Steering Group including Brazil, China, India, Mexico, Russia, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, and the current G-7.

Such a Steering Group would bring together over 70 percent of the World’s GDP, 62 percent of its energy production, the major carbon emitters, the principal development donors, large regional actors, and the primary players in global capital, commodity, and exchange rate markets.

But this Steering Group would not be a G-14. We will not make a new world simply by remaking the old. It should be numberless, flexible, and over time, it could evolve. Others may be added, especially if their rising influence is matched by a willingness to help shoulder responsibilities.

This new Steering Group should meet and videoconference regularly to foster a sense of group responsibility.

The IMF and World Bank Group, perhaps with the WTO, can help support this Steering Group. We can identify emerging problems, supply analysis, suggest solutions, and draw on our own broader membership to propose coalitions to address issues.

The Steering Group members will still need to work through established international institutions and regimes that include other states. But the core group would increase the likelihood that countries draw together to address problems that are larger than any one state.

We need this mechanism so that countries are not left to fail – with all the human, economic, and political consequences this entails for both them and their neighbors. We need it so that global problems are not just mopped up after the fact, but anticipated. We need it to develop the habit of dialogue and the necessary relationships of trust *before* the crisis hits. We need it to shape multilateral solutions.
International Finance and Development

We have seen the dark side of global connectedness. We need to navigate toward the light.

The first task will be to overhaul the failed system of financial regulation and supervision.

We must ask why so many thoroughly regulated and supervised institutions got into trouble. Any risk-based model, no matter how sophisticated and well supervised, depends critically on the assumptions. What happens when the assumptions fail?

The changing conditions that trigger failure will increasingly be dependent on shifts in the world economy. Just as the crisis has been international because of interconnectedness, the reforms will need to be multilateral.

The Financial Stability Forum (FSF), ably chaired by Mario Draghi of the Bank of Italy, has started to tackle these issues. Whether through an expanded FSF, a stronger FSF-IMF linkage, or the Steering Group, these financial supervisory issues will need to be addressed in a broader multilateral context.

We must bolster an IMF early warning system for the global economy, focused on crisis prevention and not just crisis resolution.

The financial shock waves in the United States and Europe will reverberate in the global economy. The stark reality is that developing countries must anticipate and prepare for a drop in trade, remittances, and domestic investment.

Countries with sound fiscal and balance of payments positions should be encouraged to spur domestic demand through consumption and investment. But others have yawning budget gaps, risky current account deficits, balance of payments problems, financial danger, or all four. The Fund and the Development Banks will need to assist.

The New Multilateralism must put global development on a par with international finance.

Economic multipolarity offers stability and opportunity, just like a diversified portfolio of investments. But to boost more inclusive and sustainable growth, we need to think about aid differently.

Two weeks ago at the United Nations, international partners raised $16 billion for development projects. This money is vital, and we need more if we are to meet the Millennium Development Goals.

But we also must broaden our approach. We must listen to the growing number of Africans who are telling us they want markets and opportunities, not aid dependency.
Private capital and markets will remain the drivers of growth. We must look beyond projects and programs to new ways of doing the business of development.

At the Bank Group, we are changing our role from that of primarily a lender to a provider of customized financial and development solutions for overcoming poverty and spurring growth.

We are also building an IFC investment platform to help intermediate equity investment – not aid – from Sovereign Wealth Funds to Africa and other poor regions with growth opportunities. This is the “One Percent Solution” I proposed this Spring.

Private capital – and especially equity – will be the critical factor in building infrastructure, supplying energy, financing businesses and trade, and fostering regional integration within an open global economy. And it is already happening. In 2008, IFC provided more investment (including syndications) to our clients than our IBRD lending or IDA assistance. Over 40 percent of IFC’s investments were in IDA countries this year.

Our Global Emerging Markets Local Currency Bond program, or GEMLOC, aims to catalyze the development of local currency bond markets in emerging market countries and facilitate south-south investment opportunities.

We are helping our clients – from small farmers to governments – manage development risks through insurance facilities for weather and catastrophic events. In partnership with DFID, we finalized a weather risk management transaction on behalf of Malawi. Under this transaction Malawi will receive up to $5 million if an index linked to rainfall drops significantly below the historical average.

We are developing our business with sub-sovereign entities so we can get at the roots of local poverty and strengthening governance and performance at all levels.

We are using our balance sheet and financing capabilities in combination with donors to broaden types of assistance: from the issuance of vaccine bonds in the Japanese retail market, to advance commitments to purchase yet-to-be-developed pharmaceuticals to save lives.

As we move into new fields with new instruments, we need to become better partners. To that end, we are ramping up our work to support health systems, promoting innovations such as results-based financing, and new ways of working with the private sector and civil society. Two weeks ago, at the UN’s Millennium Summit, we joined with the UN, governments, non-traditional donors, the private sector, and civil society to boost support for malaria and for primary education with an additional Bank contribution of $2.6 billion.
The New Multilateralism also needs mechanisms to move much more quickly to help those who are most vulnerable in crisis. Right after suggesting the idea at our Spring Meetings, as the food crisis hit hard, the World Bank created a new $1.2 billion rapid financing facility for those endangered by high food prices – to fund nutrition, school feeding, seeds and fertilizers, and other safety nets. We are now expanding that Facility to cover those hit by rising fuel prices. These kinds of vulnerability mechanisms must be flexible, fast, and will need a regular flow of grant funding.

The World Bank Group must also adapt more quickly to meet new needs of its clients and interests of its shareholders. We need to better align our governance with the realities of the 21st Century. Yesterday, we reached agreement on an initial reform package on Voice, Participation, and Responsibility. This is a start, but we will need to go further. Our Board has advanced work on internal governance.

I am delighted that Ernest Zedillo has agreed to chair a High Level Commission, to consider a modernization in World Bank Group governance so we can operate more dynamically, effectively, efficiently, and legitimately in a transformed global political economy. I have asked Ernesto to work with colleagues looking at the IMF. In 1944 the architects of the Bretton Woods system seized a moment to build for a changed future. We must be no less ambitious today.

The WTO and the Global Trading System

The Doha global trade negotiations in the WTO are gasping on life support. It is vital that the WTO and an open global trading system not be buried with them.

Trade negotiations will continue elsewhere. Recent research has shown how FTA negotiations can support broader opening of markets. But FTAs and preferential arrangements that are not broad-based could weaken global liberalization. They need to be linked to global disciplines. And the multilateral system remains the only option for lifting the heavy hand of trade-distorting support for agriculture, still running at some $260 billion per year in OECD countries.

One option to continue fostering global liberalization is to recognize trade facilitation as part of a development plan. There are opportunities to cut costs of trade far in excess of those imposed by tariffs and other trade barriers. The World Bank’s “Doing Business” trading and “Logistics” indicators have done the diagnostic groundwork. Regional bodies such as APEC have pointed the way.

The World Bank Group is helping countries to simplify and harmonize procedures and documentation across a supply chain. We are
currently working on a Trade Facilitation Facility to provide technical assistance, capacity building, and project preparation. We can support both country-level projects that respond to client needs and multi-country projects that can facilitate regional trade integration. And we can help with the implementation of trade facilitation commitments associated with multilateral and regional trade agreements.

A new trade facilitation and development agenda puts the self-interest of lowering costs of trading to work for a multilateral interest of encouraging more integration, efficiencies, and opportunities — meaning more growth, more jobs, less poverty.

This is multilateralism by practical steps, moving ahead where it is possible to do so.

Energy and Climate Change

The New Multilateral Network must also interconnect energy and climate change.

World energy markets are a mess. Producers, fearful of collapsing prices, are wary of new investments. Consuming countries want lower prices for consumers, but prices high enough to encourage conservation, efficiencies, alternative supplies, and new technologies. And the most vulnerable countries and people are victimized by the whole confusion — hit by high prices, price volatility, and climate change.

Most oil production is now controlled by national oil companies. These suppliers do not respond to market signals in the same way as private producers.

We need a “global bargain” among major producers and consumers of energy. A few years ago, China suggested that the major energy consumers organize to deal more effectively with the producers’ cartel. This is an idea worth considering, though with a broader purpose.

At a minimum, such a bargain should involve sharing plans for expanding supplies, including alternative energy; improving efficiency and lessening demand; assisting with energy for the poor; and considering how these policies relate to carbon production and climate change policies. The World Bank Group can play an important role here. Last year, our funding for renewable energy and energy efficiency projects in developing countries grew by over eighty percent to reach US$2.7 billion.

Part of the bargain will also be to provide an opportunity for developing countries to make longer-term investments to reduce vulnerability to high and volatile fuel prices while supporting the poor with safety nets. With less than one quarter of Sub-Saharan Africans currently having access to electricity, improving access for the poorest is
a critical complement to clean energy investments. Just as we are assisting those most vulnerable to high food prices by expanding agricultural production, we need to help those vulnerable to high and volatile energy prices by improving efficiencies, options for alternative supplies and off-grid technologies, and regional cooperation. At the request of shareholders, the World Bank Group is developing an “Energy for the Poor” initiative to help the poorest countries meet energy needs in efficient and sustainable ways.

We might consider taking the global bargain further. There might be a common interest in managing a price range that reconciles interests while transitioning toward lower carbon growth strategies, a broader portfolio of supplies, and greater international security.

Multilateral understandings about energy futures – leading to clear pricing for carbon – might also be vital for the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

A climate change accord also will have to be supported by new mechanisms to support forestation and avoid deforestation, develop new technologies and encourage their rapid diffusion, provide financial support to poorer countries, and assist with adaptation. As we discussed at the Bali Breakfast yesterday, we need to strengthen carbon markets. The World Bank Group’s launch of two new facilities – the Forest Carbon Partnership Facility and the Carbon Partnership Facility – enables us to support clients seeking lower carbon development paths.

Two weeks ago, to help provide additional resources for these challenges, the Bank hosted a pledging session that raised $6.1 billion from ten countries for new Climate Investment Funds – resources which developing countries can use to address climate change issues within their own development and anti-poverty strategies.

Fragile States: Securing Development

Nowhere is the New Multilateral Network needed more than in the fragile and post-conflict states where the “Bottom Billion” live.

Too often, the development community has treated states affected by fragility and conflict simply as harder cases of development. Yet these situations require looking beyond the analytics of development to a different framework of building security, legitimacy, governance, and the economy. This is not security as usual, or development as usual.

Securing Development is about bringing security and development together first to smooth the transition from conflict to peace and then to embed stability so that development can take hold over a decade and beyond. Only by securing development can we put down roots deep enough to break the cycle of fragility and violence.
Our appreciation of how best to secure development – to synthesize security, governance, and economics to be most effective – is still modest. We face critical gaps in international capabilities.

Ultimately, the most important element in fragile or post-conflict states is the people of those countries. But it will take much stronger and longer-lasting multilateral assistance to help these people shift from being victims to becoming the principal agents of recovery.

At the World Bank, we are devising new, and I hope improved, partnerships with the United Nations and others. A new UN-World Bank Fiduciary Principles Accord will significantly speed up joint responses to crises. We are pushing ahead with desperately needed arrears clearance operations, and establishing a new $100 million State- and Peace-Building Fund to support a more strategic and innovative approach to conflict and fragility.

The Six Strategic Themes

Last year I outlined six strategic themes for the World Bank Group to help guide our work – for the poorest countries, especially Africa; fragile and post-conflict states; middle income countries; global and regional public goods; expanding opportunity for the Arab World; and building knowledge and learning.

These strategic themes run throughout our work. I have highlighted a few examples today.

As we move forward with the six themes, we must continue to mainstream anti-corruption and good governance across all our activities. Publics are right to expect a sharper focus on governance and anti-corruption. Corruption is a cruel tax – first and foremost on the poor. We must fight it wherever we find it.

I am grateful to Paul Volcker and his fellow Commissioners for their excellent work – and practical recommendations. We are implementing the panel’s recommendations and scaling-up our work – including by strengthening our Institutional Integrity Department, creating a new preventative and advisory unit to better share and implement lessons learned, and appointing an International Advisory Board to help advise our new Vice President.

This work is based on our fiduciary obligations. But it does not end there. We must build an institutional culture of honesty, integrity, and trust. And we must encourage and assist our clients – from the youngest procurement officer to Prime Ministers and Presidents – to embrace this culture, too.
Mr. Chairman, as one executive director recently observed, since our last Annual Meetings a year ago, the World Bank Group has moved from crisis to catalyst.

Now the world faces a crisis. It is a moment for the World Bank Group to step up.

We have a sound capital base, strong liquidity, unmatched global experience with worldwide reach, and extraordinary people.

Yet we can and must do better.

The World Bank Group is at its best when it brings together global expertise, constantly challenged and updated; investments in people, markets, and institutions; and innovative financing – always conscious, as the Growth Commission emphasized this year, that there is no single template for development. Each country’s circumstances are unique – and special. We must have the humility, practicality, and honesty to learn what works – and to fix what doesn’t.

In that endeavor, our greatest asset is the staff of the World Bank Group here in Washington and around the world, who have worked tirelessly this year with clients and partners to support these efforts. Drawing talent from over a hundred countries, we are striving to show how people with vastly different experiences and from different cultures can come together to make a whole far greater than the sum of its parts.

I am fortunate indeed to gain from the richness of their diversity. I want to thank them and to let them know I am proud of them.

We also have an active Board with which we work every day. It has offered invaluable guidance as we advance to meet the needs of our clients, for which I am grateful.

In closing, Mr. Chairman, a word of perspective:

Unless we can better share the opportunities and the responsibilities in the new global economy; unless we can look beyond the financial rescues to the human rescues; unless we can craft international policies that will help bring more peoples and more countries into the economic mainstream, we will not build an inclusive and sustainable globalization. And our world will not be stable – no matter how big our financial rescue packages.

Fate presents an opportunity wrapped in a necessity: To Modernize Multilateralism and Markets. We must seize it.
I am pleased to report to the Board of Governors the outcome of Saturday's discussion of the IMFC, which I have had the honor to chair for the first time.

At its meeting on Saturday, the IMFC demonstrated its unique ability to unite the global community in a strong commitment to work together and take cohesive actions to address the deep financial crisis which we are facing. The Committee’s deliberations have produced an exceptional awareness among its members that only strong cooperation at the global level will succeed in meeting the challenges before us. Allow me to highlight the key outcomes of our deliberations.

First, the Committee made a robust commitment to multilateral collaboration and coordination by strongly endorsing the G-7’s five-point action plan. Forceful implementation of this plan will be crucial to restore confidence in the financial sector and stabilize the system.

Second, the Committee underscored that the Fund has a critical mandate to foster the multilateral cooperation needed to restore and safeguard international monetary and financial stability. The Committee welcomed and endorsed the Managing Director’s assurance that the Fund, using its emergency procedures, stands ready to quickly make available substantial resources to help member countries cover financing needs. The Committee also called for further intensive Fund engagement across the membership to discuss and develop robust policy responses to the crisis.

Third, the Committee noted that many emerging market economies may experience spillover effects from the financial crisis. The Committee therefore underlined that it is critically important that collaborative action be coordinated between advanced and emerging economies.

Fourth, we called on the Fund to take the lead, in line with its mandate, in drawing the necessary policy lessons from the current crisis and recommending effective actions to restore confidence and stability. We asked the Fund to focus discussion, and enhance cooperation, with the financial stability forum, the G-20, and others on this issue in an
inclusive setting. This initiative should begin immediately, and the Fund is asked to report to the IMFC by its next meeting.

While putting in place these vital building blocks of an action plan on the global financial crisis, the Committee was mindful of the problems facing many low-income countries, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, which have been hard hit by higher food and fuel prices. We stressed that these countries’ strengthened adjustment efforts will need to be backed by increased donor assistance, in particular grants, to limit the effects on real income and poverty. The Committee welcomed the reforms of the Fund’s Exogenous Shocks Facility, which allow it to be used more quickly to help countries deal with exogenous shocks.

We also deliberated on the IMF’s surveillance agenda and lending role with a view to ensuring that the Fund continues to provide effective assistance to its membership in dealing with the challenges of globalization and financial turmoil. The Committee underscored the central role of Fund surveillance in providing clear, advance warning of risks helping members understand the interdependence of their economies, and promoting globally consistent policy responses. The Committee endorsed the Fund’s first Statement of Surveillance Priorities, which will guide the Fund’s surveillance through 2011.

We also noted that additional efforts are needed to review the Fund’s lending instruments, which might need to be adapted to the evolving needs of the membership. Hence, we welcome the ongoing review of the Fund’s lending role, and urge the Executive Board to take this agenda forward expeditiously. The Committee strongly recommended that decisions be taken on an accelerated basis in those areas where there is strong consensus and particular urgency—such as the establishment of a new liquidity instrument—and on the full range of issues by the time of the 2009 Annual Meetings.

We also welcome the development of the Santiago Principles by the International Working Group of Sovereign Wealth Funds (SWFs), as an important collaborative effort to set out a comprehensive framework, providing a clearer understanding of the operations of SWFs. In this regard, the Committee also stressed the importance of clear and nondiscriminatory policies by recipient countries toward SWF investments.

Finally, the Committee welcomes the Board of Governors’ approval of the resolution and the amendment of the Fund’s Articles of Agreement on the IMF Quota and Voice Reforms, and of the amendment on the Fund’s New Income Model. The Committee calls on all members to work toward the early completion of the domestic legislative steps required for making these two milestone reforms effective.
In closing, the Committee paid tribute to Mr. Tommaso Padoa-Schioppa for his invaluable role as the Committee’s Chairman, and especially for his role in securing the membership’s support for these critical IMF reforms.
Thank you for this opportunity to share selected highlights of yesterday’s Development Committee discussion. A more comprehensive account of our work is available in our published Communiqué.

At our meeting, we discussed three broad sets of issues that are of great importance to developing and transition countries. I am going to focus my remarks today on these same three topics.

First, we spent most of our time discussing the current global situation, including the turmoil in world financial markets, with a special focus on the implications for the developing and transition countries.

Beyond the present crisis, we took some time to discuss two sets of issues that are of long-term significance. We discussed and agreed on an important set of reforms designed to enhance the “voice and representation” of developing and transition countries in the World Bank on this important matter.

Finally, we discussed and endorsed a new Strategic Framework designed to provide a basis for wide-ranging engagement by the World Bank in helping member countries grapple with the critical challenges posed to them by Climate Change.

So with that outline, let me share with you some of the main points of our discussions in these three areas.

First, the current economic situation and the financial crisis. Our meeting took place at a critical time for the global economy, with financial markets experiencing unprecedented turmoil. Developing and transition countries (DTCs) - many of them already hit hard by current high prices for energy and essential foodstuffs - risk very serious setbacks to their efforts to improve the lives of their populations from any prolonged tightening of credit or sustained global slowdown. The poorest and most vulnerable groups risk the most serious – and in some cases permanent – damage.
Against this background, we endorsed the very important commitments made at the International Monetary and Financial Committee, relating to measures to address the financial sector crisis.

Next, we stressed that aid volumes need to be consistent with existing commitments and we called for full compliance with these commitments.

In support of these concerted actions, we called on the World Bank to join with the IMF in drawing on the full range of its resources - finance, analysis and advice - to help developing and transition countries strengthen their economies, maintain growth, and protect the most vulnerable groups against the impact of the current crises.

Under the strong and effective leadership of President Zoellick, the World Bank Group stands ready to help:

The Bank recently announced a $1.2 billion rapid financing facility, which is providing immediate help for countries coping with the impact of high food prices on the poor. We urged countries to consider making contributions to this fund.

- We encouraged the Bank and its partners to move forward with a planned new program – Energy for the Poor – that would provide rapid support for countries’ efforts to strengthen social safety nets to protect the poor against the impact of high fuel bills.

- We noted that IBRD has the financial capacity to comfortably double its annual lending to developing countries to meet additional demand from clients. IBRD lending was US$13.5 billion last fiscal year.

- We also urged IFC to explore options for helping recapitalize banks in developing countries adversely affected by the global liquidity crisis, including the possibility of a fund.

Let me now turn to the second set of issues – our work on reforming the World Bank Group. When the Development Committee met this past April, we called for concrete reform options for the World Bank Group on these issues to be prepared in time for us to discuss them at these Annual Meetings. I am now very pleased to tell you that, based on intensive work undertaken by member countries and Bank management and staff over the past 6 months, we were in a position yesterday to review and advance a concrete package of reforms.

First, an additional chair will be created for Sub Saharan Africa on the Bank’s Board. Second, the voting shares of developing and transition countries’ in IBRD and IDA will increase, giving special emphasis to smaller members. Third, a realignment of Bank shareholding will be
taken up by the Bank’s Board in an important shareholding review that will work to an agreed timeline to develop principles, criteria and proposals for shareholding. The review will consider the evolving weight of members in the world economy and other Bank-specific criteria consistent with the WBG’s development mandate, moving over time towards equitable voting power between developed and developing members. Fourth, there is considerable agreement on the importance of a selection process for the President of the Bank that is merit-based and transparent, with nominations open to all Board members and transparent Board consideration of all candidates. Recognizing the above package as an important first step in the ongoing process of comprehensive reform, we asked the Boards and Management to take prompt action to implement this first step.

Third and finally, we discussed and welcomed a new strategic framework that has been developed for the World Bank Group in the field of Development and Climate Change. This framework provides a basis for the Bank Group to fulfill its core mission of promoting economic growth and poverty reduction, at the global, regional and country levels, in the context of the challenges posed by climate change. We encouraged the Bank Group to customize its support for climate change adaptation and mitigation efforts to the needs of its different member countries. Given the enormous financial gap for addressing climate change, we also encouraged the Bank to strengthen its resource mobilization efforts in this field. Finally, we encouraged the Bank Group to play an active role in supporting the development and deployment of clean and climate-resilient technologies.
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STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR FOR THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF AFGHANISTAN

Anwar Ul-Haq Ahady

It is a great privilege to address this forum again.

Despite deterioration in the security situation during the past year, the government of Afghanistan has continued to implement existing restructuring programs, and has launched new major development efforts. We submitted our Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper (PRSP) to the World Bank and the IMF boards in April of 2008. Jointly with the government of France, we convened the Afghanistan Support Conference in Paris last June whereby donors generously pledged new contributions of over US$15 billion to help the implementation of our national development strategy. Our PRSP calls for US$50 billion investment in five years. We had about $14 billion in outstanding pledges, and I am quite confident that donors will pledge additional amounts during the next few years to help finance the implementation of our PRSP. We will submit our first year PRSP implementation report next April.

Over two years ago, we started our Poverty Reduction and Growth Facility (PRGF) program with the IMF. We plan to complete the third year of our PRGF program in summer 2009, which is fundamental in achieving debt restructuring under the Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) program; and we have successfully implemented most of the PRGF recommendations for structural reform. Like in the previous years, last year we increased our revenues by 27 percent; this year we expect to increase our revenues by 32 percent. Despite increasing challenges in the way of collecting revenues, I am happy to report that we just met our second quarter revenue target.

However, like the rest of the world, Afghanistan has suffered from the drastic increase in the prices of food and oil. Between 2002 and 2007, inflation remained below 10 percent whereas last year it rose to over 20 percent. Increase in the food and fuel prices explains over 80 percent of the last year’s inflation. Furthermore, this year’s drought is projected to cause a decline of about 30 percent in the production of grain. Without major imports of grain, we anticipate major food shortages. Thus, to remedy this situation, in addition to asking donors for food assistance and expecting the private sector to import larger amount of food, the government has allocated US$100 million to purchase grain from international markets.
Last year, the economy grew by 11.5 percent; unfortunately, this year, due to the drought, we have lowered our expected growth rate to 7.5 percent. Even though we anticipate our structural reforms to enable the private sector to play a much more important role in economic growth in a few years’ time, for now, donor assistance continues to be the largest contributor to economic growth. Of course, we are very grateful to our donors for helping us to rebuild our economy, but as I have said in the past, without addressing the issue of aid effectiveness, we are not likely to have impressive results. With better aid effectiveness, we believe we can have much higher growth rates. We are very pleased with the results of the High-Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness that was convened in Accra, Ghana, during the first week of September this year. We are thankful for donors agreeing to channel at least 50 percent of their assistance through the national budget by 2010. Cost-effectiveness, particularly in procurement, and genuine ownership of the developmental priorities by the recipients of aid are also very important factors in improving aid effectiveness. We are committed to mutual accountability, enhancing value for money, transparency, and predictability of aid, and we urge the World Bank Group to develop plans to implement the Accra Agenda for Action. Now that donors have agreed to channel a substantial amount of their resources through the national budget, I hope that we will also promote these other critical aspects of aid effectiveness.

Of course, the Bretton Woods institutions play an important role in the world economic affairs, especially in developing countries. The IMF’s PRGF program in Afghanistan is a mechanism for market-oriented economic reform, which is expected to promote sustainable growth. We appreciate IDA’s continued funding of some of our most essential development programs as well as rebuilding effective state institutions. Donors, including the World Bank, should support the governments of developing and post-conflict countries to develop greater capacity for responding to drastically changing external conditions. In this context, we commend President Zoellick in responding to rising global food prices. The Bank’s Food Crisis Response Trust will help countries to increase food security over time; we indeed hope that the long-term solutions to food insecurity will receive greater attention. However, it is also necessary for countries like Afghanistan to receive immediate assistance to respond to the short-term crisis. We also welcome the World Bank Group’s collaboration with the UN High Level Task Force on Global Food Crisis.

I also appreciate the discussion at the IMFC on Saturday on concrete steps to restore financial stability and the smooth functioning of credit markets. Developing countries could indeed suffer greatly from a
long global slowdown. It will be therefore crucial to maintain focus on support for sustainable growth, poverty reduction, and the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). We hope that the current financial crisis will not reduce the amount of assistance available for development. In this regard, we welcome the world leaders’ renewed commitment to the MDGs at the recent United Nations (UN) High Level event. We also welcome the IMF’s mobilization of the Poverty Reduction and Growth Facility (PRGF) in response to its members’ needs, and the recent reform for easier and more rapid access to concessional assistance in response to external shocks.

We also strongly support the World Bank’s continued focus on access to renewable energy, a key requirement for developing countries to achieve economic growth and make progress towards the realization of the MDGs. As discussed yesterday in the Development Committee, we recognize the importance of supporting the low-income countries in adapting to the effects of climate change, but we hope that this effort will not mean redirecting financial resources from other important development priorities. Furthermore, there is an additional concern about the increasing cost of projects due to screening of climate change impact.

In conclusion, despite the increased difficulties in our environment and the complexity of our problems, the government of Afghanistan has adhered to its economic reform agendas. Our developmental achievements are still impressive. We thank the IMF for advising us on establishing an economic system that will enhance sustainable growth and the World Bank for financing some of our essential developmental and effective state-building programs. Even though we face tremendous challenges, with your continued support, I am confident that we will overcome these problems.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR AUSTRALIA

Wayne Swan, M.P.

*Global financial crisis*

We are facing a global crisis, which requires a global solution. Australia has been participating fully in the international response so far—through our membership of bodies such as the IMF, the Financial Stability Forum (FSF), and the Group of Twenty (G-20).
Progress this weekend represents a significant step forward in the response to the challenges we all face. Australia is very supportive of efforts in the United States and elsewhere to manage immediate risks to financial stability and restore market confidence, and of the US Treasury Blueprint for domestic financial regulatory reform. Our central bank is an active participant in cross-border initiatives to provide markets with liquidity.

For its part, Australia’s banking system remains resilient, with stable profits, good balance sheets and few nonperforming loans. Our strong prudential and macroeconomic frameworks have also enabled us to withstand the turmoil well so far.

However, Australia’s financial system is being affected by global events, in particular developments in international wholesale funding markets. As a preemptive move to guard against risks, we have decided to guarantee bank deposits for a period of three years and to guarantee, for a fee, wholesale term funding of banks and other authorized deposit-taking institutions to enable these institutions to continue to raise funds overseas in the current tight conditions.

These steps are in line with action taken in other countries and with the Group of Seven (G-7) agreement on Friday that the current situation calls for urgent and exceptional action to stabilize financial markets and restore the flow of credit to support global economic growth.

It is vital that the international community capture the lessons of the current global financial crisis and translate them into action. In the wake of the financial crises of the late 1990s, major players acknowledged the need to improve domestic regulation and strengthen the global financial architecture. Some useful things were done, but not enough.

We support the FSF recommendations and are working within the FSF and international standard setting bodies to ensure their full implementation domestically and internationally. This is essential to improving standards of disclosure, transparency, and prudential regulation of financial markets, and to rebuilding longer-term confidence in the system.

However, Australia believes the FSF recommendations should be enhanced by the inclusion of additional measures in support of conservative and consistent prudential standards that apply to all financial institutions of systemic importance. And we need to strengthen the global financial architecture through the existing forums of the IMF, the FSF, and the G-20.

Australia has proposed a five-point plan.

- First, all systemically important financial institutions, not just commercial banks, should be licensed—with licensing subject to
full disclosure and analysis of on- and off-balance sheet exposures.

- This should be embedded in globally agreed best practice standards of financial regulation whose implementation would be facilitated by the IMF and become an integrated part of the IMF’s surveillance activities. Central banks in each country would have responsibility for financial system stability.

- Second, counter-cyclical regulatory frameworks should ensure banks and other financial institutions build up capital in good times as a buffer for bad times, using predictable rules.

- Third, financial institutions need to have clear internal incentives to promote responsible behavior. There should be higher capital requirements for firms with remuneration arrangements that reward short-term returns or excessive risk taking.

- Fourth, supervisory systems must be more compatible with accounting principles that reflect reasonable assessments of the value of assets over time, so that accounting rules foster a more medium-term perspective.

- And finally, the G-20 would strengthen its input into shaping the work of the IMF and FSF and the implementation of agreed outcomes. The IMF would have a stronger mandate for prudential analysis. And the IMF and FSF would develop their capabilities for early warning of impending institutional vulnerabilities and provide timely advice on remedial policies.

Systemically important emerging market economies must have a role in strengthening the financial architecture. Indeed, while the epicenter of today’s financial crisis is the major advanced economies, it is disrupting the flow of capital across the globe and therefore all systemically important countries must be part of the solution.

That is why Australia also sees a central role for the G-20—with its unique membership of systemically important countries—in providing political authority for reforms to the architecture. This will mean the G-20 placing financial stability at the centre of its work program.

Under our proposals, the G-20 would monitor implementation of FSF recommendations, encourage their wide adoption, and engage on the risks facing the global financial system based on regular scenario analysis provided by the IMF and FSF. The communication of these risks must also be significantly improved by combining the IMF’s World Economic Outlook and Global Financial Stability Report into a single, more concise, and better-targeted report that clearly identifies
vulnerabilities and recommends policy responses in a form that demands attention by global policy makers.

In addition, given their systemic importance, individual G-20 members would provide the IMF and FSF with better information on the stability of their domestic financial systems and cross-border exposures.

These proposals would, I believe, build constructively on the work undertaken to date to try to prevent future crises.

Australia has been active in the IMF’s work to strengthen its financial sector surveillance and related policy advice, and ultimately its capacity to help prevent future crises. The IMFC has endorsed a strengthened IMF role in these areas, as part of the process of rebuilding the international financial architecture:

- The Fund will strengthen its early warning capabilities in relation to identifying and reporting on risks to global financial stability.
- The Fund will play a key facilitation, analytical and assessment role in helping build a more robust and coherent financial policy framework and advise members on policies to address the weaknesses revealed by the current financial turmoil.
- The Fund is strengthening its watchdog role in overseeing the implementation of policy reforms. With its wide membership and mandate, the Fund is uniquely placed to tailor, monitor, and evaluate the implementation of policy recommendations through its bilateral and multilateral surveillance activities.

To perform these roles effectively, the Fund will collaborate with the other multilateral forums to exploit complementarities and build international consensus.

Australia has been a key supporter of these reforms and welcomes their adoption.

We also fully support the use of the Fund’s emergency procedures to make available substantial resources where necessary to help member countries cover financing needs, and the accelerated work on the possible establishment of a new IMF liquidity instrument.

*Development Challenges*

Many developing countries are likely to face a dual challenge: slower global growth and increased difficulty in accessing credit and investment, combined with inflationary pressures driven by high commodity prices.
The IMF and World Bank will need to work collaboratively and urgently to respond to these issues, recognizing their respective mandates and comparative advantages.

Countries must also continue to work together to meet shared challenges and be engaged in discussions on global problems such as high food and energy prices and climate change. These problems will also require considered policy responses at the national level, with input and advice from organizations like the IMF and World Bank.

Open trade and investment policies, including reduced subsidies and tariffs, can strengthen developing countries’ economies, improving their resilience to respond to higher global food and fuel prices. Concluding the Doha round is one of the most important collective steps the world can take now to boost global food supplies and improve long-term food security.

I commend the World Bank for its efforts in helping break the cycle of food insecurity. Australia is committed to doing its share in the current fight against global food insecurity and was pleased to be able to commit A$50 million to the World Bank’s multi-donor trust fund.

Climate Change

For a long-term effective solution to climate change, all countries—both developed and developing—will need to be involved. Institutions such as the World Bank and the United Nations will also be required to work together to ease the challenges that climate change presents for developing countries, with the IMF also playing an important role in advising on macroeconomic impacts.

The Australian Government is committed to tackling climate change and is pursuing policies on energy efficiency, low emissions technologies and domestic and international adaptation. Discussions at the Bali breakfast highlight the important role of market-based mechanisms in tackling climate change. The central element of Australia’s climate change policy framework is a national emissions trading scheme, the Carbon Pollution Reduction Scheme. Australia is also pleased to be able to support the UK as G-20 host in 2009, by co-hosting a G-20 climate change workshop in February 2009.

International financial institutions have an important role in complementing the work of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. The World Bank’s Strategic Framework for Development and Climate Change presents a balanced approach for the World Bank in addressing climate change, while recognizing the core mandate of the Bank in supporting development outcomes in partner
countries. Australia was pleased to be able to announce an Australian contribution of A$150 million to the Climate Investment Funds.

Governance Reforms

Today’s world is very different from the world when the IMF and World Bank were conceived and it is important that these changes are reflected in institutional arrangements. Australia strongly supports efforts by the Fund and Bank to pursue governance reforms. All members will benefit from a Fund and Bank that have greater legitimacy and relevance, and are therefore more effective in fulfilling their respective mandates for international financial stability and poverty reduction.

The proposed voice and participation reforms considered by the Development Committee represent a good step forward, following the substantial quota and voice reforms agreed at the Fund. The Bank reforms will see an increase in the votes of developing and transition countries and a third chair for Sub-Saharan Africa—providing opportunity for the region to strengthen its voice in decision making at the Bank.

It will be important to build on this reform package to further strengthen the governance and policy framework of the Bank. Realigning voting interests in the Bank to reflect the increased economic weight of emerging economies will be fundamentally important to the long-term relevance of the Bank. Australia looks forward to working constructively with members on the challenging reforms that lie ahead.

At the IMF, Australia thanks the Independent Evaluation Office (IEO) for their comprehensive Report on the Evaluation of Aspects of IMF Corporate Governance—including the role of the Executive Board and looks forward to further work on these issues, including through the agreed work plan of the Executive Board and the independent assessment of the Committee of IMF Governance Reform, chaired by South African Finance Minister Trevor Manuel. Australia will continue to engage on proposals to strengthen the roles and accountabilities of the IMF Board of Governors, IMFC, Executive Board, and Management.

Sovereign Wealth Funds

Australia welcomes efforts to accommodate the growth of sovereign wealth funds, which are becoming increasingly important in global financial markets and have demonstrated that they can have a stabilizing influence in these markets.

We thank the IMF for their work in facilitating and coordinating the work of the International Working Group of sovereign wealth funds. We welcome the release of the Santiago Principles. If widely subscribed to,
the Principles will build transparency and strengthen confidence in the independence and commerciality of sovereign wealth funds, supporting an open and stable international investment climate.

**IMF Refocusing and Budget Reform**

Finally, Australia would like to thank the IMF Managing Director for his work, supported by the Executive Board, in achieving significant refocusing of the Fund’s work program on areas of comparative advantage, and budget reform involving substantial expenditure savings and the establishment of a new income model. These are difficult reforms not often seen in multilateral bodies and should not be underestimated.

Australia values its close working partnership with the Fund and Bank and we look forward to further ongoing productive dialogue with both institutions to promote global stability and sustainable development.

---

**STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR THE BAHAMAS, ON BEHALF OF THE JOINT CARIBBEAN GROUP**

*Hubert A. Ingraham*

As Governor for The Bahamas, I have been given the distinct honor to speak on behalf of the Caribbean Community (Caricom), namely, Antigua, The Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Dominica, Grenada, Guyana, Haiti, Jamaica, Montserrat, St. Lucia, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, Suriname, and Trinidad and Tobago.

We express our gratitude to the management and staff of the Fund and the Bank as well as the government of the United States of America for the arrangements made for these meetings.

These meetings are being held at a critical time. Global economic growth is being eroded by exorbitant increases in commodity prices gravely impacting the living standards of our people. The tremendous uncertainty in global financial markets is seriously threatening employment levels in our countries, with further negative effects on the living standards of our people.

The medium-term outlook for less developed countries and small island states, such as ours, appears especially challenging. However, we
believe that these challenges can also present opportunities to us all if the appropriate international responses are effective.

For our part, we intend to do all we can to implement policies and measures that will reposition our economies for early, resilient, sustainable and strong growth to meet the aspirations of our peoples.

Rise in Energy and Food Prices

While we have been doing our best to overcome these difficulties, the sustained increases in energy and food prices have presented serious macroeconomic, social, and human development challenges for small, open economies such as ours.

Like others around the world, the governments of Caricom have been preoccupied with the accelerating rates of inflation fuelled by the unprecedented spikes in energy and food prices.

Since food and fuel account for a large component of our consumption baskets, the impact of the price increases has been hugely damaging to the living standards of our people.

Moreover, the growing cost of energy is affecting the travel plans of many internationally, with direct negative consequences for tourism, a principal industry for most Caricom countries.

The severity of the problem has compelled regional Governments to implement various support programs to mitigate the adverse effects on poor and vulnerable groups. These actions have placed additional burden on already strained fiscal accounts.

Since the high prices are expected to persist over the medium-term, there is an elevated risk of social and economic dislocation. We have therefore intensified our efforts to formulate sustainable regional agricultural and energy policies. We hope that these will bolster our ability to absorb external shocks.

Millennium Development Goals

Despite the challenges facing the region, our countries remain firmly committed to achieving the Millennium Development Goals by the year 2015. However, we cannot accomplish these goals and maintain these standards on our own.

We look forward to and we welcome continued assistance from the Bretton Woods institutions and from our development partners. It is within this context that we wish to express our sincere appreciation to the President of the Bank for his invaluable efforts in overseeing a successful IDA-15 replenishment exercise. This concessional window has contributed significantly to the economic development of some of our member countries.
We recognize IDA’s eligibility criteria in terms of per capita GDP and that some of our members are surpassing these levels. But given the special circumstances of small island states, we urge the Bank to continue IDA support to the region.

Climate Change

We welcome the enhanced awareness and attention our developed country partners are paying to climate change. The recent G-7 meeting witnessed the adoption of a proposal by member countries to reduce greenhouse gas emission by 50 percent by the year 2050.

The persistent challenge of climate change, with its dire twin prognosis of sea level rise and stronger and more frequent hurricanes, is especially troubling for the Small Island Developing States (SIDS) of Caricom.

Much of our land mass is within 1.5 meters of sea level and our most significant economic development has taken place in susceptible coastal zones. So we are very much aware of the impact of climate change on the productive sectors of our economies.

We have moved with urgency to effect numerous adaptation and mitigation strategies. We believe that our efforts, although small, will make a meaningful contribution to the global effort.

The introduction of the Climate Investment Funds (CIF) is timely, and we congratulate the Multilateral Development Banks for their efforts in designing a financial mechanism to assist developing countries in addressing climate change.

Public Debt

We cannot overemphasize the issue of public debt sustainability, which is a serious and growing concern in our region of primarily Middle Income Countries (MIC). Too often, our ability to address social issues is hampered as a result of heavy debt servicing burdens.

In the case of Jamaica, 54 cents out of each dollar collected in government revenue goes to debt servicing. Similarly, our Eastern Caribbean States are challenged by rising debt. Consequent to the collapse of the Doha Round of trade talks, it is possible that these countries could witness further deterioration of their debt positions and heightened social challenges.

While we are indeed grateful for the assistance of the Bank in determining options for addressing this issue, we believe that our classification as MIC countries limits the Bank’s potential range of interventions. We therefore recommend that the Bank review the status of small island states and their unique needs.
Voice and Representation

We note the options presented for discussion by the Bank to increase the level of Voice and Representation for Developing and Transitional Countries (DTCs). We consider reforms arising from dialogue on this subject as salient to the effectiveness of the institution.

Our hope in this regard is for an agreement on a roadmap that addresses in sequence, and in a timely manner, the options deemed necessary for significant enhancement to the Voice and Participation of developing countries in the World Bank Group.

Caribbean Technical Assistance Centre

Once again, we place on record our deep appreciation to the Bank, the Fund, and the Caribbean Regional Technical Assistance Centre (CARTAC) for their invaluable contributions to institutional and capacity building, and the improvement of economic management in the Caribbean. As we continue to implement the regional agenda and strengthen our ability to respond effectively to challenges and to exploit opportunities, we will require well-coordinated assistance from the Bank, the Fund and international donors.

Notwithstanding the planned budget cuts, the Caribbean needs to remain a focus of the work of the Fund. To avoid crises, it is important that the Fund invest not only in those countries that are systemically important but also in those that are vulnerable.

Conclusion

Finally, Mr. Chairman, we know that the institutions listen carefully and with understanding to the views that we have expressed at these meetings.

We are sure that the institutions will respond urgently and positively to the needs that I have expressed today on behalf of the Caribbean Constituency, needs which are extremely urgent in the present unsettled global economic and financial environment.

We wish both institutions every success in their future endeavors to fulfill their respective mandates.
I feel privileged to have the opportunity to attend the 2008 Annual Meetings of the IMF and the World Bank at a very challenging time confronting the world economy. The financial market turmoil in some of the developed countries has the potential of exerting considerable negative impact on growth and poverty reduction in developing countries. We hope the ongoing government interventions would help calm the volatile situation and restore stability and confidence in the market place. This year, we are also halfway through our quest to achieving the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). It is high time for us to take stock of the progress we have made and evaluate our performance on the mutual accountability framework enunciated in the Monterrey Consensus for future course of effective action.

According to current estimates while poverty will be halved on a global level by 2015, many countries are likely to miss this target by a wide margin. The MDGs relating to human development are unlikely to be met even at the global level. Climate change in conjunction with spikes in the prices of energy and food poses grave threats to the sustainability of development and to poverty reduction. The challenges ahead of us are, therefore, daunting. The core constraint in achieving progress in MDGs continues to be lack of adequate development financing. We note with concern that real official development assistance from Development Assistance Committee (DAC) donors declined in 2006 and 2007. Many poor countries across regions have by now proved their ability to effectively absorb increased volume of aid. There is an urgent need now for the developed countries to fulfill their obligations to increase core development assistance to 0.7 percent of their gross national income.

We must also renew our commitment to further improve predictability and effectiveness of aid. We firmly believe that the Accra Agenda for Action provides an adequate blueprint to accelerate the progress we have made since the Paris Declaration. Strengthened donor harmonization and alignment with country systems are more critical now in view of the emergence of increasing number of donors and new aid modalities. We believe country ownership of the development agenda, use of country systems and a monitorable results framework will be critical for implementing the Accra Agenda for Action.
The recent surge in prices of commodities, oil, and food in particular, has seriously affected the poor in many low-income countries. While welcoming the call by President Zoellick for a ‘New Deal for Global Food Policy’ and his initiative to set up a ‘Global Food Crisis Response Program’ we call upon the World Bank to ensure that assistance under this facility is additional to the normal IDA allocation. We also welcome World Bank’s recent moves to bring back agriculture sector in the forefront of its agenda for development assistance. Simultaneously, the international community must delve into the root causes of this crisis and remove policy distortions to ensure food security.

The worst victims of climate change are the low-income developing countries and the poor communities whose contribution to this catastrophic change is minimal. Recognizing the inextricable linkage between climate change and development, we welcome the strategic framework of the World Bank Group on development and climate change. We also welcome the establishment of two new Climate Investment Funds. We, however, reiterate our call to address the climate change issue on the basis of the principle of “common but differentiated responsibility” as articulated in the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change. While we support the need for integrating actions on climate change into the development process, we believe that these actions should not compromise growth. Climate change action plans must be country-owned and backed by additional grant resources and technology transfer. Countries most vulnerable to climate change due to their geographic locations should be given adequate grant assistance, additional to normal assistance package, to meet both adaptation and mitigation needs.

Enhancing the voice and representation of developing countries in the decision making of the Bank is critical for its legitimacy and credibility as a multilateral development institution. We reiterate our view that the package of reforms to achieve this should address, amongst others, realignment of shareholding through a Bank-specific formula including weight of member countries in the global economy.

Let me now say a few words about the Bangladesh economy. Despite successive natural calamities and exogenous shocks, macroeconomic situation continues to remain stable with growth over 6 percent per annum. Most of the MDGs are within our reach. Surge in fuel and food prices generated some inflationary pressure, as in many other countries. Notwithstanding targeted safety net programs of the government, the inflationary pressure has somewhat retarded our progress in poverty alleviation.
Let me conclude, Mr. Chairman with the renewed hope that we will translate our commitments into concrete actions to build a world free of poverty.

STATEMENT BY THE ALTERNATE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND FOR BELGIUM

Jean-Pierre Arnoldi

More than ever, all member countries should cooperate with the IMF and the World Bank in promoting financial stability, durable development, and poverty reduction. This requires that the Bretton Woods institutions continue to adjust to the rapidly changing structures of the global economy.

The ongoing financial crisis calls for more effective Fund surveillance, which must be conducted evenhandedly. In doing so, the Fund will need the full cooperation of its members.

The statement of surveillance priorities, which the IMFC endorsed this weekend, focuses on the right issues. Special attention must be devoted to strengthening financial sector surveillance. A reshaped Financial Sector Assessment Program must be better integrated in Fund surveillance. In this field, the Fund should fully exploit synergies with all relevant standard-setting bodies.

The Fund should take the lead in drawing lessons from the current crisis and recommend effective actions to restore confidence and stability, in cooperation with relevant fora and partners.

The Fund must act effectively in giving timely financial assistance to countries hit by the crisis and to help improve, as needed, their macroeconomic policy frameworks.

The Fund’s lending framework has become too complex and no longer fits the needs of the membership. We welcome the fact that the Fund has launched a major review of its financing instruments. This review should cover all existing lending facilities, conditionality, access limits, and the cost of borrowing from the Fund. The objective should be to establish a simpler, more coherent and predictable framework, and enable the Fund to fulfill its mandate effectively. We see merit in a single, flexible facility.

The reform of the Fund members’ quotas and voice improves the representation for many countries. The governance of the Fund can also be further improved. The report of the Independent Evaluation Office on
the Fund’s corporate governance should be carefully considered as part of a more comprehensive review program as outlined by the Executive Board and the Managing Director.

The World Bank Group has embarked on the reform of voice and representation in its various institutions. We support the package that has emerged from the discussions. As we know it from the Fund, this reform is an ongoing process. The objective should be to endow the World Bank Group with a system that will allow regular adaptation to changing relative positions in the world economy while ensuring adequate representation of all members, including the smallest and poorest. This process should be complemented by internal governance reforms, based on the proposed Board effectiveness reform package.

The financial crisis must not let us forget the other crises that affect the poor. We refer, in particular, to the food and energy crisis. Although the prices of these commodities have declined in the recent past, for many commodities prices remain at historically high levels, and continue to affect the poor in low and middle-income countries. The World Bank Group and the Fund must act decisively to promote food security and help overcome the financial consequences for the poor countries.

Climate change is another challenge that calls attention. Whereas until recently the biggest problem was that of inaction, now we are faced with the specter of multiplicity of actions, bringing with it the danger of fragmentation and ineffectiveness. We strongly favor the full use of the existing financing instruments. The World Bank is well placed to play an important role in shaping the financing mechanisms to combat climate change, which is an integral part of the development agenda.

Poverty reduction remains an unfinished agenda. In a few weeks, in Doha, we will assess the progress made in promoting development since the Monterrey conference. That assessment will show important shortcomings. We must decide how to do better. In this, the World Bank and the IMF, with their unmatched experience and know-how are essential partners.

In closing, I would like to echo what the Managing Director of the IMF told us this morning: strong support for and strengthening the roles of the Bretton Woods institutions, is the best strategy to make our cooperation as effective as it needs to be. In this, we all benefit from the contributions of the institutions’ competent management and staff.
The world is in the midst of one of the most severe crises in the history of the capitalist system, potentially more serious than the Depression of the 1930s. According to experts, the worst is yet to come, thus we in the emerging and developing countries are deeply concerned. As we all know, the international financial crisis has its epicenter in the developed countries; yet the smaller countries such as ours are not immune, even though our capital markets are not integrated with the rest of the world. The effects of the crisis could be catastrophic for some low-income countries that are commodity producers, given their external vulnerability. The external repercussions in terms of prices and export volumes may endanger poverty reduction policies and jeopardize efforts to attain the Millennium Development Goals.

When the smaller countries faced macroeconomic imbalances, the IMF and World Bank recommended structural adjustment policies with financing subject to strict conditionality. Bolivia and other countries had no choice but to accept these recommendations; however, these policies—based on market forces and limited government involvement—merely fostered social marginalization, harmed the environment, and exacerbated poverty. Conversely, when the developed countries create a crisis like the present one through inadequate government supervision of the development of financial markets, no attempt is made to execute an emergency Financial Sector Assessment Program (FSAP), let alone adjustment programs.

IMF surveillance ought to focus primarily on the larger countries, however, the Fund and the Bank did just the opposite, resulting in the obvious adverse effects that have radiated across the globe. The crisis in the global financial system, which is attributable to inadequate regulation by financial supervisors and speculative behavior by transactors in the main financial centers, will be resolved at the expense of poor people in the developed and underdeveloped countries. The 21st century demands a qualitative change in managing the crises spreading around the world, be they in the spheres of finance, food, energy, or climate change. The developed countries must shoulder their responsibilities in this situation; they need to build a new international order focused on structural solutions to the economic, social, and environmental problems facing the underdeveloped countries.
The governments of the developed countries and multilateral organizations are proposing short-term financial solutions that do not necessarily address the structural causes of the crisis and, therefore, may not work. In the current context, the building of a new international order calls for financial architecture and regulatory institutions that promote comprehensive, orderly, and equitable development for all countries.

Those of us whose countries are not to blame for the current crisis but are nonetheless suffering from its fallout should receive both financial and commercial compensation. Accordingly, it is proposed that the World Bank and IMF make available to small countries specific programs that entail resource allocations without conditionality.

One of the key lessons we have learned from the current crisis is that, unless the State plays its role as leader in the development process and active participant in the economy, the attainment of the MDGs and poverty reduction will be seriously compromised.

Finally, we are calling upon the President of the World Bank to accede to the Bolivian government’s request to move forward Bolivia’s denunciation of the Convention establishing the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID Convention) and withdrawal of its consent to have its disputes heard under ICSID jurisdiction.

JOINT STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND GOVERNOR OF THE BANK FOR CAMBODIA

Chea Chanto and Aun Porn Moniroth

We are pleased to represent Cambodia at this important annual discussion. We meet here today under extraordinary circumstances related immediately to the mandate of our two institutions. The crisis we face is multi-faceted and affects people all over the globe, mostly the poor and underprivileged.

A cataclysmic and still enlarging financial crisis of unimagined and unprecedented proportions has engulfed all of us, catching us totally unprepared and unable to fully grasp its magnitude, an extensive labyrinth of complexity and adverse effects spreading to all parts of the globe. This is a man-made tsunami, long in the making. No one in the modern financial world could foresee it until its full force has come to unfold in the recent weeks. All the postmortem, which would no doubt be done on its causes, would not solve the gigantic problems we will all
face for years to come. Of immediate consequence to the developing and poor nations is that the slowing economies of the developed countries are shrinking demand for goods and services they import from the former. This in turn will affect millions of jobs and send tens of millions below the poverty line from which they have only recently emerged. The mammoth financial bailout undertaken by the bigger economies to avoid an even bigger crisis puts at risk the level of development assistance and accommodation needed from them to meet essential millennium development goals in the rest of the world.

Inflation is running high all over the world reducing growth prospects, leaving the poor not being able to meet even their essential survival needs. Food shortages are occurring in part to due subsidies provided to diversion of food crops for bio-energy. Food and fuel prices continue to be high, volatile, and unpredictable. All these factors feed into each other, with compounding global effects. Shock waves have reached every corner of the earth. It is clear that free, unregulated markets cannot correct themselves.

All of us here need to admit that our two global institutions with all their research expertise failed to notice such developments in advance and alert the world. They did not do their global watchdog functions. They seem to have been more absorbed in the euphoria of preaching to developing countries and prescribing to them impossible conditions. Even as they imposed more and more reforms on borrowers, they had relegated pursuit of agricultural research, production, and productivity. Our institutions turned the spotlight on poor countries failing even to notice the mammoth turmoil brewing here in their own backyard.

But, the crisis we face gives us a real opportunity to start afresh. We need to critically review the mandate and functions of the two institutions so that they get out of 20th century mindsets and become more tuned, alert, capable, responsive, and ready to face the 21st challenges. Cambodia joins in the calls made by others to come up with new roles, shape, structure, and accountability for the two institutions so that they can truly keep a watch over global developments, not merely in the poorer part of the world. We need to set in place sensors and triggers that can alert us in advance about any crisis in the future and to enable us to take adequate alleviating action just as we do when we know of natural disasters in the making. We need to protect the world from such disasters in the future.

The review needs to be conducted by a body of experts, drawn from the academic world and public finance practitioners including from the developing world. A high-level body needs to be set up consisting of governors of the two institutions with proportional representation from all regions.
There is at the same time the need to expand and enhance intra regional cooperation and solidarity through regional bodies, institutions, and mechanisms. Effective regional bodies and intra regional investments could possibly cushion against future global shocks.

Even as the world manages the ongoing crisis, it is imperative that we do not lose sight of our long-term global commitments to alleviate the miseries of the poor in mostly developing countries. The task of poverty alleviation and reaching promised millennium development goals cannot afford delays or postponement. If any, it needs much faster acceleration and concerted action. Not only our two institutions, but all other international and bilateral partners should ensure that programs of assistance to these ends do not slacken but get enhanced. The present dark clouds over our skies should not stop us in our march.

Cambodia is getting fast integrated into the global economy. Because we are less developed, we have so far been only bruised by the global events and not very severely affected. We already feel the pain of high inflation, a slowing economy, and reduced exports, which affect our earnest efforts to reduce poverty, which have shown impressive success so far but are at risk now. We can no longer sustain our recent annual double-digit growth rates in the near future. Our inflation will remain higher than we would like. Our immediate concern is not to let anyone slide back into poverty from which they have only recently stepped out.

We see opportunity in the crisis to make our economic base more diversified by optimally using our natural endowments in rural areas where immense potential for increasing agricultural productivity remains untapped. The renewed focus on rural areas will also help us to immediately and directly address issues relating to poverty reduction.

Direct assistance to poor farmers by way of improved seeds, inputs, and extension services will at once alleviate poverty and broaden the economic base in a sustainable manner. Both the World Bank and the UN have clearly acknowledged that this is the need in most parts of the world.

In the tasks ahead in Cambodia, we need continued help from our development partners and international and regional institutions in the following ways at least:

First, write off, or provide swap arrangements in regard to, past outstanding debts, some incurred during a war period dating back to more than thirty years ago. The released resources could be ploughed back into the rural economy and toward achieving millennium development goals.

Second, provide funds for direct assistance to the poor in rural areas to improve their livelihood through better inputs and practices in agriculture.
Third, open up markets in the developed world by reducing tariff and local subsidies for products that we can best supply at lower cost.

Fourth, international institutions start to function more effectively as the advocate of poorer countries in the international arena.

The Cambodian delegation looks forward to a meaningful debate and emergence of a clear course of action at this meeting.

We now turn to other matters on our agenda. We agree with the core objectives and the broad set of guiding principles as outlined in the paper on voice and participation at the Bank. We would like to stress that the voting powers of the smaller members should be protected. We support the increase in IBRD basic votes, which will have a bigger impact on raising the voting power of smaller countries. Practical options for realignment of IBRD shareholding should be considered. We support an additional chair for Sub-Saharan Africa in the Bank’s Executive Board. We want to see this effort implemented in the broader context of Board effectiveness and governance. On the selection of Bank President, we believe that the process should be consistent among the World Bank group, IMF and the Regional Development Banks.

We find that the six strategic directions in the Bank’s Strategic Framework for Development and Climate Change support the vision of the World Bank Group of “contributing to an inclusive and sustainable globalization—to overcome poverty, enhance growth with care of environment, and create individual opportunity and hope.” However, “public goods” are also vital to address adverse effects of climate change and should not be crowded out of IDA funds. The Bank should mobilize additional financial resources to support strong country ownership and target specific interventions.

We have noted with regret that, by using the International Procurement Agency (IPA) arrangement, the Bank financed portfolio for Cambodia suffered a decline last year and would do so next year. This would represent the worst portfolio performance since we began to receive assistance from the Bank. We are disturbed by such poor results.

We wish now to present to you some highlights of Cambodia’s macroeconomic and financial performance. Our socio-economic agenda is spelt out in the Rectangular Strategy we have developed and adopted in 2004 and is implemented through our National Strategic Development Plan, 2006–2010. The Royal Government formed after the recent general elections has reaffirmed this strategy and will continue it in its second phase.

As a result of clear vision and concerted action on a package of policy-oriented measures, despite some difficulties and attendant social pains, a number of positive developments are taking place in the country. Poverty level in the country declined rapidly in three years, from about
35 percent in 2004 to 30 percent in 2007. In 2008, we expect that GDP growth would continue at a healthy level, though declining from the two digit levels seen in the last few years. Per capita income rose to US$594 in 2007 increasing by over 50 percent in three years from 2004. Foreign reserves doubled during this period and now stand at $1.9 billion. The exchange rate with the U.S. dollar will remain fairly stable. Budget performance would continue to improve with the rationalization and reorientation of public expenditures.

We are quite optimistic about the prospects of revenues from exploitation of our oil and natural gas reserves. We will ensure that benefits accrue to and are available to all Cambodians whose common heritage they are. The additional revenues will be used for targeted investments in priority sectors set out in our National Strategic Development Plan. We are fully committed to managing revenues from our natural resources in a transparent and effective manner for the long-term development of the country and with full accountability.

Inflation, which was well managed and contained until 2006, suddenly increased in the last quarter of 2007, spurred both by the external environment already pointed out and by internal pressures, including high liquidity in the system. It has continued at a high rate since then. This has prompted a more prudent management of prevailing monetary conditions. The monetary policy implementation has focused on managing the excess liquidity and the over-involvement of banks in the real estate sector, which could have adverse consequences. Among measures undertaken were increasing the statutory reserve requirement from 8 percent to 16 percent, increasing the capital requirement of the banks, and reduction in real-estate lending. In the area of fiscal policy, despite few expansionary measures to contain the impact of inflation on livelihood of the most vulnerable group of population, the general policy line of the Royal Government is limiting spending, increasing current budget surplus, reducing overall budget deficit, increasing Government’s deposit in the banking system and redirecting spending towards productive sectors, especially agriculture and rural economy. However, the Royal Government, conscious of the trade-off between inflation and growth, will follow a flexible approach.

In strengthening of the banking system, we have moved away from judgmental loan classification to the prudential asset classification based on objective criteria, adopted strict criteria for the level of provisioning to be applied to various grades of loans, and enhanced credit information sharing system. The implementation of reforms and strengthening of the institutional structure of the banking sector in Cambodia has had an all round salutary impact on the financial health of the banking system, as evidenced by the significant improvements in a number of prudential
parameters. The average capital adequacy for the commercial banks in June 2008 was 25.5 percent, well above the benchmark of 15 percent despite significant growth in the aggregate assets of the banking system. In regard to the asset quality, the nonperforming loan ratio, which was as high as 14.8 percent in 2002 and 9.9 percent at the end of 2006, declined significantly to 2.6 percent as at the end of June 2008. These figures have been driven by loan loss provisioning by the banks as also by the improved recovery climate enabled by the more supportive legislative environment.

In sum, our system in the banking sector supervision has worked satisfactorily and the volume of business transactions undertaken by the sector has been increasing steadily. The level of financial deepening has accelerated with increased financial services promoted through the progressive reforms implemented under the Financial Sector Blue Print, 2001–2010, which in late 2007 was updated to the Financial Sector Development Strategy, 2006–2010.

Reforms in other areas of the banking activities are also underway. These include strengthening and supporting the growth of microfinance institutions, the improvement of corporate governance and banks internal audit, enhancing credit information sharing system, and enabling financial leasing business for banks. We have also accentuated our efforts to ensure an efficient implementation of the Law on Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Financing of Terrorism that was adopted in 2007 through the recent establishment of the Financial Intelligence Unit and will allocate resources to facilitate capacity of this unit.

Ladies and gentlemen, we wish to record our appreciation for the assistance Cambodia has received from the Bank and the Fund. We also urge that the Fund to exclude poor countries from its new scheme on “country contributions” or charging for policy technical assistance.

In conclusion, we wish to once again thank the Board, management, and staffs of the Bank and the Fund for their readiness to respond to the needs of Cambodia and for providing support over the years.
We are experiencing a time of global economic and financial uncertainty that in some respects is unprecedented in the last half century. Virtually no country is immune to the risks stemming from the turmoil in global financial markets and many are facing serious dislocations from the sharp hikes and volatility in commodity prices we have witnessed. In these difficult times, having strong global institutions like the World Bank and the IMF is a tremendous asset. We must use the momentum for reforms in both institutions to ensure they are fully equipped to pursue their important mandates.

Global and Canadian Prospects

The global economy continues to be buffeted by shocks emanating from the turmoil in many parts of the global financial system and by increases in the prices of commodities central to people’s standards of living. Growth in the major advanced economies has slowed sharply, and although emerging markets will remain the major driver of global economic growth, their pace of growth is expected to slow. This has made it increasingly important for countries to work together to promote a return to strong sustained global growth and stability. I believe that finance ministers should meet again in the coming weeks to ensure continual progress. The government of Canada also supports the idea put forward by President Sarkozy of a leaders’ summit to review measures to strengthen the international financial system.

Many economies are better placed today to weather these shocks due to past improvements in policy frameworks. Canada, along with others, took the necessary measures in recent years to put public finances on a sound footing. This has provided us with the flexibility to respond to signs of a softening of growth with timely fiscal stimulus while continuing to maintain a balanced budget. While headline inflation has picked up globally as a result of oil and commodity price increases, the increased credibility of central banks who have adopted strong policy frameworks have generally kept inflation expectations well anchored. However, signs of higher inflation are more worrying in several emerging market economies, many of which are sacrificing some of their
monetary policy independence by limiting the flexibility in their currencies.

Economic growth in Canada has weakened since the end of 2007 as a result of the United States slowdown, which, coupled with a higher Canadian dollar, has significantly reduced Canadian exports. However, as a result of the strong dollar and higher commodity prices, Canadian consumers and businesses have benefited from rising real incomes and profits. As a result, domestic demand growth in Canada remains solid despite slower growth overall. Moreover, Canada’s economic fundamentals remain strong: employment has continued to increase this year; the unemployment rate remains near a 33-year low; the financial sector remains strong and well-capitalized; the financial positions of consumers, businesses, and governments are sound; and core inflation remains low and stable. The IMF expects Canadian growth to be 0.7 percent in 2008, increasing to 1.2 percent in 2009.

Core inflation pressures remain contained at 1.7 percent in August 2008, despite a recent uptick in headline inflation. Total consumer price inflation was 3.5 percent in August, compared to a recent low of 1.4 percent in March 2008 reflecting increases in the prices of energy and food products following sharp increases in world prices earlier this year. On October 8, the Bank of Canada joined other major central banks in a simultaneous reduction of policy interest rates by 50 basis points. This significant action will provide timely support for the Canadian economy.

Canada’s fiscal situation remains strong. In fact, it remains the best of the G-7 countries. According to the IMF’s fall outlook, on a total government basis, Canada’s budget surplus was 1.4 percent of GDP in 2007 and is projected to remain in surplus for 2008 and 2009. Canada also has a very strong track record on debt reduction. Our total government net debt, as a percentage of GDP, has declined steadily from a peak of nearly 71 percent in 1995 to about 23 percent in 2007.

The IMF’s Role in Low-Income Countries

Canada commends the recent work done by the staff and management to address the particular needs of low-income countries through the presentation of a comprehensive approach to IMF engagement in these countries. In order to achieve economic growth and poverty reduction, and to reach the Millennium Development Goals, macroeconomic and financial stability are essential. This is why the Fund’s work is so important and must remain focused and effective, especially in the context of a more stringent budget environment. Key to this will be avoiding the reemergence of unsustainable debt in post-debt-relief members and preventing its emergence in other low-income
members. Coherence with the World Bank and other institutions and development partners remains fundamental to the effectiveness of the Fund’s activities in low-income countries, and should be strengthened further wherever possible.

**IMF Governance Reforms**

Subsequent to the successful conclusion of discussions on a new quota formula this past spring, it is now time to address a broader reform agenda for the Fund’s governance. The April 2008 report of the Independent Evaluation Office highlights broad areas that need to be addressed, such as strengthening the strategic role of the International Monetary and Financial Committee, increasing the strategic focus of the Board of Executive Directors as well as clarifying its oversight role, and clarifying the accountability of the Managing Director and Staff. We also need to make further progress to open the selection process for the heads of international financial institutions. I believe that these are all relevant issues which, once resolved, will lead to a more legitimate and effective institution.

**Surveillance Reforms**

IMF surveillance is at the heart of the Fund’s mandate of promoting global stability and important innovations have been made in this area. Recent developments in global financial markets underscore the appropriateness of the Managing Director’s vision for the IMF as being an international centre of excellence on linkages between the financial system and the real economy. It will be critical to continue to strengthen the IMF’s analytic capacity in this area and to continue to improve the manner in which it communicates its analysis of macrofinancial developments to policymakers and the public.

In June of last year, the Fund adopted the 2007 Decision on Bilateral Surveillance over Members’ Policies to further improve the effectiveness of its surveillance activities. Since then, we have noticed improvements in the focus of Article IV reviews, although the all-important goal of increasing the candor of surveillance reports remains a work in progress. The time has now come to support the full implementation of the 2007 Surveillance Decision, including the use of the ad-hoc consultations process to ensure that concrete results are achieved.

In this respect, I am very pleased that the International Monetary and Financial Committee has just endorsed the IMF’s first Statement of Surveillance Priorities (SSP). I view the new SSP as an important complement to the 2007 Surveillance Decision in that the SSP provides
the opportunity to enhance the focus of IMF surveillance on the most pressing issues, promote greater consensus within the membership on the key economic vulnerabilities and risk and the need to address them, and improve the accountability of the IMF for its surveillance outputs. It is important that we use the SSP to its full potential.

Review of IMF’s Financing Role and Instruments

The recently launched strategic review of the Fund’s lending tool kit is timely. The global economy has changed so much since the Fund’s tool kit was originally designed that mere incremental changes are unlikely to ensure a modern and appropriate mix of lending facilities. While some aspects of lending have already been addressed, such as changes to the Exogenous Shocks Facility, critical work is also needed to ensure coherence and effectiveness across the range of instruments. More fundamentally, the Fund should look back at the context in which each lending instrument was first created to evaluate its relevance to address today’s challenges.

Food and Fuel Prices

High global food and fuel prices continue to be a critical development concern, and we commend the Bank and Fund for drawing early attention to the crisis and helping to place it high on the international agenda. This crisis requires a rapid and effective response. In this regard, we welcome the prompt action taken by the Bank to facilitate a coordinated and multifaceted response. With offices in more than 100 countries, the Bank is well positioned to integrate a response to the food crisis directly into existing country programs, aligning with the country’s priorities in harmonization with other donors. Likewise, we are pleased with the prompt action by the Fund to provide advice, technical support and in some cases funding through PRGF programs. We also welcome recent reforms to the Exogenous Shocks Facility to make it a more effective crisis response tool.

Action is not only needed to relieve the immediate effects of the current food crisis. As the recent High Level Event on the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) noted, the first MDG, to reduce hunger by half, is unlikely to be met by 2015. Thus, food security is an on-going and serious problem that must not be forgotten once the current crisis is no longer at the top of the international agenda. As an illustration of the size of the challenge, it is expected that food production in Sub-Saharan Africa will need to double or even triple over the next few years to meet local demand.
In this context, we encourage the World Bank to focus on investments to promote sustainable forms of agricultural production, especially those that would benefit smallholder farmers who are most in need of support. It is especially crucial to ensure that programs are designed to be equitable and to meet the needs of the most vulnerable, often women and girls. Another key part of the solution will be to foster innovations for increased agriculture productivity, including through public-private partnerships.

**World Bank Reform**

Enhancing the participation of developing and transition countries in the World Bank’s decision-making processes is an important objective and we are pleased with the discussion and work to date on the Bank’s Voice and Participation reform exercise. We will continue to support and participate in these efforts.

Adjustments to increase the voting power and shareholding of developing and transition countries and an additional seat for Africa at the Executive Board are important components of voice reform, and we look forward to agreements on these options. We believe that further measures to improve focus and communication within the Executive Board are equally important, and in this regard, we look forward to the World Bank’s management and the Executive Board elaborating a set of specific reforms. We are also pleased with the steps the Bank has taken to improve the voice of developing and transition countries in their operational work, such as the appointment of more developing country nationals to senior management positions and the decentralization of their operations. We encourage the Bank to continue to explore what more can be done in this regard.

Stronger engagement of developing and transition countries in the World Bank’s decision-making will yield many benefits. Strong participation of all members at the Board of Governors and the Executive Board will be a key backdrop for the Bank becoming an even better platform to support dialogue and collective action on global issues. It can help improve the design of new sector strategies and instruments as developing and transitions countries bring lessons learned from their own country programs to the table. Finally, more voice for the Bank’s client countries at the operational level will help with the success of lending programs by ensuring that projects are properly tailored to country contexts and that governments have a true sense of ownership and accountability over them.
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STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND FOR THE PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Yi Gang

The U.S. financial crisis has taken a serious turn for the worse and continues to spread across the globe. Economic growth has slowed markedly worldwide and we face enormous uncertainty. For the international community, the most urgent task is to join efforts to stem further deterioration and spread of the crisis—the major threat to global growth—and restore global economic and financial stability. The Bretton Woods institutions should fulfill their mandates to maintain global monetary and financial stability and facilitate sustainable, balanced growth.

We hope the measures adopted by advanced economies to stabilize financial markets—particularly the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 in the United States—will be implemented quickly and yield positive results in restoring confidence and stabilizing the financial markets. China will continue to strengthen its cooperation with concerned countries and hopes that all governments will work together to overcome the current difficulties and restore international financial stability. From the medium- and long-term perspective, the reserve currency issuing countries must assume international responsibility, commensurate with their positions, for advancing structural adjustments, increasing savings, and preserving economic health and sustainable growth to benefit balanced and stable growth of the global economy.

In 2008, China has weathered the shocks of natural disasters and an adverse external environment. While maintaining stable and relatively rapid economic growth, clear progress has been made with structural adjustments. Consumption has increased, the trade surplus has narrowed, and the growth of foreign exchange reserves slowed. The industrial structure has been improved. Every effort is being made to protect the environment through intensifying energy conservation and the reduction of COD and SO₂ emissions. Inflationary pressure has decreased with the CPI dropping in recent months to 4.9 percent y-o-y in August. In addition, financial institutions in China remain sound. After several years of comprehensive financial reforms, the capital adequacy of financial institutions has reached a historical high, corporate governance has improved markedly, and risk management strengthened significantly. There is ample liquidity in the market. Overall, the fundamentals of the Chinese economy are solid and resilient. We are confident we can
weather the financial turmoil. With the global economic slowdown, it is important that China maintains its stable and relatively rapid growth.

We remain committed to expanding domestic demand—household consumption in particular—by providing a better social security system. In the rural areas, we are improving medical schemes and making additional resources available for infrastructure and education. The successful implementation of these adjustments will further improve the external and internal balances.

Here, I would like to make special mention of the substantial progress in reforming the renminbi exchange rate formation mechanism. Exchange rate flexibility has increased markedly and the exchange rate increasingly reflects the fundamentals. From introduction of the exchange rate reform in July 2005 to end-September 2008, the real effective exchange rate (REER) of the renminbi calculated by the Bank for International Settlements appreciated 21.8 percent, an average annual rate exceeding that of the euro, the yen, and currencies of other emerging Asian economies. From the unification of exchange rates in 1994, the REER appreciated 54.47 percent. In the past two months, the renminbi has appreciated 15 percent against the euro. The ongoing improvement in China’s balance of payments demonstrates that the renminbi exchange rate is closer to the fundamentals.

Mr. Chairman, China attaches significant importance to the role of the Bretton Woods institutions. The Fund should give the surveillance priority to the ongoing financial turmoil, deepen its analysis, learn lessons, and listen to the opinions of member countries. In providing a practical and effective guide for the Fund’s surveillance and in adapting to global economic developments, the 2007 Surveillance Decision should be reviewed and revised as soon as possible so that the Fund can determine where the true risks lie, and adopt effective measures to maintain a stable and orderly global economic and financial system. From the medium- and long-term perspective, the Fund must address the inherent deficiencies of the current international monetary system and foster an international financial architecture adaptive to the evolving global economy and financial markets.

As the largest multilateral development institution, the World Bank should re-assess the challenges confronting the developing countries—soaring food and fuel prices, higher financing costs, deteriorating balance of payments positions, and mounting inflationary pressures. With the advantages of its financing capacity and expertise, the World Bank should urge the developed countries to shoulder their due responsibilities in stabilizing the global economy through targeted measures, carried out in an even-handed and professional fashion.
We welcome the World Bank’s active participation in the global response to climate change and formation of the World Bank Group’s Strategic Framework for Development and Climate Change. In accordance with the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and the Kyoto Protocol, we agree that in carrying out its core mission of poverty reduction and development, and, with no policy conditions attached, the World Bank should vigorously encourage the transfer of low-carbon technologies and provide recipient countries with practical aid in facilitating their response to climate change.

Enhancing the voice and representation of developing and transition countries (DTCs) in the World Bank’s decision-making process is an essential component of its governance. Ensuring that all DTCs benefit in the reform process and the ultimate achievement of a 50/50 distribution of voting rights is the most fundamental and important objective of World Bank reforms. With the proviso that existing DTC Executive Director seats are not affected, we support the addition of a Chair for the African constituency, and endorse further discussion on the selection process for leadership of the World Bank in accordance with the principles of openness, competition, and merit.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND FOR THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA

Boris Vujčić

It is my honor to address the 2008 Annual Meetings of the Boards of Governors of the World Bank and the Fund here in Washington D.C. This year stands out as a year in which the Fund undertook important governance and finance reforms, broadly supported by its membership. But, it also stands out as a year in which the landscape of financial markets is being changed, triggered by financial crises in the US market, and a year in which inflation came back. With no doubt, the latter two developments represent a test for the international financial system and add to the Fund’s challenging task of promoting the stability of the international monetary system.

Allow me first to outline the major economic developments related to Croatia. Thereafter, I will touch on Croatia’s relations with the Fund and the Bank, and finally, I intend to discuss a couple of policy issues relevant for the Bank/Fund business.
Croatia, like other emerging European economies, was faced with increasing inflation rates during the last 12-month period, mainly due to increases in food and energy prices, but also due to demand pressures, given strong private consumption growth, especially in the last year. More than half of the July inflation rate (8.4 percent, annualized) can be attributed to increases coming from food prices, while an additional quarter comes from oil and electricity prices. However, we expect inflation to ease by the year-end, and to come down toward 5 percent. In achieving this, the central bank will continue to rely on exchange rate stability that anchors inflationary expectations, as well as on policies that, on the one hand, restrain excess liquidity in the banking system, and on the other hand contain banks’ credit activity within reasonable limits (i.e., on policies that help contain demand pressures and safeguard stability of the financial system). The role of the government also continues to have a paramount importance in curbing inflationary expectations by suppressing any wage demands (within their jurisdiction) that would go beyond productivity gains, which serves as an important signal. It thus helps prevent potential second-round effects (through the wage spiral) on inflation. No doubt, besides the prudent policies on the domestic front, future inflationary path will continue to depend primarily on global developments in food and oil prices. In this respect, we very much welcome the analysis on commodity prices and inflation provided in the coming World Economic Outlook, which gives no reassurance that the price hikes of food, metals and oil that we have been observing in the recent past are firmly behind us.

Ongoing distress in global financial and capital markets, particularly in the US, is not hurting Croatia’s banking system, which accounts for three-quarters of the total financial system assets in Croatia, and represents the most important source of funding for the economy. Neither can we see any immediate risks that could arise in the foreseeable future (affecting the stability of our banks). This is so because Croatian banks have not been directly exposed, nor have their parent banks had any significant exposures to the developments in the US. And thanks to the central bank’s measures (both monetary and prudential ones) that have helped build solid cushioning elements into the system over the recent past. On the one hand, these measures have restrained excessive credit growth and foreign debt build-up; while on the other hand, they have ensured a build-up of liquidity reserves in the banking system that can help the system in withstanding even the serious international financial market disturbances we are currently witnessing. We observe, though, some indirect effects of the distress on our banks: lending standards tightening and slightly increasing interest rates. But so far, these factors have not been affecting banks’ activity in a way that would require the
central bank’s reaction. Also, we observe the impact of the distress on the Croatian capital market, but this has a very limited effect on broader economic activity, given the negligible role of the capital market in real economy financing, and even helps in both cooling private consumption and reducing banks’ foreign borrowing, as money has started to move back from investment funds and shares into bank deposits.

Against this background, the Croatian economy is in a cooling mode this year; after the last year’s very strong growth performance (5.6 percent) primarily fuelled by buoyant private consumption. The economy is expected to grow by roughly 4 percent this year, mainly due to decelerating private consumption and weaker net exports’ performance. The budget deficit for 2008 is projected at 1.3 percent of GDP (ESA 95 methodology). The fiscal impact together with the fact that the pensioners’ debt repayment scheme has entered into the second stage this year (with lower annual installments paid out to pensioners) will, however, have a far less expansionary effect on the economy this year than in 2007. Nonetheless, current account deficit is expected to deteriorate to -9.7 percent of GDP in 2008, (from -8.6 percent of GDP last year), primarily as a consequence of this year’s oil price hikes. External debt, on the other hand, is likely to improve by 1.7 percentage points of GDP, to 86.9 percent of GDP in 2008. An important contribution to an expected relative decline in external debt will come from banks, since banks have been scaling back their foreign liabilities as a reaction to the central bank’s measures.

While keeping a close eye on inflationary developments in the recent past, the Croatian authorities have remained strongly focused on external imbalances. In this respect, further fiscal consolidation that should help narrow the domestic savings-investment gap continues to be an objective of the policy stance. Government plans to achieve a balanced budget by 2010. On the monetary and financial fronts, the central bank has been taking new measures and vigilantly improving the existing ones for quite some time now, with a view to protecting the soundness of the banking system and supporting the overriding goal of external debt stabilization. As a result, banks’ credit growth decelerated to reasonable levels over the last two years (but at no expense for productive investments), the banking system continues to be well-capitalized and sound, and it is well-positioned to withstand potential macroeconomic shocks of a reasonable magnitude.

Turning to my second point—Croatia’s relations with the Fund and the Bank—I first want to stress that Croatia continues to have open and fruitful discussions with both the Fund staff (within the framework of Article IV consultations) and the Bank staff. The Bank has been supporting Croatia in its efforts to intensify structural and institutional
reforms that should make Croatia better prepared for the EU membership through Programmatic Adjustment Loans. In addition, Croatia has benefited from the Bank’s investment lending focused primarily on improving social welfare, enhancing economic competitiveness, protecting the environment, improving trade and transport infrastructure, and advancing Croatia’s knowledge-based economy.

Croatia has also been actively and intensively participating in a number of the Fund/Bank initiatives aimed at strengthening the architecture of the international financial system. Let me note in this context that in late 2007, the Fund/Bank staff conducted an Update of the Financial Sector Assessment Program for Croatia, which at the outset confirmed the soundness and resilience of the banking system. Also, apart from the ROSCs included in the FSAP, Croatia participated in two ROSCs in the recent past: the ROSC on Corporate Governance and ROSC on Accounting and Auditing. Moreover, in close cooperation with the authorities, the Bank staff is currently performing a Diagnostic Review of Consumer Protection and Financial Literacy. Besides, I wish to thank both the Fund and the Bank for providing us with expertise and technical assistance in specific areas, which is very much appreciated.

Finally, let me touch on a couple of policy issues relevant for the Fund/Bank business. First, regarding the Fund’s governance reform package, Croatia supported enhanced voice and participation of low-income countries in the Fund by adopting the relevant Amendment to the Articles of Agreement of the Fund, as well as the second ad hoc quota increase for underrepresented countries based on a new formula this year. Let me note that the new formula has brought much-needed transparency into the quota calculation process, and it also strikes right balance between the size of countries and their openness. However, it failed to deliver simplicity. In this regard, any further work on the quota formula should restrain from including new variables, especially those for which data availability and reliability is questionable. Second, Croatia gave its support to the Fund’s efforts to develop a more sustainable income-expenditure framework by accepting the Amendment to the Articles of Agreement of the Fund aimed at expanding the Fund’s investment authority. With more degrees of freedom to invest, the Fund has obtained an opportunity to put its own finances on a sustainable path over the medium-term. However, this opportunity requires more responsibility on the part of the Fund, especially in times of financial distress. Third, concerning the Bank, we believe that increasing voice and participation of DTCs, is important for further enhancing the legitimacy, credibility, and effectiveness of the World Bank. We welcome the measures already taken by the Bank to increase country ownership and project communication, and we encourage the Bank to increase its efforts in this
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I am honored to address the 2008 Annual Meeting on behalf of the eight Nordic and Baltic countries—Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Latvia, Lithuania, Norway, Sweden and Estonia. Our constituency strongly believes that the World Bank Group plays a crucial role in the fight against poverty and in the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals. We truly appreciate Mr. Zoellick’s work as the President.

Today, I will mostly focus on gender issues that are very important. I will also talk about the needs of Africa, especially the fragile states, the World Bank’s role in the Climate Change agenda and the importance of private sector development. But let me commence by saying a few words about the changing global economic environment.

Crisis in the financial markets, global slowdown and increased commodity prices that have led to high inflation, concern us all, not least the poorest countries. This changing external environment stresses the need for strong multilateral institutions to stand ready to play a countercyclical role. Indeed, the first priority for the use of IBRD and IFC capital must be preserving their ability to respond fast to potential increase in demand from client countries and companies. We all must remain committed to the long-term development agenda, regardless of the present events. Despite the current financial crises we encourage all donors to fulfill their commitments as agreed in the Monterrey Consensus, including the 0.7 percent of GNI to ODA; and also the full
financing of the Multilateral Debt Relief Initiative and the Heavily Indebted Poor Countries initiative.

We strongly believe that in order to meet the Millennium Development Goals, it is of vital importance to enhance our efforts on two overarching issues, namely gender equality and climate change. As we all know, the aspect of gender is undeniably interlinked with all the development issues. Economic empowerment of women pays off and has a large impact on private sector development, health issues, child and maternal mortality, education and more.

The World Bank has estimated that it takes a doubling of resources in order to achieve the Millennium Development Goal number 3 on gender equality. With President Zoellick’s six very concrete commitments to the gender equality and the timely implementation of the Gender Action Plan, the Bank is beginning to show a leadership in placing women at the center of development. We expect to see this important political leadership translating soon into sufficient resource allocations.

We are happy to note the World Bank Group’s increasing involvement in Sub-Saharan Africa, and especially in the fragile states. Fragile states are often plagued by violence, conflict and instability. In such situations, the women and children are suffering the most. These groups are also often excluded from processes and efforts to overcome conflict and violence. We have an obligation, as donors, to give specific attention to the needs of these vulnerable groups.

We commend the Bank for taking on an active role in combating climate change whilst underscoring the leadership role of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in the climate negotiations. The World Bank Group is well positioned to successfully address climate change challenges over the long-term, including partnering with developing countries in order to help them to establish and execute climate sensitive development policies. However, the social dimensions of climate change cannot be ignored. The climate change impacts often differ by gender and we believe that the Bank’s regional and sector strategies must adequately address it.

The role of the private sector as an engine of growth is well-known. In order for the private sector to successfully contribute to national development policies, institutions and regulatory frameworks are essential. We encourage the IFC, in close cooperation with other World Bank institutions and donors, to continue to pursue private sector development in the most difficult environments, in particular to Africa. The IFC and other donors play an important catalytic effect; and should continue investing in countries and sectors where the private sector otherwise would not.
We welcome the Bank’s increased attention on the role of women in business. The Doing Business Report illustrates numerous obstacles, limitations, and discriminations that still exist against women in many developing countries. These are not only obstacles to women, but obstacles to development and economic growth. We commend the staffs of the World Bank and IFC for their ongoing work in developing the Gender indicator for Doing Business. We believe it will initiate debate and bring the much-needed attention to the regulatory issues confronting women entrepreneurs. The partnership between the World Bank and the Nike Foundation on the Adolescent Girls Initiative is another excellent example, supported by many countries in our constituency. We hope to see an increasing World Bank cooperation with the private sector also in terms of more strategic Corporate Social Responsibility and the economic empowerment of women. Our constituency will continue to work actively with the Bank on these matters.

The World Bank is a dynamic institution. This character allows its clients to fully benefit from the Bank’s services. As they develop, former clients can take on more responsibility. Our constituency is a good example of this—all the Baltic countries have now graduated from IBRD client country status and are gradually joining the donor activities, where our Nordic neighbors have already set an impressive standard in delivering up to ODA targets and even exceeding them substantially.

Mr. Zoellick, on behalf of our Nordic-Baltic constituency, I would like to thank you for your dedicated leadership of the World Bank Group and wish you success for the years to come.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE BANK FOR FIJI

Peceli Vocea

Mr. Chairman, it is indeed an honour to address you on this annual meeting of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank, on behalf of the government of Fiji. Hon. Zoran Stavreski, I offer you my congratulations on your appointment to chair this joint annual meeting.

The international economy has been beset with a series of challenges in the past year that has raised uncertainties on many fronts. Many advanced economies face recession whilst growths of emerging market economies are slowing down. The spillovers of the crisis including weak external demand, persistent inflation and tight credit
conditions have become apparent and dim any hope of a quick turnaround in global growth.

Mr. Chairman, the crisis unfolding in the financial markets is of historic proportion. The problems beginning with the sub-prime mortgage markets more than a year ago, have reached unprecedented levels. It is evident that problems and risks taken on by financial institutions were veiled by huge corporate profits. With financial globalisation, the turmoil on Wall Street has serious implications for the rest of the world, as systemic risks have the potential to ignite a global credit crunch.

The fact that countries have taken a comprehensive approach to relieve the stress on their financial institutions and markets indicates that the financial crisis has now become a global problem. The systemic nature of the crisis calls for extraordinary or even unorthodox measures to calm the markets, restore financial stability and improve confidence. At the same time, we caution that these measures be carefully designed to avoid stifling proper functioning of market mechanisms and themselves being sources of risks. Furthermore, the financial regulatory architecture needs to be modernised and sound risk management practices developed so as to avoid a repeat of such a massive global financial catastrophe in future.

Small and open economies like ours are deeply concerned about the financial market events, which no doubt will have wide-ranging impact on us. It adds to the already precarious situation we face in managing the risks related to commodity price increases. Policy making as a result, has become more challenging with the trade-off between inflation and growth whilst ensuring financial stability is at the forefront of policy makers’ consideration. As such, the current crisis calls for more urgency in strengthening surveillance.

Mr. Chairman, the series of crises that we have seen lately raises a lot of questions about the vigilance of the Fund and the Bank. In this regard, we wish to emphasize the following. Firstly, it is important to enhance the surveillance of advanced and systemically important economies. It is evident from the crisis that there may have been oversight on this front that has now proven disastrous. Secondly, the advanced and systemically important economies should also be included in the vulnerability exercise. Thirdly, the Fund should be more holistic in its exchange rate assessment. In addition, we believe that in implementing the 2007 Decision, the Fund should focus on the broad macroeconomic picture and policy mix with due consideration of a country’s specific and unique circumstances in determining the appropriateness of a member’s exchange rate.
Mr. Chairman, the Bretton Woods institutions have a responsibility to cushion the effects of the fuel and food price increases on member countries. Quick action by the Fund and the Bank in analysing the impact of these price increases on low and low-middle-income countries, as well as the provision of funding assistance is acknowledged in this regard. To the Fund’s credit, the provision of useful analysis and policy advice to the members through flagship reports such as the Global Financial Stability Report and the World Economic Outlook have been useful. We also welcome the flexibility exercised in the recent modification of the Exogenous Shocks Facility. On the Bank side, we appreciate the progress made in implementing the Global Food Crisis Response Program to support countries that have been severely affected by the increase in food prices. We also look forward to a similar response program to assist countries mitigate the impact of high fuel prices.

Nevertheless, I believe more can be done and I am certain that members would prefer much swifter action by the two institutions during difficult times. As such, we urge the Fund and the Bank to be more flexible during adverse global developments. A review and reform of funding instruments within the Fund and the Bank may be necessary and would be welcomed.

Mr. Chairman, Fiji has been affected by the hikes in food and oil prices, but more severely by high oil prices given our heavy dependence on imported fuel. Recent surveys conducted by the Bank attest to this. Our authorities have implemented measures to counter the impact of the high prices on sections of the population that have been most affected, but we submit that these measures can only provide short-term relief.

As such, we appeal to the Fund and the Bank for technical as well as financial support to alleviate the effects of high commodity prices on small and remote island countries like Fiji. In line with its mandate, we call on the Fund and the Bank to provide assistance in the form of budgetary support that can create the fiscal space and allow Governments to strengthen social protection programs for the most vulnerable. To improve energy supply, we seek the Bank’s assistance in providing affordable financing and technical advice for projects that support viable long-term energy solutions. In this regard, we call on the Bank to review its position on the financing of a key renewable energy project in Fiji.

Mr. Chairman, poor and developing countries are more susceptible to the impact of climate change, and hence, will bear a bigger burden of the adaptation and mitigation costs. As a result, rehabilitation costs of climatic events are sometimes met at the expense of planned developmental programs, which add pressure to government finances. In this regard, we fully support the call for developed countries to take urgent action in contributing to the mitigation and adaptation costs of
developing countries. Contribution of financial resources must go beyond the existing envelope of donor assistance for mainstream developmental programs. The World Bank’s proposed strategy for climate change provides clarity on its role in expanding financial products and incentives, as well as in building collaborative relations amongst countries. However, the Bank must ensure that its products and programs for climate change take into account the special needs of member countries, particularly those most vulnerable.

Mr. Chairman, reforming the World Bank’s governance structure is vital to the legitimacy and long-term viability of the institution. As such, we feel the Bank’s unique mandate should be appropriately featured in the reform process. We note the progress made in the last few months and we support the proposed increase in basic votes to enhance the voting power of developing and transition countries. We also support the establishment of a third Executive Director for the Sub-Saharan African region. In our view, the geographical size and needs of the region justify the need for an additional chair to contribute to Board effectiveness and the Bank’s operations in Africa.

Mr. Chairman, allow me to provide an update on recent developments in Fiji. Given our current situation, we are aware that a clear and predictable plan for restoring democracy that is supported by the international community would be an important part of re-engagement. In this regard, I wish to assure you that the Interim Government continues to have effective control over the country. Peace and stability is maintained whilst positive progress towards democratic elections is being made. The population census is completed, a new supervisor of elections has been appointed and the election boundaries are being reviewed. Combating corruption and promoting good governance remains high on the agenda of the government. We are also in the process of finalising a Draft People’s Charter that aims to set a platform for broad political and social reforms. All these efforts are geared towards a return to democratic rule. The recent court decision which was in favor of the current Authority will help fast track preparations for the upcoming elections and we hope that it will also facilitate full re-engagement of the Fund and the Bank with Fiji.

Fiji’s domestic economy is on the mend following a severe economic contraction in 2007. A modest growth is expected this year and in the medium term. There are encouraging signs in some sectors, including a rebound in tourism and resumption of gold mining. But challenges remain in terms of raising investments and lifting our exports that would strengthen and sustain growth going forward. Managing inflation is equally critical in our efforts to maintain international competitiveness. Whilst the increasing commodity prices have made this
task difficult, closer coordination between our monetary and fiscal policies will ensure that inflation remains in check.

Mr. Chairman, both fiscal and monetary policies have remained tight and domestic demand has been managed through controls. The resultant stability of the financial sector and reserves levels is testimony to the effectiveness of unconventional methods when the situation demands. However, we will reverse these controls when the situation improves, following regular reviews of their effectiveness. Fiscal consolidation has also seen our deficit and debt levels reduce since 2006, with plans to bring them down further. Mr. Chairman, despite the many odds stacked against us, Fiji has always honoured its debt and major obligations.

Fiji’s financial system remains robust and is currently insulated from the international financial markets meltdown. We are progressively implementing the findings of the FSAP to strengthen our supervisory and regulatory capacity, and enhance the ability of the financial system to withstand shocks. Furthermore, Fiji has just concluded an Article IV Mission and the results are awaited with enthusiasm. As always, we are appreciative of the policy advice and discussions such Missions generate.

Mr. Chairman, fuel accounts for about a quarter of our import bill and is a drain on our reserves. Demand management is now an important policy aspect for controlling our dependency on fuel and its derivatives and to promote increased efficiencies in our use of these products. Similarly, we are pursuing a number of initiatives to secure alternative sources of energy to reduce our dependency on fuel imports.

Mr. Chairman, we appreciate the rationale behind the Fund’s reforms relating to charging Technical Assistance, however, the fact remains that for some, TAs and training are the most tangible benefits we derive as members. The process of applying and seeing the TA through is also taxing on members in terms of time and personnel. As a beneficiary of such technical assistance, Fiji expresses concern that charging for technical assistance may hinder capacity and institutional building in low and lower middle-income countries, even with the system of differentiated charges in place. We are comforted with the reassurance that if ineffective, the charging policy will be reversed. Nevertheless, Fiji acknowledges the technical assistance that the Fund and the Bank have provided over the years. We also appreciate the work done by the IMF Pacific Technical Assistance Centre in Suva and the World Bank Regional Office in Sydney in assisting Fiji and other Pacific Island countries.

At this point, I would like to reiterate Fiji’s request for a full re-engagement with the Bretton Woods institutions. As Fiji continues to make concerted efforts towards democratic rule, we believe our
development objectives could be achieved faster with the support of the Fund and the Bank through the provision of affordable financing, particularly, in the face of the current global crisis.

Finally Mr. Chairman, we wish both institutions well in their future endeavours and we look forward to your continuous engagement.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR FRANCE

Christine Lagarde

In this uncertain period with regard to the macroeconomic and financial conditions, the role of the international institutions as cooperation fora, at the forefront of which the IMF and the World Bank, is more essential than ever. The IMF, under the leadership of Dominique Strauss-Kahn, has continued to apply its ambitious reform agenda that we fully support. The agreements found between Member States in the first half of this year on the reform of the IMF quotas and voting shares on the one hand, on the new income model on the other hand, were great achievements. A similar agreement has been reached at the World Bank and provides for enhanced legitimacy and effectiveness of the institution, consistently with its core mandate.

One year after the triggering of the financial turmoil, no one can contest that the most urgent obligation falling within the responsibilities of public authorities is to restore confidence in financial markets and more generally in the International Monetary System’s resilience. In my opinion, the current international conditions make necessary that strong political guidance in the functioning of international institutions be ensured and that cooperation among international institutions and among national authorities be strengthened.

Maintaining strong guidance for both the IMF and the WB with clear objectives and effective instruments is key

The IMF and World Bank have firmly improved the response to their members’ changing needs and to tackle the new challenges posed to the global economy in the 21st century.

Among the first lessons that can already be drawn from the current financial crisis is that international stability is indeed a global public
Therefore, decisive actions have to be quickly taken to coordinate the different responses convened to mitigate the current financial crisis and prevent future crises to arise. The IMF, with its universal membership, should play its entire role over these issues, and contribute to set up a comprehensive approach aiming at strengthening our international financial system.

Strengthening surveillance should therefore be on top of objectives. In that respect, we welcome the strong focus held in the Triennial Surveillance Review and the Statement of Surveillance Priorities on better integrating financial sector and macroeconomic stability issues and strengthening the analysis of cross-country issues, which clarify IMF’s objectives and are a necessary and timely first step in the adaptation and the reinforcement of the role of the IMF in terms of surveillance. Likewise, we look forward to the effective implementation of the 2007 Surveillance Decision over members’ policies, while acknowledging the need to ensure even-handedness and the fact that the assessment of external stability should not be restricted to exchange rate developments.

Moreover, another priority should be to adapt IMF instruments to new types of crisis and evolving members’ needs. We want to underline here that the global review of IMF lending toolkit must consider simultaneously the different axes as set out by the Managing Director. First, an extensive review of the analytical framework must be undertaken so as to address gaps and overlaps in the toolkit and IMF mandate. In this respect, we agree that the IMF lending framework should be streamlined. Second, we urge the IMF to consider as a priority to put in place a crisis prevention facility aimed at emerging economies, given the risk of the crisis spreading to emerging countries. Third, in order to preserve the crucial involvement of IMF in low-income countries, we welcome the modifications to the Exogenous Shock Facility towards more rapid access and streamlined conditionality. The revised ESF will provide in complement with the poverty reduction and growth facility a full set of adapted instruments to those vulnerable countries the IMF must assist as part of its mandate. Fourth and lastly, the charge and surcharge and access-level policy must be re-examined. As per access-level, we need to take into consideration the significant growth of many emerging economies.

As the World Bank has also increasingly been called on to respond to emergency situations, its policies and processes were developed to meet broader circumstances. The World Bank’s new framework for rapid response to crises and emergencies that has been initiated in 2007 gave keys in particular to address engagements in fragile states. This move has been maintained by addressing with strong commitment the global food crisis, by continuing to implement a reformed HR policy providing
greater incentives and protection to the staff working in fragile countries and by concluding a fiduciary principles agreement with the United Nations, but it could be enhanced further to improve flexibility, speed and effectiveness. Mitigating the impact of high food and oil prices leads to complement existing financial instruments. In particular, we encourage the World Bank to work on two issues: first the creation of rapid response facilities providing budget support to poor countries facing exogenous shocks complementarily to the reform of the Exogenous Shocks Facility as already considered by the IMF; second the implementation of innovative lending instruments to reduce countries vulnerability: in this respect, a new lending policy could take into account the borrowing space opened by HIPC and MDRI initiatives and study the possibility of implementing concessional counter-cyclical loans.

Moreover, the efficiency of our institutions and their capability to tackle new challenges have to rely on renewed governance, as far as legitimacy is a key issue to strengthen our policies and decisions. The actual outcome of the quota reform has contributed to the enhancement of the IMF governance and provides for a relevant starting point for the World Bank, which has to maintain momentum after the important agreement reached yesterday. The agreed package represents a significant step forward to further increase the legitimacy, credibility, and effectiveness of the World Bank. Although a more ambitious reform package for Sub-Saharan Africa would have been preferred by the French authorities, the additional chair for Sub-Saharan Africa is welcome and will enhance the voice of the poorest countries at the board; in addition, their voting power will significantly increase both in the IBRD and the IDA; and the selection process for the President of the World Bank Group, genuinely merit-based, transparent and open to candidates irrespective of nationalities, is a welcome step.

Cooperation among international institutions and national authorities is key for restoring confidence and ensuring the proper functioning of the international monetary and financial system.

Appropriate cooperation and coordination is key in period of turmoil in a global system in order to restore confidence while avoiding overlapping.

The IMF contribution to financial stability is essential thanks to its universal membership, its global vision allowing in-depth analysis on the interlinkages between real and market developments and the combination of multilateral and bilateral perspectives.
The implementation of the Financial Stability Forum’s recommendations should help solve many of the failures that the turmoil has revealed in risk management, the functioning of financial markets and the supervisory framework. At the EU level, authorities are committed to fully and swiftly implement the FSF recommendations.

While implementing those recommendations is urgent, there is also a need to further develop coordination between financial institutions, notably between the IMF and other international financial institutions such as the FSF, through joint initiatives and continuous information sharing. As we agreed in our IMFC session, the Fund shall focus discussion, and enhance cooperation, with a wide range of perspectives with the FSF, the G-20, and others on this issue in an inclusive setting. It will need to start this initiative immediately and to report to at the latest at our next meeting. Likewise, based on the close interrelations of national financial markets at the global level, the IMF, along with the G-20, is a very good place for associating all countries in implementing the recommendations that were issued on the international scene and targeted primarily to the more advanced countries.

As for the World Bank, we plead for a strategic review of the Bank Group’s operations that would highlight its comparative advantages, but also areas where other development institutions should lead the international community.

Eventually, relations and coordination between the IMF and the World Bank are to be strengthened, especially as regards the lending policies to middle-income countries. Stronger coordination is a key issue for insuring a global and consistent response to crisis. This issue calls for a clear vision regarding the respective roles of the IMF and the World Bank. We have to address the question of the limit of their respective actions and the complementarities of their operations.

To conclude in a few words, the role of the IMF and the World Bank is more essential than ever for ensuring international macroeconomic and financial stability. At the same time, the current conditions further underline the need for continuing implementing their reform agenda. The cooperation between the IMF and the World Bank has always been a core issue for France. I wish it will be strengthened in order to enable both institutions to further develop their legitimacy and their effectiveness. It will allow them to play a central role in building a sounder financial system.
STATEMENT BY THE TEMPORARY ALTERNATE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND FOR GERMANY

Hermann Remsperger

At the current juncture, restoring the stability of the financial system must be a top priority for policymakers around the world. We should continue to cooperate closely to this end. We commend the U.S. administration for its resolute efforts to reduce stress in the U.S. financial system as the epicentre of the current crisis.

The European Action Plan of the euro area countries—set up this Sunday—facilitates the funding of banks, allows for an efficient recapitalisation of banks and ensures sufficient flexibility in the implementation of accounting rules. These unprecedented measures reflect the severity of the crisis and the willingness to restore confidence and the proper functioning of the financial markets.

In the longer term, fundamental questions of financial regulation must be addressed at an international level in order to enhance the resilience of the global financial system. In this context, the ongoing initiatives to globally implement the recommendations of the Financial Stability Forum are welcome and must be sustained.

Given its unique mandate and expertise, the Fund has an important role to play in supporting policymakers with its advice and expertise in these times of financial stress.

Fund surveillance has benefited considerably from efforts to strengthen the multilateral perspective and financial sector analysis. These efforts must be sustained, along with more candid and systematic risk assessments. The Fund should also continue its close cooperation with the FSF and help members implement the lessons drawn from the financial crisis.

In our view, the Fund’s lending framework has proven effective and flexible enough in the past to support members in need. We are confident that this will continue to be the case. Some improvements to the tool-kit, such as a new pure signalling instrument for middle-income countries, should nonetheless be envisaged. The lending framework must, however, remain firmly based on the Fund’s fundamental lending principles. These include in particular the concepts of conditionality, balance-of-payments need, and the exceptional access criteria. They are essential to safeguarding the Fund’s resources and they have not hampered the provision of financial support in times of crisis.
We welcome additional efforts to further strengthen the governance framework. There is some room to further raise the efficiency and efficacy of the Fund’s main bodies of governance. However, their respective roles and responsibilities, as laid down in the Articles of Agreement, continue to serve the institution well. We are therefore opposed to fundamentally alter this balance.

The financial crisis will dampen growth in many developing countries. This comes on top of the burden imposed by high food and fuel prices. As many of the poorest countries will suffer most from high commodity prices, rapid and workable solutions are called for. We therefore warmly welcome the “Global Food Crisis Response Program” of the World Bank. We also appreciate the “Energy for the Poor Initiative.” It is an effective mechanism to deal with the high vulnerability of poor countries.

Climate change will impede developing countries’ ability to eradicate poverty. It is therefore crucial that the International Financial Institutions, within their respective mandates, help find effective and efficient ways of reducing greenhouse gas emissions and mitigating the consequences of climate change. We are pleased to see the recent establishment of the Climate Investment Fund as an important step in this context.

Germany has committed about US$900 million to the Climate Investment Fund. We expect the World Bank to play a major role in mobilizing financial resources to contribute to poverty alleviation and economic growth in the context of climate change.

As to the Voice agenda, there is now a solid basis for reaching an agreement on enhancing the participation of all developing countries and countries in transition. The Voice reform should take into account results of the IMF reform. We welcome the additional chair for Africa in the World Bank board. And we also welcome the broad consensus to increase basic votes as this will serve best to provide increased weight for smaller countries.

Going forward, we believe that a selective capital increase is an appropriate way to ensure that dynamic emerging countries are adequately represented. A meaningful voice reform should also lead to improved participation in formulating and implementing World Bank programs and projects.
STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND FOR GREECE

George Alogoskoufis

The global financial system is facing severe problems, which require urgent and exceptional global action.

We must continue working together to restore confidence, ease the flow of credit, recapitalize the banking sector, and support global economic growth.

The financial crisis is taking its toll on global growth. All regions of the world have been affected, including the euro area economy.

The recent communiqué by the G-7 Finance Ministers and Central Bank Governors suggests a roadmap that will help stabilize financial conditions—if fully implemented. A similar roadmap has been decided for the EU by the latest ECOFIN Council and the recent Euro area summit.

Policy makers in the EU have agreed to closely coordinate the implementation of decisions to address the financial turmoil as well as the flexible application of the rules of the Stability and Growth Pact.

Greece is gradually experiencing the impact of the financial crisis although developments so far suggest that the Greek economy is showing greater resilience than many other European economies. This is indicated by Greece’s relatively high growth rates and falling unemployment. In the first half of 2008, growth in Greece reached 3.5 percent compared to 1.8 percent for the Euro area economy. The Greek financial system also displays greater resilience. However, there is no room for complacency. Fiscal consolidation and the structural reforms agenda followed since 2004 will continue. This is the best shield in the light of the worsening global economic climate. At the same time, Greece is taking measures to sustain the soundness and credibility of its financial system.

With its internal reform nearing completion, the Fund is appropriately focusing on helping members meet global economic challenges. The unprecedented nature of the global financial crisis has created new policy challenges for the Fund and underlined the need for deeper analysis of macrofinancial links and spillovers. We welcome the new initiatives that are being undertaken.

Developing and transition countries (DTCs) make a positive contribution in the current international environment. According to the Fund, the expected growth in the global economy is almost solely due to the developing world as advanced economies are expected to remain
virtually stagnant. However, the financial crisis and the high oil and food prices create additional problems for the developing world.

The role of the Bank becomes even more significant in these difficult times. Recognizing this, Greece has doubled its burden share in IDA-15.

In this difficult juncture, we should all coordinate to restore confidence in the international financial system, continue supporting the developing and transition economies, and pursue coordinated policies to facilitate a global recovery. I am certain that we shall all rise to the task at hand.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE BANK FOR HAITI

Daniel Dorsainvil

It is a pleasure for me to echo the sentiments of my colleagues who preceded me at the podium in congratulating, on behalf of the Haitian delegation, the Secretariats of the Bank and the Fund, for the unequivocal work done to ensure the success of this year’s Annual Meetings of Governors, as they have done in previous years.

One year ago, we were able to view the future, at least the near future, with a certain degree of optimism. Of course, we could not, and did not, anticipate the various shocks with which we have been hit during the past fiscal year, namely, price hikes, a food crisis, five months of political uncertainty, a succession of natural disasters that have had a devastating effect on several regions in our country and, at the moment, the financial crisis. Faced with these shocks, like many other countries, we have had to revise downward our growth objective for the year 2007–2008, from 4 percent to 1.5 percent.

Last year, while we could not have seen all that lay ahead, in congratulating Mr. Dominique Strauss-Kahn on his new position, we did emphasize the importance of reforms in the context of the role of the Fund in low-income countries. Furthermore, while commending the decision of the World Bank Group to allocate US$3.5 billion to the poorest countries, we stressed the need to review the criteria for granting resources to post-conflict countries, given that access to resources based on past performance is punitive.

Last year, we expressed regret over the fact that the damage sustained by Jamaica as a result of Hurricane Dean had brought to the
fore the existence of conditions and criteria that are impeding disbursement of resources under the Caribbean Catastrophic Risk Insurance Facility. The partial assessment of the catastrophes in Haiti points to close to 800 deaths, 310 missing persons, approximately 200,000 families affected by the disaster, and damage to 110,000 homes. This year, we are expressing the same reservations, in even stronger terms, with regard to our situation. That said, we wish to express our gratitude to the Bank and Fund, as well as to all partners here present, for the support shown to my country when it was put to the test.

While we view reform of the IMF’s Exogenous Shocks Facility as a step in the right direction, we deem it inadequate. We reiterate our call to strengthen the reforms pertaining to the Fund’s role in low-income countries. More specifically, we call on the IMF to take the steps necessary to ensure that low-income countries continue to benefit from the full amount of technical assistance they require. This concern is justified by the new contribution policy of member counties to financing assistance in this area.

We are cognizant of the Bank’s efforts to boost available resources for Haiti. However, given the challenges that we have to tackle, we must point out that an IDA allocation of US$70 million over a three-year period remains small in relation to our work in the areas of development and poverty reduction. At this juncture, we reiterate our call for additional resources.

The tremendous loss of human life and physical capital heavily undermines growth and poverty reduction and leads to greater financing needs. We must provide urgently needed assistance to victims, rebuild our infrastructure, safeguard our environment, rebuild our capital, and restore our productive capacity to pre-disaster levels. National recovery and reconstruction efforts are being curtailed by the paucity of domestic resources. The Government recently managed to mobilize resources on the order of US$200 million to begin the recovery process. However, the reconstruction effort requires much more resources. With the assistance of the World Bank, the European Union, UNDP, IDB, and other partners, we have undertaken a needs assessment process, which should soon allow us, in light of recent events, to adjust the sectoral action plans of our Growth and Poverty Reduction Strategy (GPRS). Haiti maintains a committed and steadfast position with respect to medium- and long-term objectives in the areas of development and governance.

Notwithstanding these many shocks, Haiti continues to implement the reforms undertaken in the context of its financial program supported by the Poverty Reduction and Growth Facility (PRGF), and is making strides toward achievement of the completion point under the Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) Initiative. Fiscal Year 2008–2009,
which started this month, marks the third year of the PRGF, and we expect to reach the completion point in 2009. However, the challenges are daunting.

The Government nevertheless intends to forge ahead with the reconstruction of the country and is calling for the support of its partners to successfully carry out this undertaking.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND FOR ICELAND, ON BEHALF OF THE NORDIC-BALTIC CONSTITUENCY

Arni M. Mathiesen

I am honoured to address the 2008 Joint Annual Meetings on behalf of Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Latvia, Lithuania, Norway, Sweden and Iceland. Let me begin by thanking the City of Washington for its usual warm welcome.

The world economy and financial markets are put to the test

The outlook for the world economy is more challenging than it has been for some time as the financial sector in advanced countries is in turmoil.

One of the key foundations for long-term sustainable growth is a strong financial system. Conditions in international capital markets have changed significantly since the last Annual Meetings. The current environment has proven difficult for financial institutions, as the credit and liquidity squeeze continues to bite and assets are being written off. It is quite evident that risk was not priced appropriately over the past few years. The repercussions are bound to stay with us for some time.

Cycles are a familiar characteristic of human nature—and indeed, of nature itself. Yet, there is always the conviction that “this time it will be different.” Then, when the tables turn, there comes the realisation that finding a solution to the problems of the last cycle, so as to prevent the next one, is never easy. However, let us hope that the latest experience will result in more forward looking policies and effective regulation of the financial sector to control risk and to reinstall confidence. We must also seek to ensure that changes in business practices do not reduce transparency. Moreover, efforts must be made to breathe life into
interbank markets and thus to reduce what has become excessive reliance on central bank financing.

I would like to highlight two issues of importance in the current situation: namely, the lack of transparency in certain sections of the financial markets and the emergency powers of authorities.

The global economy has seen a significant rise in over-the-counter (OTC) transactions. The main purpose of OTC instruments has been laudable; that is, to hedge risk and facilitate trade and increase depth in financial markets. The OTC markets are useful as such, and, during normal times when liquidity was ample, they were quite effective. However, the OTC markets are opaque, and because of the lack of transparency of credit derivatives and the false sense of security they engender, including that provided by rating agencies, they have become a risk in themselves. Improvements should be made in market making practices in the OTC markets. The markets need to become more transparent, information sharing should be increased, and players in the markets must become more aware of the risks involved. Policy makers need to have a better oversight of these markets in order to have the appropriate policy tools to deal with adverse developments.

Financial institutions are experiencing difficulties. Some have gone under, others been rescued. The liquidity facilities of central banks and contingency plans of authorities are being put to the test. There is good reason why authorities have processes in place to address situations that can jeopardise the stability of the financial system—and hence the economic welfare of nations. Because of assumed public support and contingency mechanisms, some market participants have not appropriately priced risk. Thus there is moral hazard which requires moderation. Policy makers should revisit the ideology behind emergency powers, as it was originally based on more contained risks, within balance sheets, and a clearer separation between commercial and investment banking.

As many countries are feeling the effects of slowing economic growth and distressed financial markets, it is of great importance that authorities demonstrate strength and that central banks, financial supervisory authorities, and governments cooperate effectively in these challenging times. Words must be matched with action. Strong collaboration and candid dialogue with financial institutions will be vital. The International Monetary Fund can play an important role in the current environment, especially in its role as a confidential advisor in its financial surveillance capacity.

The policy response to the current economic environment is challenging and policy makers should take the threat of inflation seriously. Experience has shown that inflation expectations are difficult
to tame once they become entrenched. We must stave off this threat: the social cost of inflation is too great for us to do otherwise. At this point, there are signs that inflation expectations are becoming unhinged and we have yet to see the potential second-round effects from the surge in commodities prices. Therefore, policy makers worldwide must monitor inflationary pressures closely and respond accordingly thereby supporting sustainable growth and employment.

Low-income countries have shown strength, but more challenging times lie ahead

In recent years, there have been positive developments in many low-income countries. Economic growth has been robust, debt ratios have fallen, and trade has increased. However, there are signs that more difficult times may lie ahead, inter alia due to the financial sector turmoil in advanced countries.

My constituency believes that the Fund should continue to be engaged in low-income countries; however, the focus needs clarification to be more effective. The future engagement with low-income countries must remain within the Fund’s mandate. The main emphasis should be on macroeconomic issues and temporary concessional lending. The Fund has extensive experience in this field. Its emphasis should be on areas were it has a comparative advantage. Areas beyond its expertise should be left to others.

Reforms of the Fund will pay off

The Fund has been undergoing a significant transformation over the past few years. We believe it has been successful in adjusting to new circumstances.

The Nordic-Baltic Constituency believes that, for the Fund to be effective in the global economy, adequate and fair representation of all members must be ensured. We are especially pleased with the tripling of basic votes, as this action represents an effective way to increase the voice of low-income countries and small states. We place special emphasis on voice in this context and broad-based representation of the Fund’s members in the Executive Board is important. Another important matter—and one that we think would further enhance the legitimacy of the Fund as a global institution—is a reform of the criteria and procedure for the selection of the Fund’s senior management.

In closing, the result of the Doha round was a disappointment for the global economy. We should reopen the discussions and seek to bring them to a prompt and successful conclusion.
As I have underlined, these are challenging times, but while the economic slowdown is underway, policy makers have been responding, and there will be self-correcting features in the global system that will contribute to easing the adjustment that lies ahead. In facing these challenges it will be imperative that the international community works in partnership.

STATEMENT BY THE ALTERNATE GOVERNOR OF THE BANK, ON BEHALF OF GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR INDIA

Ashok Chawla

We meet in Washington at a time when the global economy is under severe strain. The financial sector turmoil that originated in the U.S is now unfolding into a full-blown crisis across many developed economies and possible spillovers to rest of the world. Inflationary pressures arising out of elevated food and fuel prices have not yet fully subsided. The recessionary trend is slowly becoming a reality. After five years of high growth, the world economy is now on a sharply decelerating trend. More than 100 million people may have slipped back into poverty, and our efforts to eliminate poverty have suffered a setback by about seven years.

Nevertheless, crises do give us an opportunity to quickly take stock of what went wrong and to act in a concerted fashion—not just to alleviate the immediate effects of the crisis, but also to create a better world especially for its poor.

Global Food Crisis

The global food crisis is not a natural catastrophe. It is man made. The fall in world cereal production, low food stock levels, and crop diversion for the bio-fuel sector have played a major role. Add to these, the role of speculation and financialization of commodities, and we have an unprecedented crisis.

Some laudable international efforts are underway. However, we need urgent action on rationalizing the biofuels policy. We should also quickly reach agreement on removal of agricultural subsidies; arrive at a successful conclusion of the Doha Development Round; and create the conditions for a more efficient and fair global food trade.
The Financial Crisis and the Role of IMF

The current crisis and the attempts at its resolution have raised a number of concerns. In developed countries, risk management and supervisory practices have lagged behind the pace of financial market innovations and new business models. I am afraid there will be substantial fiscal costs with attendant implications.

The Fund’s principal mandate is to provide the global public good of financial stability. The Fund attempts to deliver this mandate through two key tasks assigned to it—surveillance and providing the comfort of readily available financing to members. Separate efforts are already underway in several fora. It would be more appropriate to organize the fragmented efforts on a global scale under the inclusive umbrella of the IMF.

Voice Reform in the World Bank Group

The ongoing Voice and Participation reform process at the Bank is a great opportunity to make a far reaching reform of its governance structures so that it continues to play a vital developmental role in global economic affairs.

We strongly support and call for immediate implementation of an additional Board seat for Sub Saharan Africa. However, we feel that the proposal approved yesterday on the important dimension of ‘Voice as voting power and shareholding’ has failed to live up to the promises made at the Spring Meeting of 2008.

While in the interest of consensus, we agreed to the proposals at the Development Committee yesterday, we believe that the efforts now to be made on realignment must lead to substantial and effective enhancement of the individual as well as collective voice of DTCs.

Development and Climate Change

We emphasize that issues relating to finance and technology are fundamental to the success of any global strategy to address climate change. New and additional resources that do not detract from the ODA must be provided by the developed countries. It is equally important to transfer clean, environment friendly technology to the developing countries at affordable prices.

The basis of international cooperation on climate change lies in the UNFCCC principle of “common but differentiated responsibility and respective capability.” There is no escape from the reality that emerging and developing countries will consume more commercial energy. India is committed to evolve and pursue a strategy of environmentally
sustainable development. We have formulated our National Action Plan on Climate Change and are committed to ensure that our per capita carbon emissions will never exceed the average of the per capita emissions of developed countries.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE GOVERNOR OF THE BANK FOR INDONESIA

Boediono and Sri Mulyani Indrawati

We are meeting at a time of great challenge and risk in the global economy. The global financial crisis, rising commodity prices, and a considerable slowdown in global economic growth, are presenting unprecedented challenges in the history of global economy. As this is a global crisis, we need a global solution. We believe that given their mandates, the International Monetary of Funds and the World Bank must play the leading role in the crisis resolution, provide guidance on the appropriate policy responses, and reshape the works to better assess and prevent future crises.

Global Economy and Financial Market

The slowdown in the global economy continues to deepen, with the slow growth in both advanced and emerging economies. Risks to growth are firmly on the downside, particularly if the financial conditions should deteriorate further and that financial strains would be more intense. Emerging economies are increasingly affected by the spillovers from financial and economic stress in advanced economies, with clear signs of decelerating economic activity and continuing inflationary pressures. The immediate challenge is thus for macroeconomic and financial policies to support the recovery and stabilize financial conditions, while keeping inflation under control.

Monetary and fiscal policies are very important to anchor inflationary expectations and to mitigate negative feedback loops between the financial system and the economy in the near-term. In this regard, we welcome the policy responses in the US to provide support to the economy in the face of financial stress while ensuring adequate liquidity to the financial system. Direct fiscal intervention with the use of public sector balance sheet has already taken place in the US and in a
number of advanced countries to contain systemic financial risks. Nonetheless, we view that closer and concerted policy coordination, particularly those among advanced economies, is the key to immediately address the liquidity problem in the global financial system and manage the orderly deleveraging process. It will also be critical to continue with reforms to strengthen the financial system.

Refocusing the Fund

We welcome the efforts of the Fund to identify the underlying causes of these potentially devastating problems. The current crisis once again provides an important lesson that the rapid financial innovation without proper market safeguards and adequate regulation and supervision can lead to systemic failures. This clearly calls for a redesign of international financial architecture. Reforms in regulation and supervision of institutions and markets are needed and to be coordinated on a global scale to mitigate the risk to global financial stability. We call on the Fund, in closer coordination with other international fora including the Financial Stability Forum (FSF), to play a leading role to provide the multilateral platform for discussing and eliciting the appropriate responses.

In the short-term, since we are still grappling with crisis, what we need is to move quickly and coherently with more concrete solutions to avoid a global financial catastrophe. To this end, the Fund should be advising members what to do and how best to respond to the fallout from the crisis, how to restore the stability and preventing further spillover effects. We call on the Fund to play a more proactive role in the much needed global policy coordination through its multilateral consultation. Building on its expertise and experience from wide membership, the Fund is better place to provide such policy advices. We also urge the Fund to expedite the new liquidity instrument to help members better prepared in their crisis prevention framework as they integrate into global financial system.

The turmoil has posed challenges to policy makers and highlighted the importance of better analysis of global stability risks. In this regard, we commend the Fund’s progress to meet its Reform Agenda. We are convinced that the reforms will help the Fund become more efficient in carrying out its mandates and sharpen its focus. On surveillance, we are pleased to note that the Fund will enhance its surveillance on macrofinancial linkages and advance its early warning framework. However, in the current global financial crisis, a better integration of multilateral surveillance into bilateral surveillance should also be prioritized.
We also believe that the Fund’s existing lending instruments are based on a model that is no longer suited to the needs of a large part of the membership. The global financial system has evolved enormously since the Fund was created, and so have members’ needs for Fund support. While the Fund has adapted to members’ evolving needs, this was not nearly fast enough. In addition to expeditiously concluding the work on a new liquidity instrument, there is urgent need for exploring analytical considerations for Fund lending, re-examining conditionality, reviewing the Fund’s lending role in low-income countries, and reviewing access limits and financing terms for using Fund resources.

We also welcome the establishment of Committee on the IMF Governance and Reform. We believe that in addressing global issues, the Fund depends heavily on its capability to establish an adaptive and flexible management structure. In this regard, we trust that the Committee would come up with recommendations that benefit all its members. Nonetheless, we must not forget that further addressing the voice and representation of developing and emerging economies remains one of the key issues on IMF Governance reform. We calls on the Fund to make further progress in closing the gap between actual and calculated quota shares to reflect their relative positions in the world economy.

Strategizing the Bank

The current global challenges also call for the central role of the World Bank. We need to mitigate the spillover risks of continued high commodity and food prices to development agendas. Higher inflation reduces the capacity to advance important development goals. In some extreme cases, it might undo many of the achievements of the past decades and push more people into poverty. We believe that the Bank is well positioned to lead a coordinated global effort to tackle these problems.

Looking beyond the crisis, we support the Bank’s efforts to achieve its Long-term Strategic Direction. We believe the Strategy provides a better mechanism for aligning aid with national development strategies. We appreciate the increasing role of Country offices to maximize the Bank’s understanding of client countries.

We are heartened that the Bank remains committed to a leading role in advancing climate change efforts. We also applaud the Bank’s for assisting developing countries to access and maximize the benefits of various financing schemes. The Bank is well positioned to act as a catalyst in the efforts to increase the private sectors’ climate change role, as well as facilitating technology transfers.
We believe that tackling corruption and improving transparency both at an international and country level is a fundamental condition for ensuring a sound path for development. We note that together we could do more to implement the Stolen Asset Recovery (StAR) Initiative. This important project needs concrete and collective efforts to investigate, trace, and repatriate stolen assets. A coordinated effort would help rid the globe of safe havens for stolen assets.

We encourage the Bank to continue with efforts to advance structural reforms and to increase the voice and role of developing countries. This should include efforts to increase representation of staff from developing countries in the senior management ranks and to empower all members to participate in the leadership selection process.

**Responding to the Crisis—Indonesia’s Experience**

In closing, we reaffirm Indonesia’s commitment as a solid and reliable partner of the Bank and the Fund. We continue to do our part to mitigate the spillover risks of the unfolding crisis into the emerging markets. We have so far been able to withstand the negative impact of the global crisis, thanks to our prudent macroeconomic policy, strengthened financial sector, and adequate foreign exchange reserves. Nonetheless, this is a challenging task, particularly given the increasing trade-off between inflation and growth while preserving financial stability. In this context, we have taken measures to adjust the economic policy mix to reduce inflationary pressure while maintaining financial stability and growth momentum.

On the fiscal front, in order to secure fiscal sustainability and at the same time allow the needed fiscal space, we have moved forward towards more streamlined subsidies accompanied by well-targeted social transfers as well as reinforcing expenditure restraints. On monetary front, the central bank has preemptively raised its policy interest rate to curb inflation expectations and the second-round impacts of domestic fuel price increase, while at the same time ensure sufficient liquidity in the financial system. Going forward, we will press forward our effort to enhance our crisis management framework, including our plan to strengthen legal aspects of the crisis management and protocol that outlines procedures and clarifies the responsibility of agencies.
It is my pleasure to address the 2008 Annual meetings of The World Bank Group and IMF.

The global economic outlook has continued to deteriorate with several advanced economies bordering on recession; in particular the recent turmoil in the United States financial market has impacted most of the markets worldwide. At the same time, developing and emerging market economies are struggling with rising costs and weakening of external demand, while low-income countries are suffering from high commodity prices. The financial outlook is now subject to greater uncertainty and despite some remedial measures recovery could not be expected soon. This is a great challenge that will have significant bearing on the world economy and which needs to be dealt with in a concerted and well-thought manner.

It is surprising that the IMF has not foreseen this tremendous financial turbulence in order to send an alerting signal in timely manner. This would have been particularly critical, given the extent of the crisis and its global spillover. Going forward, considering its mandate to overlook the global financial stability, the IMF needs to play a more effective role in helping shape the policy response not only in the developing countries but also in advanced economies in order to safeguard emerging markets sustainable growth and financial soundness.

On the issue of the Voice and Representation of DTCs I believe that: First, the major source of income and knowledge of the World Bank is generated from cooperation with developing countries. Therefore, in order to enhance its legitimacy it should move according to a defined timetable toward the parity of voting power between DTCs and developed countries.

Second, we emphasize that in implementing an increase in the voting power of the DTCs, this increase should be formulated in a way that would not dilute the voting power of any single member of developing and transition countries. Third, we strongly support a selection process of IMF Managing Director and the President of the World Bank that should be fair, competitive, merit based and open to individuals from all member countries. We are also of the opinion that the Bank and the IMF should take more serious measures to enhance
staff’s diversity in both institutions, particularly at higher managerial levels from all member countries.

Finally, in order to enhance its image as an impartial and unbiased multilateral institution, the World Bank management should engage with all its developing country members through its country assistance strategy and investment in their development projects which must be considered solely on the basis of their economic merits. In this context the World Bank must restrain from being influenced politically which is against the stipulations of its Article of Agreements. In this direction, we strongly urge the Board of the World Bank to follow up this matter as part of its governance mandate.

On the issue of climate change, the role of advanced economies that are mainly responsible for this phenomenon is highly significant. Therefore, they should justifiably bear the main costs and burden for the adaptation and mitigation to climate change in the developing countries which need to sustain their economic growth and development in order to reduce poverty, and which cannot afford additional high costs of new technologies. Therefore, developed countries that picked the fruit of development and prosperity at the expense of climate change should bear their differentiated responsibility in this process and provide the necessary additional financing and new technologies to developing countries at low cost. The neutrality of the supporting multilateral institutions is fundamental for the success of this process.

Next, I wish to touch briefly on the recent economic development in my country.

Growth continued to be strong despite some external constraints and unemployment declined in spite of the rapid growth of the labor force. Real GDP expanded by 7.6 percent in 2007.

In addition, privatization has gained momentum with a rapid increase in the purchasing shares by private investors. In this direction, following the reinterpretation of Article 44 of the Constitution, about 80 percent of state-owned enterprises will be privatized by 2010.

For the medium-term the government plans to implement a strategy that involves significant reforms, the most important of which is the reform aimed at better subsidy system.
I am pleased to attend here today to speak on behalf of Ireland. We meet against a backdrop of extreme global economic and financial uncertainty with continuing downside risks to the global economy. More than ever now, it is important to have a strong IMF and World Bank Group, working in co-operation with their members, to promote global economic growth and stability. We must ensure that progress made in recent years towards achieving the Millennium Development Goals is not reversed.

**IMF Reforms**

Ireland welcomes the progress made in the past year in refocusing and reforming the Fund. We support the Managing Director’s ongoing commitment and efforts to implement the reform agenda set out in the Medium-Term Strategy, which should see the IMF continuing to enhance its role and credibility in the global economy.

**Surveillance**

IMF Surveillance is particularly important in current economic conditions. The key challenge for the Fund is the effective implementation of all the provisions of the 2007 Decision on bilateral surveillance—including the use of the ad-hoc consultation procedure. We join with others in calling for effective and even handed implementation.

**Irish Developments**

I would like to turn briefly to developments in Ireland. Ireland has taken swift and decisive action to safeguard the stability of our financial system and more broadly our economy. Two weeks ago, the Irish Government decided to put in place a guarantee arrangement to safeguard all deposits with and loans to six named credit institutions. The guarantee arrangement will also be available to certain banking subsidiaries in Ireland that have a significant presence in the domestic economy. This approach has now been approved by the European Commission. Ireland also strongly welcomes the interventions of other European Member States and countries worldwide to ensure stability.
within the financial system. Actions by individual countries and by other groupings—such as those announced by the Eurogroup Heads of State and Government on Sunday night—are crucially important in ensuring that policy makers can go beyond a reactive approach to the difficult market circumstances. Ireland is continuing to work with other EU member States, the Commission, and the European Central Bank in the work to find an overall framework to address the financial crisis.

The Irish economy is currently being subjected to a number of negative shocks. On the domestic front, a major adjustment is underway in the residential construction sector, and this has dragged down economic activity this year.

The more difficult external climate is having an additional detrimental effect. Weak demand in our main export markets, exchange rate appreciation, the fall-out from global financial market turmoil and, notwithstanding some easing in recent months, higher commodity prices are all having an adverse impact.

The latest data confirm that the economy has slipped into recession and for this year, we now expect the level of activity to contract.

The contraction in activity has been mainly concentrated in the higher yielding components of demand, with the result that there has been an accelerated deterioration in the public finances.

To deal with these challenges, the government brought forward the normal date of our national Budget from December to tomorrow. The Budget will set out steps to stabilize the situation by strictly prioritizing expenditure to reflect the changed realities and to ensure that Ireland’s economy is in the best possible position to resume trend growth as international conditions improve.

Development and Aid

On development and aid issues, Ireland’s contribution in recent years has increased substantially. This year, we will spend around 900 million euro on overseas aid. Proportionately, this makes Ireland the sixth largest donor per capita in the world, and represents 0.56 percent of GNP. Ireland’s aid program has always focused on the poorest and most vulnerable. This focus will continue.

Ireland welcomes the outcome of last month’s High Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness, in Accra, Ghana. The Forum reached agreement on accelerating and deepening the implementation of the 2005 Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness. The Accra outcome is a strong statement on the need to improve the deployment and delivery of development assistance. We join with others in commending the World
Bank for their contribution to the Forum, and we are confident that the Bank will fully play its part in implementing the agreed actions.

The constructive work carried out at the Accra Forum to improve the quality of aid is a solid foundation for the Doha Financing for Development Conference in November, when aid volumes and the 2002 Monterrey Consensus will be under scrutiny. Again, the World Bank will play a key role in the Doha discussions, particularly in light of the current global economic conditions.

**Debt Relief**

Ireland strongly supports debt relief for poor countries with unsustainable burdens. We remain committed to total debt cancellation for the HIPC countries. In this regard, Ireland has recently made an additional contribution of €6m to the HIPC Initiative as an indication of our continued support for the world’s poorest countries. However, there is a danger that countries which benefited from HIPC and the MDRI will re-accumulate unsustainable levels of debt. Beneficiaries must therefore be encouraged not to engage in unwise nonconcessional borrowing. Donor countries wish to see debt relief used well in making progress towards the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals. Every effort should be made to ensure that progress made is not reversed.

**Voice and Participation**

Following the reform of Quota and Voice at the IMF earlier this year, Ireland supports the current reform process in the World Bank, aimed at widening and strengthening the participation of developing and transition countries in the decision-making of the Bank.

We congratulate the Bank for its commitment to this issue, in keeping with the spirit of the Monterrey Consensus and indeed with the Accra Agenda for Action, and we commend the progress that has been achieved this weekend. We welcome this progress as an important first step in the ongoing process of comprehensive reform.

In conclusion, I would like to refer to the contributions made in this morning’s opening session. We should all be encouraged by the addresses of Managing Director Strauss-Khan and President Zoellick. These demonstrate a proactive approach by both the Fund and the Bank to developing an effective global response to tackle the effects of the shocks that have hit the world economy, and the discussions of the last three days. I can assure the institutions of Ireland’s full support for these efforts.
STATEMENTS BY GOVERNORS

STATEMENT BY THE ALTERNATE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND FOR ISRAEL

Zvi Eckstein

Unfortunately, Governor Stanley Fischer could not be present here. It is a special pleasure for me to be here today to deliver this address on his behalf, and on behalf of the State of Israel.

I would like to make brief comments on two issues: first, the Israeli economy; second, the Fund’s Reforms and Policy Agenda. The limited time available will not allow me to cover other important topics, including global economic developments, especially in light of financial market turbulence, the implication of the current energy and food prices on the poorer countries and communities that are not endowed with such resources, and other important issues such as climate change.

The Israeli economy

Since 2004 and up to the first quarter of 2008, the Israeli economy has been growing at an average annual rate of more than 5 percent. In the second quarter of 2008, GDP growth decreased to approximately 4 percent. Given financial turbulence, global slowdown, and the strengthening of the Shekel, further slowdown is expected in the remaining part of the year and in 2009.

The Israeli economy is affected, like the rest of the world—by the substantial increase in uncertainty in the global financial markets. In Israel, the economy, in general, and the financial sector, in particular, is in good condition in order to address the possible ramifications of the crisis. Relative to banks in the US and in Europe, the Israeli banking sector is conservative and its exposure to the financial turmoil in the US and Europe is limited. I will briefly review recent macroeconomic developments in Israel.

In 2008, mostly as a result of significant increases in energy and food prices in the first half of the year, inflation is expected be above the target range (1-3 percent per annum), but inflationary expectations for the next 12 months are within the target range and inflation is likely to return to the target range in the second quarter of 2009.

The balance of payments is expected to be in 2008 in a surplus of around 1 percent of GDP. Controls on capital flows have been removed progressively since the early 1990’s, and the capital account is essentially totally liberalized.
On March 24, 2008, the Bank of Israel announced a plan to increase its foreign exchange reserves by purchasing foreign currency in the open market. With effect from July 10, the Bank purchases an average of $100 million a day. This decision was made following an examination of the market conditions and in light of the rapid cumulative appreciation of the shekel.

The government debt ratio is on a declining path, yet it still remains too high and needs to be reduced further; government spending has been consolidated and needs to be further reduced; unemployment went down to 5.9 percent in the second quarter of 2008, and more needs to be done to improve the standard of living of the poorest members of the population.

On May 2007, the OECD Ministerial Council Meeting decided to start the accession process with five countries, including Israel. Following this decision, Israel is now engaged in a dialogue with the organization, and expects to become a full member of the organization in 2010. The process entails updating legislation and adapting to the organization’s advanced standards. It would also serve as an incentive for Israel to continue promoting economic reforms.

At present, we are continuing to work on a new and modern central bank law that will clearly define the independence of the Bank of Israel, while increasing its accountability and transparency.

The Fund’s Reforms and Policy Agenda

The Fund invests substantial energy in reexamining its role, advancing the surveillance agenda, reviewing lending instruments, and strengthening governance. As part of a re-organization, the IMF has cut spending and the number of staff, and highly qualified new professionals have been nominated to senior positions.

First, we welcome the Fund’s sharper focus on refocusing its surveillance work. We, as other central banks, have found the Fund’s fiscal policy analysis helpful. The overall quality of Fund’s surveillance is held in high regards. These days, the obvious demand around the globe is for additional value added in the analysis of the financial sector. Needless to say, the Fund’s FSAP work in identifying financial vulnerabilities have had a substantial contribution, but there is value in further work on risk assessment and early warnings. The 2008 Triennial Surveillance Review Paper (prepared by the Fund’s Strategy, Policy and Review Department and discussed in the Board on September 26) states that there is a large unmet demand for analysis of financial sector issues, exchange rate analysis and external stability risks, as well as of the of two-way transmission channels between financial and real sectors, and
cross border spillover effects. We support the recommendation of the report. It is valuable to increase efforts in developing a framework of a more systematic macrofinancial surveillance. In addition, it is important to adopt further steps in order to speed up the completing of Article IV reports and to increase its use of interim reports.

Second, regarding the design of new lending instruments, such as the Rapid Access Line, the Financial Stability Line and the Rapid Liquidity Line, I would like to note that there is indeed value in introducing credit facilities to countries with sound policies, without the traditional conditionality structures. It is desirable that such facilities would be available to increase access to contingent liquidity instruments in order to assist member countries to reduce the risk of capital account crisis and assist those qualifying after being hit by turbulence in global capital markets.

Third, the Fund is an effective international organization that is in the process of improving its governance and accountability. It is important that member countries’ authorities will benefit from the continued relevance of the IMF, especially for global financial issues. Such relevance is also tied to the issue of vote and representation. In order to reflect the current global economic situation, new economic powers deserve a bigger weight in the decision making process. With respect to low-income countries, the increased desire for vote should be balanced by the implications of increasing the weight of borrowers in any lending financial institution.

Before I conclude, I would like to make another point regarding the global financial crisis and state that during this critical period in the so-far ever-worsening crisis, government policy needs to be guided by two central principles: central banks need to ensure that sufficient liquidity is provided to the banking system and the money and short-term credit markets to ensure their continued effective operation; and governments need to stand ready to inject capital into their banking systems to ensure the stability of the system and the continued provision of credit to the economy.
It is my great privilege to have this opportunity today to address the IMF-World Bank Annual Meetings, representing the government of Japan.

At the outset, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to Mr. Dominique Strauss-Kahn, Managing Director of the IMF, and to Mr. Robert B. Zoellick, President of the World Bank, for their excellent leadership at their respective institutions.

The World Economy and Global Financial Markets

The financial crisis that erupted in August 2007 appears to have become even more profound today. Credit quality concerns are broadening, as further declines in house prices and tighter lending conditions spread across countries, most notably in the United States and Europe. Financial firms face greater difficulties in raising capital to cover losses, while efforts to squeeze assets add to downward pressures on asset prices.

Recent developments in the U.S. financial industry have led to a considerable deterioration in market participants’ sentiments. Although the decisive measures adopted by the U.S. authorities have prevented the global financial system from further disruption, significant uncertainty remains regarding the way forward. We, as policymakers who have the responsibility of dealing with global financial issues, should use the term “crisis” with utmost care, but it would be difficult to blame anyone for describing the current situation of the world economy as a “financial crisis.”

Presumably not independent from the financial turmoil, commodity prices have demonstrated very volatile movements over the past year. The price of oil more than doubled between December 2006 and mid-July 2008, before easing in recent weeks, while, during the same period, food prices rose by over 50 percent.

Although commodity prices have recently leveled off, underlying inflation has risen, particularly in emerging and developing economies. The tightening of policy rates in some emerging economies is considered to have been behind the curve. Implementing policy responses to
inflationary pressures from exogenous shocks will be quite challenging, given weaker growth prospects throughout the world.

Against this backdrop, the world economy is likely to display a marked slowdown. Not only are advanced economies expected to go through a period of very sluggish growth for the remainder of 2008, but emerging and developing economies are also projected to continue to slow. Hence, it would be advisable that we prepare against a “global recession.” We should also bear in mind that the global credit contraction arising from the present financial turmoil could increase the risk of squeezed private capital inflows to emerging economies.

Considering the situation I have just outlined, I believe that now it is a time when the resilience of the international financial system as a whole, as well as the policy responses of individual countries, requires thorough scrutiny.

We believe that Japan can contribute to the stability of the world economy by pursuing to secure its own growth. On August 29, the government announced a package of measures to address the global surge in commodity prices, and a supplementary budget to implement these measures has just been passed by the Lower House of the Diet. Monetary policy continues its accommodative stance. In response to elevated strains in the global financial market, the Bank of Japan, with other central banks, has taken coordinated action to provide U.S. dollar liquidity, and it has supported interest rate cuts implemented by other major central banks. The Bank of Japan intends to carefully assess its outlook for economic activity and prices, while closely examining the likelihood of its projections as well as the upside and downside risks, and to implement its policies in a flexible manner.

Since the situation in the international financial markets has an enormous influence on the Japanese economy, we will very attentively watch global financial developments and put forth our utmost efforts to maintain the stability of the international financial system.

Expected Role of the Fund

Let me turn to the question of the Fund and expectations regarding its role. In the midst of concerns about the financial crisis and a global recession, recently, it has often been questioned whether the Fund properly fulfills expectations. We have some concerns that, in response to this criticism, the analytical and advisory capacity as well as the policy tools of the Fund might be scaled back, despite a genuine demand for them, from the international community.

I would like to offer some proposals regarding possible ways in which the Fund can fulfill its expected role.
First, the Fund can make an intellectual contribution to advanced economies affected by the financial crises. The Fund is well situated to accumulate the expertise of member countries on how to respond to financial crises. It is therefore important that the Fund build up and organize member countries’ existing experiences and provide effective input to those countries currently fighting against a financial crisis. The Fund should also improve its ability and capacity to analyze financial market issues, and to accelerate its ongoing efforts toward enhancing integration between the analyses of financial markets and the macroeconomy.

Second, there is room for intensifying the Fund’s engagement with international financial crises. Addressing global financial crises, and helping member countries cope with those crises, both lie at the heart of the Fund’s raison d’etre as an international institution. When emerging economies and smaller countries that host large financial institutions implement such measures as providing capital to financial institutions and deposit guarantees, to ensure the stability of financial systems in the midst of a crisis, these countries are likely to encounter difficulties in financing their budgetary needs. The Fund should be responsible for providing financial assistance in such cases, and should seriously consider how to fulfill this responsibility. It does need to convey to the market the Fund’s determined commitment to tackle against spreading financial stresses, and thus, have a positive impact on restoring confidence. If the Fund requires additional resources, Japan stands ready to supplement needed funds.

Third, the Fund needs to prepare for reduced access to private capital by both emerging and developing economies. As international intermediation falters as a result of the global credit crunch, such a situation may arise as thinner private capital inflows to emerging and developing economies leads some countries to face balance of payment difficulties. The Fund should vigorously examine how effectively it can fulfill its role and consider possible regimes of international cooperation for those countries.

Fourth, technical assistance is the Fund’s area of unique competence. Indeed, from a mid- to longer-term perspective, capacity building of developing countries in the macroeconomic policy field is critical toward achieving economic growth and stability. Japan, for its part, has been expanding its financial contributions to the Fund’s technical assistance programs through the Administered Account for Selected Fund Activities—Japan, and will continue to extend its support for Fund operations in this area. We believe that it is indispensable that technical assistance programs be mutually beneficial and offer a tangible outcome for both recipients and donors. To this end, particular
importance is attached to achieving an objective ex-post evaluation, with specific attention toward ensuring accountability to taxpayers in donor countries.

Development Issues and the World Bank

A global tightening of credit triggered by the subprime mortgage crisis could lead to shrinking private capital flows to developing countries, thus putting at risk the mobilization of resources necessary for infrastructure investments that support economic growth, indispensable for poverty reduction as well as for the provision of social safety nets. We expect the Bank to actively contemplate how the Bank, and Regional Development Banks, could compensate for the decline in private capital flows that have been the primary funding source for developing countries, and what kind of catalytic roles the World Bank Group could play in bolstering withered private capital flows.

At such a critical juncture, on October 1, the “new JICA” was launched by merging the JICA and the overseas economic cooperation operation of the JBIC. This marks a creation of one of the largest bilateral aid agencies equipped with providing all three aid modalities: technical cooperation, grants, and ODA loans. Facing the risk of shrinking financial flows to developing countries, Japan expects that the Bank and the “new JICA” will make their aid more effective and efficient and produce robust results by means of an exchange of best practices and know-how, and its close coordination and cooperation. In fact, at this year’s TICAD IV, good cooperation was already established in the broad area of aid for Africa. Also, in the global efforts to tackle the pressing food and climate change issues confronting the international community, Japan would like to strengthen even further its cooperation with the Bank.

Regarding governance reform at the Bank, we welcome the proposed comprehensive package that envisages a phased implementation of reform measures. The steady implementation of the measures in the package is critical to the success of the reform.

Conclusion

The world economy is experiencing a period of rapid change. Developments in the financial markets of one country are almost instantaneously transmitted to the financial market of other countries. Moreover, the factors that influence financial markets are increasing their tendency to affect the real economy through a so-called “negative feedback loop.” In such a world, no country can maintain its prosperity without thoughtfully considering the health of the global economic
system. Today, we hear many observations indicating that the Fund and the Bank need to be fundamentally reformed or that they should do much more to cope with the current new environment. These observations, however, can be interpreted as proof of continued high expectations for the two Bretton Woods institutions. Japan hopes that the Fund and the Bank will live up to those high expectations by fully utilizing their splendid human resources.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR KIRIBATI ON BEHALF OF THE FEDERATED STATES OF MICRONESIA, KIRIBATI, MARSHALL ISLANDS, SAMOA, SOLOMON ISLANDS AND VANUATU

Natan Teewe, MP

Warm Greetings from the Pacific. It is a great honor for me to deliver a statement on behalf of the Pacific Constituency comprising the Federated States of Micronesia, Kiribati, the Marshall Islands, Palau, Samoa, Solomon Islands and Vanuatu.

Our member nations, with a population of over 1.2 million people, are scattered over several thousand kilometers of the Pacific Ocean. They are different in a lot of ways but they do also share a lot of common economic features. They have common problems of isolation from the major markets; they have narrowly based economies and they are very vulnerable to the impact of climate change.

We meet here at a time of great uncertainty. The current financial crisis, coupled with the soaring food and fuel prices, that pose a threat to economic stability world-wide, has also a great negative economic impact in our region. We are already feeling the pressure of these events, which could undermine the gains made through programs of reform. These events have placed considerable hardship on our people.

Over the years, we have been undertaking wide ranging reforms to build our economic strength. Whilst the process has been slow for some, generally we have moved forward. To achieve great economic growth we have to take advantage of our disadvantages; we have to be accountable to our people and we have to manage our resources to the best we can. We view economic growth not so much in terms of increase in GDP numbers, but more in terms of providing a better life for our people, now and in the future.
Unemployment has been a problem in our region. We have to look outside our individual countries for job opportunities for our people. We are grateful for the opportunities provided by our developed partners. I would like to mention the Recognized Seasonal Employment Scheme initiated by the New Zealand Government. Not all our members are involved under the scheme. However, for those who are involved, the scheme has created the opportunity for employment in New Zealand for a limited duration. People employed remit a portion of their income to their families in their respective countries. The scheme has a positive development impact to the lives of many families, as well as to the individual economies. Still its initial stage the scheme has its problems, but no doubt and through time, things will improve. The Australian Government has announced the development of a similar scheme. We are anxiously looking forward to the implementation of it. We pray that in the very near future other developed countries will develop similar schemes to assist those of us who are by our very nature, unfortunate.

With telecommunications, there have been significant efforts Pacific-wide to open up the telecommunications sector after years of monopolistic regimes. Given our isolation and scatteredness over a wide area of ocean, an efficient and affordable telecommunications system, would encourage private sector investment which will in turn, contribute to our economic growth.

Air transportation, for some if not all of us, needs a lot of improvement. The significance of tourism to our economies requires efficient airline operations that offer improved connections. Something more than just a regional approach may be required. Developed countries in the region may be in a position to offer an answer with the support of our development partners.

Climate change has been our chorus in our region and it will continue to be our chorus in the future. It is our chorus because we are the most vulnerable to its negative impacts. We are the least contributors to green house gas emissions, but we are the worst affected to the extent that some islands may disappear for good as a result of sea level rise. Climate change is an issue of critical importance to our region, in particular the low lying atoll countries.

While we support the Bank’s engagement in climate change, we at the same time pose a question, “Is that enough to answer our worries?.” When will be the time to put our pens down and start with tangible work to reduce the negative impact? Why are we scaring our people with problems of climate change and not assist them resource wise, to counter the realities of climate change that they are facing? We welcome the establishment of the Climate Investment Fund and the emphasis on
adaptation and renewable energy sources, but we still have to see how effective these funds in overcoming our worries.

With the rise in oil and food prices, we are faced with the serious challenge of dealing with widening external and internal imbalances. With such increases, our people barely cope with their family needs, in terms of food, electricity, transportation and so forth. There is growing signs that our people are facing hardship and are beginning to demand for some form of relief.

After years of being neglected (and excuse me for saying that), we welcome the increased Bank involvement in our region through the Sydney Office, establishment of the country office in Solomon Islands, and satellite offices in Tonga, Samoa, and the placement of Bank staff at the Pacific Financial & Technical Assistance Centre (PFTAC) office in Fiji. We believe that, with those arrangements the Bank’s understanding of our particular needs will be enhanced and hopefully will improve the Bank’s response to those needs.

We are aware that the next Regional Framework is in its final stage and will be ready soon. We would again like to reconfirm our preference for increased analytical work and more strategic focus at the country level. We look forward to being closely involved in the consultations and the formulation of the next Pacific Strategy.

Regional initiatives present the opportunity to deliver assistance to benefit a number of recipients, which might otherwise be less cost effective to deliver on an individual basis. High transaction cost of doing business given our small size, small population, and islands scattered across a vast area make regional and sub-regional approaches much more attractive. We have regional entities working well to serve the interests of the Pacific. We have the Pacific Plan, which has been endorsed by Pacific Leaders, as a source of ideas on regional priorities. And we have the Fund and Bank engaged in the Pacific. Yet there seems to be little practical partnership taking place in support of regional initiatives. We believe there are opportunities for real impact to take place with improved collaboration. I therefore urge the Fund and the Bank Group to coordinate their programs closely with the Pacific Plan initiatives to ensure complementarity and deliver optimum outcome.

Private sector development has been propagated as the engine for economic growth. In our region, private sector growth has been disappointing. We believe we have created a favorable environment and put in place appropriate governance structures and best practices, but there are only a few businesses established and not many foreign investors show interest. The 2009 Doing Business Review ranks most Pacific countries below 100 with the best performer at 39, yet there is no positive reward in terms of new investments. We want to understand the
missing part of the puzzle and we believe the Fund and the Bank Group should assist us with better analytical work and realistic advice.

We welcome the progress made on voice and quota reform in the Fund and we always believe that the interest of all members should be accommodated. To ensure that we are not left out the Bank should serve us at the ground level by providing an improved Bank-Country relationship, an improved standard of service, an improved Bank Management accountability and an innovative approach to promote Bank exposure to under represented regions.

We appreciate the way small states are treated in the IDA15 framework. The proposed resource allocation to reflect our special situations is a step forward. The increased IDA resources to the Pacific countries will help a lot in achieving our Millennium Development Goals within the stipulated timeframe.

Mr. Chairman, I would like to conclude by acknowledging on behalf of the Pacific Constituency, the improved collaboration we now have with both the Fund and the Bank Group, and we look forward to a continued meaningful partnership and practical outcomes that will help raise the quality of life of our people.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR THE REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Man-Soo Kang

It is my great pleasure to join you here on behalf of the Republic of Korea.

Today, the world economy and global financial market are in grave difficulty.

Given the close linkage between financial markets and the real economy that we are now observing, our priority should be to stabilize the financial market.

To this end, it is important that we restore market confidence before the on-going financial market turmoil develops into a “Confidence Crisis.”

Policy-makers should enhance predictability in the market by providing transparent and objective information and sending consistent policy signals.
In particular, policy coordination and information sharing between member countries are critical in stabilizing the closely inter-linked global financial market.

Drawing upon our experience of the Asian financial crisis, I would like to make two suggestions.

First, policy-makers should take swift actions sufficient to stabilize the market when necessary.

In this context, I fully support the decisive and timely market-stabilizing measures including liquidity provision and interest rate cuts.

We should not forget, however, that emerging and developing economies are suffering the most from the turbulence in financial markets.

To ease their burden, I would suggest global market-stabilizing measures, such as liquidity provision, engage emerging and developing economies through close coordination.

To facilitate policy coordination, I look forward to G-20 taking a stronger role. Given its comprehensive membership consisting of both developed and developing economies, G-20 can be an effective platform for global collaboration.

Second, regarding the role of IMF amid today’s uncertainty, I have three points to make.

First of all, the Fund has a bigger role to play in this unstable financial market. It should help the global economy address current turmoil by strengthening its surveillance.

Specifically, the Fund needs to help member countries enhance the financial supervisory system in response to the evolving situation in financial markets.

Objective and transparent evaluation and disclosure of the risk inherent in new financial products, will enable us to minimize systemic risk and regain investor confidence.

Second point is that the Fund should focus its analysis on the macrofinancial linkage by fostering the capability to identify and analyze the spillover process and its risk.

Going further, it needs to make recommendations on macroeconomic policies including the counter-cyclical role of fiscal policy to revitalize investment and consumption in the context of slowing growth.

My third point is that the development of regional surveillance and crisis management system and its coordination with the IMF are critical to effectively address the current financial crisis.

In Asia, for example, the CMI Multilateralization, which is to establish regional liquidity providing system, can be a desirable complement to the IMF.
As for the real economy, it is important that all member countries cooperate to stabilize oil and other commodity prices and, thereby, mitigate worldwide inflationary pressure.

To this end, commodity-exporting countries should stay away from “export protectionism” and maintain an adequate level of supply. On the other hand, developed countries need to take appropriate actions to stop speculative demand from driving up prices.

Turning to the on-going World Bank reform, the ultimate goal of governance reform is to enhance the Bank’s responsiveness and maximize members’ participation by ensuring their equitable representation.

The first and foremost principle of the reform should be to ensure that the share structure reflects each member’s relative weight in the global economy.

It has been a decade since the Bank realigned its quota in 1998. The current quota does not fairly reflect the status of emerging and dynamic countries whose economies have experienced significant changes.

That explains the urgent need for a quota reform, especially for the countries whose quota falls far short of their economic position.

I am confident that the reform will in turn contribute to achieving the Bank’s mandate as it will promote participation by member countries.

Last but not least, I urge you to support North Korea, one of the least developed countries in the world, in opening up its economy and reforming itself.

To encourage North Korea’s opening and reform, it is important to integrate the country into the global community.

This will be a challenging task, which requires the efforts of both Koreas as well as the cooperation of the international community.

In this regard, I would like to emphasize that the forward-looking stance of the IMF and World Bank is essential.

Once again, I ask for the support of all member countries.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE BANK FOR THE LAO PEOPLE’S DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Viengthong Siphandone

It is my honor and a great pleasure to represent the Government of the Lao People’s Democratic Republic at the 2008 Annual Meetings of the Boards of Governors of the International Monetary Fund and the
World Bank. Let me join my fellow Governors in congratulating Mr. Chairman, the President of the World Bank, the Managing Director of the IMF, and the Government and people of the United States for the excellent arrangements made for this important meeting. I would also like to take this opportunity to express my congratulations to President Zoellick for continuing his fruitful work in enhancing the World Bank’s role in supporting poverty reduction of all regions in the world, regardless of the gloomy economic situation in the United States and around the world recently.

Mr. Chairman, although, the Lao People’s Democratic Republic is not directly affected by the sub-prime mortgage crisis in the United States, we share the concern that this crisis could develop into a global financial meltdown, and are greatly concerned about the potential effect on emerging countries and poor countries. We urge the U.S. Government and other industrialized countries to implement all possible measures to remedy the situation and to restore the investors’ confidence in the financial market.

Mr. Chairman, I would like to take this opportunity to describe the Lao People’s Democratic Republic’s economic performance during 2007–2008. Our macroeconomic situation remains fairly stable, with continued strong growth of above 7 percent, which is expected to continue into 2008. Output has expanded in mining, newly emerging processing industries, agriculture, new construction of hydropower projects, tourism and other services, as well as large FDI inflows from neighboring countries.

Nonetheless, there are some risks of rising inflation. After falling to a record low level of 4.5 percent in 2007, overall inflation climbed to 6.4 percent in the second half of 2008. High fuel prices pushed up the cost of individual living, agriculture, and industry. Moreover, we recently faced floods from the north to the south, which affected plantations, livestock, transportation, and infrastructure; this has had a cost not only in monetary terms, but also with regard to the well being of the villagers. Vientiane itself experienced its highest water level in 40 years; thanks to the proactive efforts of the Lao community-government, the private sector, individuals, and the international community from different parts of Vientiane, we were able to save the capital from flooding. We are confident that the Bank and other donors will support us in restoring normal living and working conditions in the regions of the Lao People’s Democratic Republic affected by the floods.

Mr. Chairman, during 2007–2008, the Government has adopted and implemented many reforms. On fiscal front, the Government has continued the implementation of a comprehensive medium-term Public Expenditure Management Strengthening Program (PEMSP) since 2005,
focusing on strengthening Public Financial Management systems and building the capacity of the Ministry of Finance and Provincial Finance Departments. In addition, the new Budget Law was adopted in 2007 to address weaknesses in the intergovernmental fiscal framework. Other key reforms include centralizing the treasury, customs and tax departments, developing a new fiscal transfer system, establishing greater control of public finance resources, and aligning policies to the budget, as well as promulgating the new Audit Law. The implementation of the value-added tax has started early this month.

The financial sector remains small and dominated by banks. The government has taken measures to strengthen the banking sector and level the playing field. There are more new investments in the private banking sector, the ANZ commercial bank, which is shared by IFC and Australian ANZ Bank, as well as Phongsavanh Bank, which is Lao’s first commercial bank. As a result, the commercial banking law promulgated in 2007 provides for transparent, clear, and easy licensing for establishing private banks.

Furthermore, in order to fulfill the socioeconomic development plans set for the period ahead, the Government has initiated other major reforms such as improvement of the business environment, enhanced efficiency of investment, reduction of tariffs under AFTA commitments, and intensified preparation for WTO accession.

Mr. Chairman, we highly value the support of the international community, including bilateral and multilateral organizations, and international financial institutions. The Fund and the Bank have continued to provide vigorous support and assistance to the Lao People’s Democratic Republic in term of policy advice, infrastructure, economic programs and projects, capacity building, and technical assistance. That support has played a vital role in helping the Lao People’s Democratic Republic achieve economic growth and sustainable development. The Poverty Reduction Support Operations (PRSO) which, from the donor side, led by the Bank, has remarkably supported the structural reform to a more market-oriented economy, especially the improvement in the legal framework, which will form a foundation for sound and efficient development in the key sectors. We would also like to take this opportunity to urge the World Bank Group to continue the efforts in supporting the social and economic development and poverty reduction in the poor countries of our region as the Bank does with other regions of the world. ODA is an important factor in supporting the poor countries of this region to achieve their poverty reduction agenda and MDGs.

Ladies and gentlemen, on behalf of the Government of the Lao People’s Democratic Republic, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to the management and the staffs of the Fund and the Bank,
STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR MALAYSIA

Wan Abdul Aziz Wan Abdullah

We welcome the 2008 Annual Meeting of the Boards of Governors of the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund. We would like to take this opportunity to thank the Bank and the Fund for the ongoing efforts in carrying out their respective mandates to eradicate poverty and improve financial management in member countries. We also would like to thank Mr. Robert Zoellick, the president of the Bank for the immediate response to support member countries affected by the food and energy crisis. We hope the Bank will continue to be receptive to distress calls by member countries and strive to be proactive with workable and pragmatic solutions.

Global Economic Outlook

Prospects of global growth are weighed down by the prolonged financial turmoil originating from the United States sub-prime crisis, and the surge in inflation triggered by higher commodity prices. The 2008 WEO indicates that developed economies impacted by the financial crisis are bordering on recession during the second half of 2008, while emerging and developing countries which experience high inflation are heading for slower growth. The world economy is expected to moderate further in 2009, unless financial institutions resolve their capital and solvency problems; commodity and housing markets stabilize; and domestic demand in emerging and developing countries continue to strengthen. The current global slowdown clearly underscores the need for concerted commitments by national and international authorities to institute policies as well as regulatory and governance mechanisms to address the challenges and sustain global growth.

Malaysian Economy

Structural reforms and pragmatic policies undertaken over the past five decades have systematically transformed the economy into one that...
is broad-based and diversified. More so, since the 1998 Asian crisis, when Malaysia defied the prevailing orthodoxy of the day and undertook radical measures to ensure the economy is more resilient and better positioned to withstand challenges from the external environment.

The Malaysian economy registered strong growth of 6.3 percent in 2007, and posted higher-than-expected growth of 6.7 percent during the first half of 2008, despite rising inflationary pressures and external uncertainties. Steady growth in exports, particularly for commodities and resource-based manufactured goods, as well as sustained private consumption and investment supported the robust expansion of the economy. On a sectoral basis, growth was led by the services sector at 7.8 percent. Sturdy growth in wholesale and retail trade as well as healthy performance in the communication, transport and storage, and finance and insurance sub-sectors underpinned the expansion in the services sector. The manufacturing sector recorded 6.3 percent growth, contributed mainly by domestic-oriented industries, such as transport equipment, food and construction-related activities. Growth in the agriculture sector was 6.1 percent, supported by strong expansion in palm oil production, making Malaysia well-positioned to benefit from the commodities boom. The Malaysian economy is projected to expand 5.7 percent for 2008 and 5.4 percent in 2009.

Fiscal policy is focused on ensuring effective public spending. The higher fiscal deficit expected this year is due to increased commitments in providing social assistance, sustaining growth momentum and managing inflation. Nevertheless, the government remains firmly committed to consolidate its financial position and reducing the budget deficit in 2009. The international reserves, remains high at US$109.7 billion, sufficient to finance 9 months of retained imports and is 4.1 times the short-term external debt as at 30 September 2008.

In relation to monetary policy, the Central Bank has maintained a stable policy interest rate since April 2006. The banking sector remains resilient, well capitalized with relatively low loan to asset ratios in mortgage financing and little exposure to the US financial crisis. The risk-weighted capital ratio (RWCR) is at 13 percent; and has better asset quality with nonperforming loans ratio of 2.7 percent as at end-June 2008. Net funds raised in the capital market were higher at US$12.3 billion. These strengths ensure the Malaysian financial system continues to perform its intermediation function efficiently. Nevertheless, the government will remain alert and vigilant in view of the increasing uncertainties in the global financial market.
World Economic Development Issues

We note the Bank and Fund’s concerns on recent economic developments and their effects on long-term growth as well as on the vulnerable groups. High oil and food prices have had serious consequences on the world economy, especially developing countries. Rising prices have not only contributed to inflationary pressures but have also led to social unrest, undermining the political stability in some countries. In striving for solutions to solve these problems, we urge the Bank and the Fund to be more proactive and aggressive in garnering greater international cooperation to bring about stability in the commodities market.

Of grave concern is also the prolonged duration of the financial crisis in the United States. Given that financial markets are highly integrated worldwide, the risks to international financial stability are very real. As the turmoil on Wall Street affects Main Street, we are monitoring closely the events unfolding, and are very concerned about the second round effects on the real sector. If the current financial crisis is not addressed comprehensively, the global slowdown is bound to worsen and further impact negatively on the low income economies. We, therefore, hope that the affected individual countries will take strong and immediate measures to resolve the crisis quickly before the contagion effects engulf the whole world. We also hope that the Bank will step up efforts to assist the more vulnerable among us.

Going forward, we strongly suggest the Fund to enhance its surveillance efforts to address the inadequacies in regulatory and governance mechanisms among member nations to ensure better market oversight, without fear or favor. Countries that have exercised greater discipline in banking supervision, securities market regulation and corporate governance, especially in the aftermath of the Asian financial crisis, should not have their economic gains eroded by the risky behavior exhibited in the more developed markets. We, therefore, agree with the IMF Managing Director that, “a systemic crisis demands systemic solutions,” and that, “vigilance, objectivity and collaboration—on a global scale—will be needed to deal with the challenges ahead,” not the least being the spillover of the financial fallout into the real economy. In this context, we strongly urge the International Financial Institutions to focus on strengthening the international financial architecture to better identify weaknesses that contribute to economic and financial vulnerabilities, providing early warning signals, and instituting preemptive measures and policy reforms to avoid crisis situations.
Climate Change

Climate change is increasingly recognized as a challenge to sustainable development. We concur that the impact of climate change poses a higher risk to emerging and developing economies in achieving their development goals compared with developed countries. Instituting mitigation and adaptation measures in response to climate change imposes extra costs to developing countries, many of which are already grappling with critical poverty and growth issues. Abandoning developing countries to their own devices to mitigate the effects of climate change is unjust and will not bring equity. Wealthy countries are responsible for the bulk of past greenhouse gas emissions but enjoy higher gains from global wealth, while the low-emitting poor countries are hard hit by the consequences of climate change. Developed countries should, therefore, assist developing countries with extra resources, in terms of funding, as well as facilitating technology transfer and capacity building to help integrate the process of mitigation and adaptation efforts to climate change into development projects. However, diverting existing funds from poverty reduction programs into climate change initiatives will not bring equity to developing countries. Specific funds, which have been established in response to the threat of climate change, should continue and developed countries should honor their agreement under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) to assist developing country parties that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change. In this regard, we welcome the Bank’s efforts in setting up the Strategic Framework for Climate Change as part of measures to mitigate the impact of climate change. However, we wish to remind the Bank that the financing of climate change actions must be well defined and not be taken from poverty eradication programs or the IDA allocation.

Voice and Participation Reform

We take note of the progress in the Bank’s voice and participation reform. We would like to reiterate that the reform being undertaken should bring about equitable and transparent representation in the Bank’s governance. While we can subscribe to the parallelism between the Bank and the Fund, the differences in the roles and mandates of these respective institutions must be taken into consideration in formulating the voice reform. What is appropriate for the Fund is not necessarily appropriate for the Bank. This is because both have distinct missions. As such, using the Fund formulae for the Bank’s reform will not reflect its true governance. It is fundamental for the Bank to consider voice reform with a deep understanding of its mandate in order to ensure that its
specific development mandate and role are reinforced. Of paramount importance, regardless what methodology is adopted, the reform should enhance legitimacy, credibility, and accountability without diluting the voice of developing countries, either as a group or as individual countries, and without imposing an extra financial burden. However, we are concerned that the option of doubling basic votes would lead to only a marginal increase of 1.2 percentage points to developing and transition countries voting power. We also welcome the suggestion that the selection of the president of the Bank be a more transparent, merit-based process, and open to all qualified nationals of all Member-States. We believe that the selection process must reflect the good governance and democratic principles espoused by the Bank.

In conclusion, we would like to express our appreciation to the Boards of Governors, management and staff of the Bank and the Fund for their good work in promoting economic growth, poverty eradication programs and financial stability in resolving the difficult challenges that lie ahead.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND FOR MALTA

Michael C. Bonello

It is an honour for me to address the Annual Meetings of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank. I would like to convey my appreciation to the management of the IMF and the World Bank, the government of the United States of America and the authorities of Washington D.C. for the excellent arrangements made for these meetings.

I also take this opportunity to welcome New Zealand as a member of the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency.

A warm welcome is extended to Dr Boutros-Ghali on his appointment as chair of the International Monetary and Finance Committee and Ms Izumi Kobayashi as Executive Vice President of the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency. We are certain that the Bretton Woods institutions will benefit from their experience in international affairs and global finance. The past months have seen a number of organizational changes in the IMF and the World Bank Group. In this regard, I would like to express my appreciation for the
service and dedication of all those who left one institution or the other, and congratulate their successors on their new appointments.

This year’s meetings take place against a background of severe crisis in global financial markets and a hostile and uncertain macroeconomic environment which continues to be substantially undermined by depressed conditions in housing markets and the negative impact until recently of a sharp upward trend in oil and commodity prices.

In many economies, and especially in the advanced economies, economic growth prospects have deteriorated further since the summer. Although the deterioration in terms of inflation might be over, price pressures are set to remain elevated for a while as supply in commodity markets responds only gradually to demand.

This situation is exacerbated by the ongoing turbulence in the financial markets and the persistence of global imbalances, which complicates the task for macroeconomic policy. Indeed, the measures that would seem to offer the best possibilities for the restoration of smoothly functioning financial markets may not be consistent with the longer-term macroeconomic objectives of fiscal soundness and price stability.

There is no doubt that the commodity price shocks and the economic slowdown are having a more pronounced impact on highly open economies and developing countries. A continuation of present trends risks undoing the progress made in recent years towards the Millennium Development Goals. As higher commodity prices, lower external demand and the automatic stabilizers continue to weigh on the public finances of developing countries there is a risk that they will not be able to undertake public sector projects that are necessary to deliver sustainable economic growth. Developing countries’ external reserves are also bound to experience erosion as most of them are net commodity importers.

In this regard, we welcome the efforts undertaken by the Bretton Woods institutions to make their financial assistance more promptly available to those countries most affected by the energy and food shocks, and to encourage the resumption of trade liberalisation talks. This should contribute to a faster recovery in economic growth worldwide. It should be emphasised, however, that the upward trend in commodity prices also reflects structural factors. Consequently the financial assistance available from the Fund and the Bank can only offer temporary respite to an adjustment process that in the medium- to longer-term will imply an inevitable change in consumption patterns.

We believe that the IMF and the World Bank can facilitate adjustment in these countries, first by allowing temporary deviations
from preestablished targets in country programs, provided that end objectives are not redefined and time frames are set for reverting to optimal policy paths as soon as this is feasible for the borrowing countries concerned.

Second, as the institution responsible for international monetary stability, the IMF must also ensure that the short-term response to the financial crisis is conducted without amplifying global imbalances. The combination of housing market shocks, elevated commodity prices and ongoing financial turmoil highlights the need for a more resolute implementation of the policy commitments aimed at ensuring an orderly correction of these imbalances.

Third, the advice and capacity-building initiatives of the Fund and the Bank can be formulated so as to encourage the adoption of new consumption patterns, a more efficient use of energy resources and a faster switch to renewable sources of energy.

This, however, has to be achieved with due consideration being given not only to the two institutions’ respective mandates and comparative advantage, but also bearing in mind the availability of knowledge bases of other institutions that have expertise in energy and related environmental issues, such as climate change. Through the combined expertise synergies will be achieved, ensuring an efficient utilisation of resources.

This principle should also guide the activities of the IMF in low income countries in general, possibly the area of the Fund’s responsibilities where there is the greatest risk of overlap with World Bank operations. The recently concluded review of this aspect of the Fund’s work offers a good opportunity to identify those areas where there might be room to rationalize its noncore activities and focus instead on those areas where its efforts can add value.

It will also be important to ensure, however, that the Fund’s lending policy as it applies to LICs takes due account of its implications in terms of debt sustainability as well as the overall objectives of the broader review that is underway with regard to the other lending instruments available at the Fund.

In a sense, the current international situation has rendered such a broad review timely. It has brought to the fore weaknesses in the accounting and regulatory framework, as well as channels of financial contagion that were previously unforeseen. Moreover, unlike the more recent financial and currency crises, the current one does not have its origins in emerging market economies, but in the sophisticated financial markets of the advanced economies.

At this juncture, therefore, it may be relevant to consider whether the Fund’s current lending framework only remains relevant to a small
subset of members under a limited set of circumstances or whether it could be rendered more relevant to the membership of the Fund as a whole by being endowed with the capacity to respond to a broader range of potential events.

We believe that the papers presented by the Fund staff provide a useful first step towards a simpler and more transparent lending framework. However, further reflection is required, not least on what instruments should be terminated and what new instruments and financing terms would be compatible with the Fund’s mandate. In this regard we note that some of the proposals put forward, such as the idea of making financial assistance subject to collateral, do not seem to be consistent with the Fund’s mandate and do not really offer a workable alternative. Furthermore the proposed ‘quiet’ facility would bring into question the Fund’s efforts to enhance its institutional transparency. In addition we believe that further analysis should be undertaken by the Fund to see how conditionality could be streamlined and tailored to the circumstances of different countries without departing from the principle of uniformity of treatment and without impinging on the revolving nature of the Fund’s resources.

Recent financial market events confirm the continued importance of the Fund’s surveillance activities and the need for an even handed and transparent approach towards its implementation. To a large extent, the Triennial Review of bilateral surveillance correctly identifies those areas where there seems to be the greatest scope for modification. Here it would be pertinent to mention the need for more attention to low probability events with potentially significant regional or global effects, the links between the financial and nonfinancial sectors, and regional and multilateral spillover effects.

We also see a role for the systematic reporting on the follow-up to past surveillance activities in Article IV Reports. For many members, particularly nonprogram countries that are not considered to be of systemic importance and that are not subject to some form of peer pressure from other institutions, IMF surveillance remains the best available framework for conveying relevant policy advice and ensuring it is implemented in a timely and meaningful manner. For this reason too we share the view of the Managing Director that the newly launched ‘ad hoc’ consultation procedure should not replace, but complement regular Article IV Missions. We also welcome the draft Statement of Surveillance Priorities but reiterate our view that its implementation should not result in an increase in administrative burden.

I conclude by assuring the management and staff of the Fund and the Bank of our continued support as they continue to press ahead with their efforts to refocus and restructure, and in their broader efforts in
promoting international financial stability and poverty reduction in an increasingly global challenging environment.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE BANK FOR THE REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

Mariana Durleașteanu

Mr. Chairman, distinguished Governors, Mr. Dominique Strauss-Kahn, Managing Director of the International Monetary Fund, Mr. Robert Zoellick, President of the World Bank Group, delegation members, ladies and gentlemen:

It is an honor for me to participate at this important event and a privilege to be here during the most challenging and worrisome times for the global economy.

Allow me to start with a few words about the recent economic developments in my country.

The Moldovan economy

Despite a series of exogenous shocks and the severe natural calamities experienced during the last three years, the Moldovan economy has been growing at an average annual rate of 5 percent since 2005. Despite current challenges, the overall performance is expected to improve in 2008:

- The GDP will increase by 7.5 percent.
- The budget deficit is targeted at 0.5 percent of GDP.
- Poverty will continue declining to 26 percent from 30 percent in 2006.

Also, FDI increased further in recent years: in 2008 alone, the FDI inflows increased by 2.4 times compared to 2007 and reached 15 percent of GDP, due to improved investment environment. Remittances inflows continue to be strong, they exceeded 30 percent of GDP in the last 3 years. This proves the fact that the Moldovan economy is getting more and more integrated into the global economy, with the resulting positive and also negative consequences.

The huge inflow of foreign exchange exerts significant pressure on the Moldovan currency leading to its appreciation. During the last two
years, the Moldovan Leu appreciated by over 25 percent against the US dollar. In turn, this affected the competitiveness of our exports and, as a consequence, we have a continuously growing trade deficit.

Together with the National Bank, the government of Moldova has been conducting a tough fight against inflation. The increase in international energy and food prices, combined with the significant inflow of foreign exchange makes this fight extremely difficult. Nevertheless, we have managed to reduce the annual inflation rate from 16.9 percent in May to 10.7 percent in September and hope to bring this indicator to below 10 percent by the end of the year.

The fiscal performance has been strong. The National Public Budget revenues increased from 38.6 percent of GDP in 2005 to 41.8 percent in 2007. Strong revenue performance allowed the government to implement a three-fold “liberalization” initiative, which includes capital legalization, tax amnesty, and introduction of a zero corporate tax rate on the reinvested income. The reform aims at reducing the tax burden and creating a better business environment.

In the meantime, we are continuing public expenditure reforms with the objective to improve resource allocation for investments and increase the efficiency and accountability of public spending. Prudent debt management policy has allowed us to reduce the public external debt to GDP ratio from 22 percent in 2005 to 12 percent in 2008.

In the last eight years, the Moldovan economy registered important achievements in all areas, but serious challenges continue to threaten the sustainability of these achievements. To deal with these challenges, in 2008, the Moldovan Government began the implementation of the National Development Strategy for 2008–2011, which aims at:

(i) Enhancing the competitiveness of the national economy,
(ii) Development of human resource, enhancing employment and promoting social inclusion and
(iii) Regional development.
Both, IMF and World Bank support the new Strategy.

Relations with the Fund

Moldova’s cooperation with the IMF has been on an ascending trend in the last few years. Since 2006, we have benefited from about 77 million SDR, which were used to build up Moldova’s international reserves, which are now covering more than 4 months of import. In addition, we have benefited from important technical assistance, including on strengthening tax administration, strengthening banking supervision, and improving national statistics.
Talking about our future cooperation, I would like to raise a few issues. And, as a Minister of Finance, I will start with fiscal issues. All these years, the government of Moldova has been maintaining the budget deficit below 0.5 percent of GDP, and we are committed to stick to a sound fiscal policy in the future.

However, given the current global challenges that will affect us as well, we consider that a more balanced approach toward the fiscal policy is needed. The current challenges call for the fiscal policy to draw a fine line between balancing the need to help contain inflation, while at the same time, ensure economic growth and continued decline in poverty. The risks to the latter two tasks are currently on the downside. Moreover, we do have the resources (from privatization revenues) and we do not intend to spend on consumption needs. A slight increase in deficit would allow us to finance investment projects that are geopolitically important and socially targeted.

I would also like to call for more flexibility with Fund conditionality which envisages privatizations of high values assets. We all know that these kinds of transactions have to take place at right time, and the right time for the market might not always be the one envisaged in the program.

I would also like to request the support of the IMF in helping us to manage the growing inflows of foreign exchange. I think we all agree that there are only a very few small open economies in the world that, like Moldova, have strong inflows of remittances and of FDI and there is not much we can learn from the international experience on this. We would appreciate the support of the IMF staff in identifying additional financial instruments to withdraw the excessive foreign exchange from the market.

Another issue that we would need assistance with is the creation of a Risk Mitigation Fund to provide support to poor people in the situation of food crisis, increase in energy prices, climate change, financial crisis, etc. We would like to ask for advice on the best practices regarding establishing and managing such a fund.

Relations with the Bank

Regarding our cooperation with the World Bank, we have recently completed the implementation of the previous country assistance strategy and are currently defining the new partnership program. We appreciate the Bank’s decision to keep the next program flexible and to increase the share of the budget support.

I would like to say a few more words about policy conditioned budget support. Starting with 1999, we are implementing nationally
owned reform programs (PRSPs) which should also serve as a basis for IFIs support. Indeed, the policy conditionalities negotiated with the World Bank do fit the general objectives formulated in our national documents. However, these days, more and more donors are coming up with policy conditions. Although we understand that conditionalities are needed to push for the implementation of unpopular reforms, having too many of them might be counterproductive. Maybe you could unify your efforts with other donors (like EU) and have one single document that will define the policy conditions? This will make our life much easier in terms of managing the process and implementing the commitments. Also, very often, little money is accompanied by lots of conditions.

The second issue that I would like to raise is the need to improve the planning of resources. First, we believe that, in many cases, the Bank disbursement procedures continue to take too much time. In addition, the disbursement of budget support is conditioned by the fulfillment of policy commitments which are not entirely in the government’s hands (like approval by Parliament), which can cause significant delays in disbursing the money. Both these factors are making our expenditure planning exercise very difficult.

Finally, I would like to stress that the IMF and WB relations with small countries like Moldova should be more flexible, and allow for ongoing adaptation of the range of instruments, tailored to the country’s needs and capable of providing effective assistance with finding the right response to global challenges.

STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR MYANMAR

U Than Nyein

I would like to express our appreciation to the host government and the people of the United States for the hospitality extended to our delegation. I would also like to thank the managements of the Bank and the Fund for their excellent arrangements made for this meeting.

At this point, I wish to congratulate Mr. Zoellick and Mr. Strauss-Kahn for their able leadership. Under their leadership and guidance, the institutions have made considerable contribution to the promotion of international cooperation and development.
I would like to take this opportunity to extend my deepest sympathies to all the governments and peoples of the countries that had faced natural as well as man-created disasters during this year. As a country that has suffered an unprecedented natural disaster, we sincerely can identify well the hardships and sorrows that those countries had to face.

In contrast to last year, we meet here at a time when the global economy remains bleak as downside risks remain. Due to high commodity prices, natural disasters and the recent financial turmoil, the global economy remains weak.

There is no denying that there is still much to be done in order to have the global economy on the path to sustainable growth. In this context, collaboration and cooperation, not only between advanced countries, but also between advanced countries and emerging economies, are essential for global macroeconomic stability and prosperity. Effective, focused, and flexible macroeconomic measures may be needed. The present issues of importance are to maintain the pace of growth, lower inflationary pressures and lessen the impact of the financial turbulence.

I would now like to brief the Meeting on recent developments of the Myanmar economy. As have been stated in previous year, we have been formulating and implementing short-term plans since 1992-93. 2007-2008 was the second year of the Fourth Five-Year plan and we have been able to maintain the momentum of the growth rates that have been previously achieved. The agriculture sector is still the mainstay of the economy as its share in GDP is around 44 percent, while the industry sector’s share is 20 percent and the services sector’s share is 36 percent. It can be said that our economy is on the right path to sustainable growth momentum.

On the external front, the current account is in surplus, due mainly to surplus in the trade account. The surplus can be attributable to increases in exports and remittances from abroad. As such, our foreign exchange reserves have also risen considerably.

In the fiscal front, although the budget is still in deficit, the deficit is on a declining trend. We intended and were trying to have a balanced budget this year. However, as we have to spend for relief, rehabilitation, and prevention of contagious diseases for the victims of the cyclone, we expect this year’s expenditure would be quite large. We are making efforts and taking necessary measures to increase revenue that we hope will help us offset some of the increase in expenditure.

On the monetary front, supervisory and regulatory powers of the Central Bank have been strengthened and due diligence and care had
been taken to ensure that the banking sector is not used for improper transactions, including money laundering and financing of terrorism.

The only thing that remains to be tackled is the inflation rate, which is still relatively high but is gradually declining.

In May, the second month of this fiscal year 2008-2009, Myanmar was struck severely by Cyclone Nargis with unprecedented force, concentrating mainly on the region most important for agriculture, fishery, and salttrens. The cyclone also destroyed and damaged an enormous amount of households and displaced hundreds of thousands of people. Thousands of lives were lost while thousands are still missing. According to the Post- Nargis Joint Assessment (PONJA) report, the impact of the cyclone on the economy and people has been severe due in part to the fact that it happened right after the harvest of the summer paddy. As such, the recovery needs are significant and span all sectors and the estimation of the total economic losses amounted to about 2.7 percent of the projected 2008 GDP. Internal and external assistances have been prompt, and timely assistance was rendered to us. I would like to take this opportunity to thank all the organizations that have provided us with humanitarian assistances.

Even with this unfortunate occurrence, I am very much pleased to report to the meeting that during the first quarter of this year we have been able to achieve a relatively good growth rate. We are ready to put all out efforts to maintain our targets and are hopeful that we will be able to overcome whatever difficult tasks that lay ahead in order to achieve macroeconomic stability and growth. In doing so we will be giving due consideration for the development of the social sectors in order to meet all the MDGs in time.

We have been lucky in one way, as we do not have developed capital or financial markets yet and therefore we will not have direct impact of the recent financial crisis on our economy. However, in this globalized world where a country cannot stand alone, we understand that there would be some indirect impact on Myanmar. We stand ready to cooperate with all the countries in the region in order to overcome whatever challenges and risks that we might face in the future.

Myanmar has been a member of both organizations for over (56) years. We have always worked closely with these organizations and we will continue to do so in the future.
It is a pleasure and honor for me to address this noble gathering of the eminent officials of the World Bank Group and IMF as well as my fellow governors and colleagues as a Finance Minister and Governor of the newly born Federal Democratic Republic of Nepal. This annual global assembly of development professionals and practitioners provides an appropriate platform that can best be utilized for discussing and deciding on how we can wipe out the curse of absolute poverty from the face of the earth and create a decent, egalitarian, peaceful, and prosperous society in all parts of the world.

Let me briefly explain the recent political development in Nepal. We have fought and won the political war—a war to emancipate the people of Nepal from all forms of feudal exploitations. Feudal monarchy that represented stagnation and underdevelopment has come to an end. People of Nepal have become fully sovereign. We have achieved this through collective efforts and consensus of all political parties. For the first time in Nepal’s history, people’s representatives are going to write the new constitution. After a decade of peoples’ war, the peace process now is moving in right direction and we will collectively steer this to success.

Internal conflict is now over and the country is heading towards lasting peace. The steps that we have traversed so far starting from the signing of Comprehensive Peace Accord between the Communist Party of Nepal (Maoist) and the then government in November 2006 to the formation of the present coalition government headed by the Maoist party following the Constituent Assembly Election mean that political instability will no longer remain as a problem. The writing of new constitution of the nation within two years will complete the political transition of establishing People’s Republic of Nepal.

While we have made progress on the political front, we now have to fight the economic war- a war against economic stagnation and deprivation of masses from even the basic necessities of life. This is the challenge that we have to face head on. And we must aim to take big leaps. It is time that we take high ambitions and put all-out efforts to achieve them.

During the past 50 years of planning, the growth rate of the Nepalese economy has been very low, or barely sufficient to keep pace with the population growth rate. The rate of savings is just about 11.5
percent of GDP, which means high dependence on foreign assistance to meet the investment requirement for the targeted rate of growth. We realize that poor governance has been one of the fundamental constraints for Nepal’s rapid development. We have focused our efforts on improving governance, minimizing leakages in expenditures and properly targeting public expenditures so that we get maximum out of government’s investments.

Nepal is now in a phase of economic transition from feudalism to industrial capitalism, with appropriate blend of social welfare. And we want to complete this transition as fast as possible. In this process, we will have to demolish old growth inhibiting rules, structures and institutions and initiate new growth promoting strategies wherein each individual will be able to realize his/her production potentials. Our vision is to build a ‘New Nepal’ through rapid socio-economic transformation. We have set out the economic agenda with a goal of achieving double-digit economic growth rate in the next two years with, of course, proper distribution. For this, we have opted for a three-pronged strategy: promotion of private sector investment in growth-propelling sectors, public-private partnership in large infrastructure projects and cooperatives in rural areas in agriculture, agro-processing, marketing activities and in operating public distribution system.

Our development priority is on water resources and hydropower, and agriculture and tourism as these are the areas in which Nepal has comparative and competitive advantage. We would be creating investment-friendly environment for foreign direct investment in these areas. For this, we would need rapid expansion of physical infrastructures and accelerated investments in education and health.

As we are embarking upon a challenging journey of rapid economic growth focusing on improving the lives of millions of poor people, the rising commodity prices have made our development efforts costlier. Commodity prices have also made poor people’s lives even more miserable. Just when the world community was tackling the rising commodity prices, there is now the other crisis propelled primarily by falling asset prices. The exact magnitude of current financial crisis is not yet known as each day banks, one after the other in advanced countries, are reporting financial distress. We are not quite sure where this all will lead to, but the world economic outlook looks bleak for the foreseeable future. I think we have all gathered here at the right time to debate on these issues and I hope that, collectively, we will find proper solutions.

I urge that when advanced countries focus on correcting their domestic economic woes, they should not lose sight of the need of under-developed and developing countries.
I take this opportunity to express our sincere thanks to all those who have extended support to our peace process and in rebuilding Nepal. I would also like to thank the Bank and the Fund for their renewed commitment to help achieve our vision of New Nepal.

Before I close, I would like to thank the government and the people of United States for the hospitality. I also thank the Bank and the Fund for the excellent arrangements made for the Annual Meetings. I wish the Annual Meetings a grand success and thank you all for your gracious presence.

STATEMENT BY THE TEMPORARY ALTERNATE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR THE KINGDOM OF THE NETHERLANDS—NETHERLANDS

Ruud Treffers

Dear colleagues. We meet in exceptionally turbulent times, affecting all our countries one way or the other. In recent weeks we have seen that the current multilateral system has proven unable to offer a sufficient response to the complex combination of the financial, climate, food and energy crises. The world is globalized in terms of risks and opportunities, but hardly in terms of effectively responding to global crises. If every country works on a solution only for its own problems, the most vulnerable countries and groups draw the shortest draw.

We must change that, and ensure that the current response to the crises contributes to a structural change in the way we do business at the multilateral level. First, I would like to focus on the role of the International Monetary Fund as guardian of international financial stability. Subsequently I will speak about the challenges for the World Bank.

Strengthening the role of the IMF as guardian of international financial stability

The Fund can play an important role to improve the international financial architecture. A role that should be complementary to that of other international financial fora, such as the Financial Stability Forum and the Bank of International Settlements. A strengthened financial
stability role of the IMF needs to be reflected in all its tasks, including surveillance, its lending framework and in its Technical Assistance.

Enhancing surveillance

The Fund has a unique position within the international financial architecture. Not only does it have an almost universal membership, it also is in active dialogue with member states through its bilateral surveillance. This dialogue goes further than just offering local authorities feedback on their policies. Indeed, the Fund’s advisory role is firmly anchored in its mandate to survey macrofinancial conditions and policies in member states with the purpose to foster open economic relations and prevent negative spillover effects. This close relationship with so many countries makes the IMF a potentially great source of knowledge on issues of local, regional and global financial stability. In order to live up to this potential, surveillance should further be tailored to assessing risks and vulnerabilities in financial sectors and markets from a macro perspective. Although the Fund has made important strides in this respect, I think there is still room for improvement.

First, the Fund can sharpen its focus on financial sector issues in bilateral surveillance and address them more systematically. Such enhanced focus requires that the IMF has sufficient financial expertise. Moreover, the statement on surveillance priorities is a step toward a more systematic approach in surveillance. A further step could include developing a framework or “checklist” of macro risks and sector specific vulnerabilities that should be assessed. I see this as a means to quickly incorporate lessons learned through the surveillance of countries and through multilateral surveillance. Moreover, a more systematic approach in surveillance can also support the implementation of policy advice of other relevant bodies, such as the FSF, and provide feedback on the adequacy of these policy responses.

But stepping up financial sector surveillance also requires the commitment from member states. The current credit crisis shows how disequilibria within and between large economies can threaten worldwide financial stability. Therefore, all systemically important economies should reach out to the Fund and sign up for an FSAP or an FSAP update.

Second, the Fund’s multilateral surveillance should be aimed at identifying cross-border financial linkages and risks. The current crisis has shown how closely international financial markets and institutions are connected. Even though many of us have pointed to the risks of highly leveraged and complex financial products, none of us had foreseen the severity of the chain reactions that followed, when defaults
on US subprime mortgages started to rise. We should not have the illusion that the next crisis can be predicted by the IMF or any other organisation, but we can strive to develop “early warning systems” that can at least mitigate the impact of shocks. The Fund can enhance our understanding how financial shocks ripple though the financial system and how this system interacts with the real economy.

Third, I think that financial stability considerations should also be at the forefront when the IMF is analyzing the sustainability of a country’s exchange rate. Clearly, the related global imbalances have been—and continue to be—a factor in the current financial turmoil. The 2007 surveillance Decision has been an important step in redefining the Funds exchange rate surveillance and I support a firm implementation of this Decision. However, I think that from a financial stability perspective there is a difference between a potentially overvalued and undervalued exchange rate. History has shown how a sudden downward correction of the exchange rate, sometimes triggered by speculative attacks, can be the cause of a deep rooted economic crisis. Even when discounting for large methodological problems in assessing exchange rates, I think that the IMF should be very careful in its approach toward restoring equilibrium in a country with an overvalued exchange rate, both in policy advice and in communication.

Adapting the Fund lending framework

The Fund is currently reviewing its lending framework. Also in this area there might be room for better compatibility with financial stability challenges. The world-wide credit crisis reflects the vast size of cross-border capital flows and also reminds us of the importance of investor confidence, not only towards individual financial institutions, but also towards specific countries that for example have relatively large financial sectors.

Adapting the Fund’s lending framework to these challenges is not easy. In a world with large capital flows, confidence could be underpinned by the possibility for the Fund to extend large amounts of financial support. This might call for an increase in access limits or the development of an insurance type of instrument. However, Fund support only works if it addresses the root causes of a crisis. This requires adequate conditionality, in order to signal a credible adjustment of economic policies. But any instrument that quickly disburses large financial packages erodes the Fund’s possibility to capture appropriate policy responses. It will be a challenge to find the right balance between conditionality and access to financing. In the regard, I think the IMF should also further exploit its role as financial catalyst.
Technical assistance

This brings me to the third task of the Fund, technical assistance. In the midst of a credit crisis, it is easy to forget the important upsides of international capital flows for economic growth and prosperity. I remain fully convinced of the merits of cross-border financing. Therefore, we should help developing countries in opening-up to outside investment. Together with the World Bank, the IMF can use its technical assistance toolkit to help build institutions that are strong enough to absorb the roars of international capital markets, while benefiting from foreign investment.

World Bank: Playing the anti-cyclical role

The World Bank and other MDBs must now act to mitigate the risks of the current crises, and support the developing countries to grab available opportunities, actually helping them to sustain their growth. I appreciate the initiatives taken by President Zoellick in this regard. Over the last years, the World Bank and other MDBs have created large reserves. These reserves now can and should be used for extra financing and further mobilisation of private money flows to countercycle negative consequences for poor and vulnerable countries. And, even more than money, these countries need knowledge and top-of-the-bill, on-time advice. To deliver that, a bank has to be close to its clients. It’s the local response of the World Bank that will make the difference and enable us to think out of the box.

World Bank: Linking strategy to reform on the ground

We strongly support the six strategic themes chosen as the World Bank’s priorities. Now, we have to act on a translation of these priorities into the day-to-day operations of the Bank. How will the Bank change its procedures to be better able to address the challenges linked to each of the priorities?

Two examples. We definitely subscribe to the view of president Zoellick, that the Bank has an essential role to play in fragile states. His recent speech in Geneva on this topic contains a number of very interesting thoughts on this issue. But how is the Bank going to change its procedures in such a way that it will be able to react faster to the urgent needs of fragile states in a post-conflict situation, such as for example Liberia? Some progress has been made, but especially in the case of fragile states, the Bank needs to be able to act firmly and swiftly in order to help materialize the peace dividend, and we, as donors, should support the Bank in adapting its procedures and make that possible.
Another example lies within the priority area Global Public Goods. The Netherlands welcomes the approval of the new Climate Investment Funds and the Strategic Framework on Climate Change and Development as positive first steps towards a more sustained multilateral response, within the framework of the UNFCCC. Now we need to move from theory to practice and to put both funds and the Strategic Framework into concrete action on the ground. Combating climate change and fostering development require an integrated approach in Country Assistance Strategies, and in their implementation.

World Bank: Enhancing the voice and participation of DTCs in the World Bank Group

As requested by the Development Committee during its recent Spring meeting, an initial reform package on Voice & participation has been prepared by Management, and agreed upon yesterday by the Development Committee. The Netherlands welcomes the decision to add an additional African chair to the Board and to double the basic votes, as a first step. Moreover, I am pleased with our agreement that all shareholders will uphold the principles of a merit-based, open and transparent process for selecting future Presidents of the World Bank. We simply want the best woman, or perhaps even a man for that job, irrespective of her or his passport or political affiliation.

Now, we must keep the momentum and move towards a significant realignment of shares, reflecting the global mandate of the WBG: we don’t need static blocs. Shares and voting weights should therefore be determined by the relative weight of a country’s economy, and also by a shareholder’s use of the institution, be it through IDA donations and trust fund support or through the procurement of the WBG’s financial and advisory services. That is only fair.

Accountability and effectiveness are closely intertwined. I am therefore pleased to see that the document on voice and participation pays sufficient attention to improving effectiveness through strengthening internal governance and accelerating decentralisation.
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STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE BANK FOR NEW ZEALAND

John Whitehead

Developments in the Global Economy and Financial Markets and Implications for New Zealand

The Annual Meetings this year are taking place at a very challenging time for all member countries. The implications for economic activity and global growth from the current financial market turmoil and high commodity prices are serious—addressing these implications will require the concerted focus of our governments, with support from the Fund and the Bank as appropriate.

The New Zealand economy, like a number of others, is currently facing imbalances in the areas of inflation, household debt, house prices, and the current account. As a result of drought, higher consumer prices, and previous monetary tightening, output declined in the first two quarters of 2008 and is expected to have declined marginally in the September quarter.

Like others, the New Zealand economy is not immune to international developments, which we are expecting to be transmitted to New Zealand through the cost and availability of credit, business, and consumer confidence, lower terms of trade, and exchange rates.

There are signs the economy is beginning to turn and growth is forecast to be positive in the final quarter of 2008 thanks to the recovery from the drought and tax cuts, but will remain subdued throughout most of 2009 as negative influences continue to affect the economy.

Maintaining Momentum of Reforms

New Zealand is pleased to see concrete steps in the last few years taken by both the Fund and the Bank, which are aimed at enhancing their effectiveness, legitimacy, and credibility. In particular, New Zealand welcomes the Fund’s quota and voice reform package, which includes a built-in regular review mechanism, the Fund’s efforts to ensure that it will operate on a financially sustainable footing, and its ongoing efforts to reform its internal governance. In terms of the Bank, we have been supportive of its efforts to renew its strategic direction and we look forward to agreement on a comprehensive package on voice and participation reforms in the near future.
Reform is an ongoing and long-term process at both institutions and it is important for all members to ensure that the momentum to continue, firstly for proposed reform on different aspects to be progressed, and secondly for agreed proposals to be implemented.

**World Bank Voice and Participation**

New Zealand fully supported the Bank’s commitment to develop a comprehensive package on voice and participation reforms for consideration by Governors at this meeting.

The eventual package needs to be comprehensive, achieve its aim of increasing voice and participation, be based on an inclusive and transparent process, and take into account the distinct nature of the Bank’s development mandate.

We would like to specifically note that we see comprehensiveness as meaning that the package covers more than just shareholding issues, but includes aspects such as the merit-based appointment of the President, strengthening Board effectiveness and efficiency, and enhancing voice and participation in the Bank’s operational work. New Zealand has supported and continues to support an open and transparent process for the appointment of senior positions at International Financial Institutions, which should be open to candidates from all regions of the world based on merit.

The different components of an eventual package are important in ensuring that all members continue to have a Bank that will be able to deliver effectively on its mandate. We look forward to further discussion on the package in the coming months, with the hope that agreement will be reached on its key elements along the agreed timeline.

**IMF Principles for Sovereign Wealth Funds**

New Zealand is pleased with the consultation, effort and thought that has gone into the development of the *Santiago Principles*.

We believe that the practices and principles covered in the document will help ensure that Sovereign Wealth Funds (SWFs) have the appropriate legal framework, objectives, and coordination with macroeconomic policies; institutional framework and governance structure; and investment and risk management framework.

**IFIs Work on Food and Fuel Prices**

New Zealand appreciates the extensive analytical work that has been undertaken by the Bank and the Fund on the food and fuel price increases. We are supportive the policy options put together to assist
countries facing particular challenges as a result of price inflation. We believe it would be extremely valuable if various regional departments could undertake work more specifically tailored to their particular region, taking the regional and country-based contexts into account.

We also support the financial measures being offered by the Bank and Fund to those countries most affected by the price increases.

**Development Effectiveness**

New Zealand welcomes the Accra Agenda for Action and encourages the Bank to work closely with donor and country partners to implement it. Addressing these aid effectiveness challenges will also require an internal focus on culture, incentives, systems, and practices.

New Zealand acknowledges the encouraging progress in the Bank’s work on development effectiveness, as noted by the 2008 Annual Review of Development Effectiveness (ARDE). The use of impact evaluations and the results management system for IDA are promising developments in corporate-level monitoring and evaluation.

We note that project performance for low-income countries (LICs) continues to show a rising disconnect between the Bank’s optimistic self-ratings of project performance and IEG’s final ratings of development outcomes. It is highly important to achieve the necessary improvements in the Bank performance management system including strengthened results frameworks with robust baseline data, for realistic assessments of development results.

The challenge for the Bank to improve performance management is partly one of changing the incentives and culture of staff towards more accurate reporting. This is common to most development agencies. The persistence of incentives for staff to work on the preparation of projects rather than implementing them is a well-recognized major issue. This supply-driven culture in project preparation tends to stimulate over-optimism in assessing the likely outcome at the start of a project in order to get it approved. As shown by the 2008 ARDE, often only after the project has been completed, are more realistic assessments taken.

**World Bank Engagement in the Pacific**

New Zealand welcomes the increasing World Bank engagement in the Pacific. We anticipate the growing regional presence will facilitate better coordination and cooperation efforts for Pacific governments. In expanding its outreach it is important that the Bank draws fully on the resources available through other donors’ existing relationships with developing member countries, and adds value to these rather than attempting to replicate them. The challenge is to ensure that donors work
collectively with each other and our Pacific partners to improve efficiency, responsiveness, and accountability of economic advice and program delivery.

In this respect, we urge the Bank to expedite further harmonization efforts in the Pacific by finalizing plans for shared country offices with the ADB in Samoa, the Solomon Islands, and Tonga. We welcome confirmation of the decision to co-locate two experts in the IMF’s regional technical assistance facility, PFTAC, in Suva, and look forward to seeing their impact on strengthened efforts to improve SoE management and the follow-up of public financial management surveys across the region.

New Zealand is pleased to be part of the Pacific Regional Infrastructure Facility (PRIF) and we look forward to the upcoming design and prioritization process in phase one. Our interest is particularly in the potential for improved technical assistance, donor coordination, and a greater emphasis on infrastructure maintenance.

**Global Public Goods**

New Zealand stresses the need to identify the Bank’s comparative advantage in global public goods (GPGs), especially given the Bank’s increasing array of global programs, notably in climate change. Going forward it will be necessary for the Bank to strengthen incentives to deliver GPGs at the country level. This could include the provision of clearer organizational arrangements to best select and link responses at country, regional, and global levels; enhance the delivery of knowledge to country teams working on GPGs; and ensure that the perspectives of developing countries are effectively connected with global responses. It will be important to draw on the existing knowledge of all development partners in such situations.

Where GPGs are in conflict with a country’s expressed interest, questions of cost burden and ownership need to be fully considered, while carefully avoiding conditionality- and supply-driven approaches (especially given the substantial increase in GPG trust funds). New Zealand encourages the development of global-level frameworks, which provide incentives to countries including financial mechanisms for incremental costs, as a positive response. We look forward to more concrete recommendations on how to address this challenge, and assurances that this issue will be seriously considered.

**World Bank New Zealand-Pacific Remittance Project**

During the past year a number of New Zealand government agencies, in conjunction with the World Bank, have funded and worked
on the New Zealand-Pacific Remittance Project, aimed at reducing the transactional costs of remittances in the Pacific region. These costs can be anywhere from 15 percent to 25 percent of the value of the remittance and the World Bank has identified that remittances to the Pacific region have tripled over the past decade to reach US$425 million.

As an outcome of this project, the government recently approved a new regulation under the Financial Transactions Reporting Act to encourage the development of a more competitive remittance market, with greater transparency, a wider use of formal channels and better informed consumers. This should result in a significant reduction in the costs of remitting money and represents a very practical way to contribute to the well-being and quality of family life in the Pacific.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE BANK FOR PAKISTAN

_Shaukat Tarin_

It is a matter of great privilege and honor for me to address this august gathering.

We are meeting at perhaps the most tumultuous times the world is witnessing since the establishment of Bretton Woods institutions. For the last more than one year we have seen a series of successive shocks that were unleashed in the wake of sub-prime crisis. Oil and food prices shocks have affected the developing countries most profoundly, and we are still reeling under their aftermath. In the days ahead, the situation is likely to be compounded by the second round effects of the on-going financial crisis.

In the case of Pakistan, we are not merely facing the economic consequences of the global crises but are playing the role of a frontline state against the war on terror. If on the one hand, more than 100,000 of our troops are battling a ferocious militancy, on other, our peoples in the main cities and federal capital are becoming victims of the suicide bombings. The loss of lives and economic cost imposed by this war are now rising to an unbearable level. There is a very negligible portion of these costs that is defrayed by our partners.

We also had to face the challenge of democratic revival as well. Our people gave a resounding verdict in favor of democracy as the principal instrument that would rid the country not only of militancy but of their economic deprivation also. The battle of democracy has been won after
great sacrifices, the most notable is that of our great leader Shaheed Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto, who bravely sacrificed her life but has kindled a light that would show us the way in dark alleys of war on terror. But unfortunately, the elected leaders have inherited an economy that was encumbered by the burden of subsidies accumulated due to unanticipated shocks of oil and food prices.

I take pride in stating that despite the gravity of the challenges, the democratic government has not abandoned country’s resolve to move forward and to do things right both in standing up to the call of fighting the militancy as well as in preserving the market economy that we have evolved during the last two decades. We may be amongst the few countries who have successfully adjusted to the unprecedented increases in petroleum and electricity prices by eliminating nearly all the subsidies. The pain inherent in this adjustment can be gauged by the fact that these prices have nearly doubled or will be doubled at the close of the adjustment. We have also successfully met the challenges of food shortages both through timely imports as well as by aligning domestic agriculture prices with international prices. On the monetary side, we are fighting rising inflation by interest rates adjustment and by containing the monetary growth. We have also set the goal to adhere to a zero net government borrowings target from the central bank.

However, Mr. Chairman, these measures have not been accompanied by the support from our development partners that was needed to fully meet the needs of the economy. Even as the measures have helped contain the rapidly deteriorating macroeconomic framework, the challenges remain on the horizon, and for this reason are equally determined to rise to their needs.

It should be understandable that adjusting to these shocks was a painful process, particularly for the most vulnerable segments of our population. To mitigate their sufferings we are launching a major program of cash transfers to the poorest households in the country. We are conscious of the challenges that are typically faced in targeting this group, and for this reason we will seek Bank’s assistance from its vast experience in this field.

Mr. Chairman, although the multiple crises have been difficult to face, they have given us an opportunity to undertake a soul-searching exercise and identify the vulnerabilities that characterized our economy and society. Accordingly, we are drawing fundamental programs to further restructure our economy and strengthen our institutions of governance so that we are better prepared to face such challenges in the future.

Besides ensuring macroeconomic stability, our new program will be primarily aimed at poverty reduction. For this purpose, apart from cash
transfers to the poorest households, we will provide health insurance, skills development opportunity for at least one member of the family, and a suitable development support that will create temporary employment opportunities for each union council, which is the smallest administrative unit. This program will be supported by initiatives to revitalize agriculture, make the industry competitive, meet the growing energy needs, raise capital and finance for development, remove infrastructure bottlenecks through public-private partnership and reinvigorate the institutions of governance so that a just and fair administrative machinery serves as the anchor for the implementation of a vigorous and ambitious economic program.

Mr. Chairman, we look up to our development partnership, especially the IFIs, to help us lead this program, through both their advisory and financial assistance. We would also recommend that our bilateral partners also play their role within the larger framework that will evolve through active consultation with the IFIs.

In closing, let me acknowledge the effective role both IMF and World Bank are playing in the economic development of Pakistan. We are looking forward to even stronger ties in the coming days so that Pakistan successfully meets the challenges posed by multiple global crises.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE BANK FOR THE PHILIPPINES

Margarito B. Teves

Fellow Governors, this year’s meeting is taking place amidst tumultuous economic events. And our intensified collective and cooperative efforts are necessary to overcome these challenges that threaten to reverse the gains we have achieved in improving the levels of income and social welfare and reducing poverty incidence.

Such stormy horizon imposes a sense of urgency and significance in the gathering of international financial leaders here in Washington. We need a strong and coordinated action to steer and mobilize resources to meaningfully respond to these exceptional challenges. The exchange of views facilitated among distinguished financial managers and experts should enlighten and guide each of us in pursuing effective measures to combat financial and economic contagion.
The IMF is well positioned to forge a multilateral response to arrest the deteriorating atmosphere and restore order and discipline in the global financial system. Likewise, enhancement of the Fund’s financing facilities and easier access thereof are necessary to better assist developing economies insulate themselves against the adverse effects of the financial turmoil. We welcome a comprehensive review of the Fund’s financial instruments including reforming its Exogenous Shocks Facility to cater more effectively to the needs of its developing members under the current volatile environment. A more proactive surveillance of economic developments in developed economies and deeper analysis of policy and regulatory interventions would be most useful in setting appropriate macroeconomic and financial responses.

The external shocks from the global financial crisis and economic slowdown likewise pose daunting challenges to the World Bank. While efforts to preserve its strong capital position and financial standing should be strongly pursued, the Bank needs to design more innovative and quick-response products to sharpen its developmental role for its developing constituents. The pricing reforms and various innovative products earlier introduced by the Bank are significant steps to address the demand for more cost efficient ways of doing business with this institution. Such initiatives serve as incentive for the Bank’s developing members in addressing the growing and more complex financial and economic risks created by the current hostile global conditions.

Although global commodity prices have started to ease, current levels remain historically high. Food supply and price levels, particularly those of rice, continue to be a priority concern for most developing countries given its immediate impact on the poor. Prospects for a benign oil price environment, including those for other critical commodities, still do not appear firm. This uncertainty in the supply and price of basic commodities imposes a new responsibility for the Bank in view of its mandate to reduce poverty. We therefore fully appreciate the endorsement of the World Bank’s Group’s “New Deal on Global Food Policy” and the establishment of the new $1.2 billion rapid financing facility. As a middle-income country, we are pleased to have made an indirect contribution to the plight of the low-income countries through the use of the IBRD surplus in the setting up of a Trust Fund for the poorest and most vulnerable countries as part of the “Global Food Crisis Response Program.” Acknowledging the funding limitation of the Program, strong coordination with other development partners and within the World Bank Group is essential to mobilize greater resources and expand the reach of the Program. We also call on the International Finance Corporation to generate greater and more enthusiasm for private sector engagement in agriculture and infrastructure development to
achieve our multiple development priorities for economic expansion and competitiveness.

We likewise reiterate our strong advocacy for the Bank’s active collaboration and faster harmonization process with other development parties converging towards the adoption of country systems. The broader adoption of country systems would promote country ownership and streamline processing and implementation of foreign-assisted development projects.

The Bank should demonstrate its leadership in adopting good governance principles. Accelerating the Reform in Voice and Participation in the Bank would be a solid manifestation of this commitment. With an agreement reached on the Quota and Voice Reform, we hope that the Bank can show progress soon, in many aspects of the reforms on Voice and Participation, including their timely implementation. We fully subscribe that the developing countries’ need to be given voting strength factoring into the equation such variable as poverty.

Mr. Chairman, the tasks at hand are daunting but not insurmountable. But the episodes of previous crisis point to the important lesson for all of us to work closely together to successfully navigate through this turbulent journey and return to a path of robust growth and significantly reduce poverty.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE BANK FOR THE REPUBLIC OF POLAND

Pawel Samecki

It is a great honor for me to participate in the Annual Meetings of the World Bank and International Monetary Fund. It is extremely important to gather here and I am grateful to the staff of the Bank and the Fund for their efforts in organizing this event.

When we met last year, we reflected on potential risks linked to the turmoil on financial markets. Today we know that most of these risks have turned, unfortunately, into real problems that we need to face. We are observing diminishing confidence to and within the banking sector, massive support actions by central banks and governments, as well as decreasing growth rates across the developed world.
Developing economies have been rather resilient, but they are still faced with the huge challenges of food and oil prices. Inflation has reached double-digit rates in many parts of the world. Tighter credit and liquidity conditions are expected to limit investments. These problems complicate significantly the task of monetary authorities worldwide. They may also slow the pace of growth that has helped in lifting many people out of poverty in the last decade. In brief, we have just entered a global uncertainty, and although the roots of the problems lie in the policies and behavior of financial entities in certain countries, the global institutions should help put things back to order.

The severity of the current financial turmoil showed that there was no clear warning system that could have identified symptoms of forthcoming turbulences and help mitigate negative spillovers. Such a system would require effective regulations for financial sector and cooperation between national financial supervisors. At the same time, the depth of the crisis provoked an intensive debate on how far the national institutions can go with using taxpayer’s money for reforming country financial systems. Nevertheless, the uncertainty surrounding the potential economic consequences calls for rethinking the role of the global institutions. The question arises how challenges linked to the international consequences of internal financial crises should be monitored and analyzed.

There is a crucial role to play for the International Monetary Fund in restoring confidence and proper functioning of the world financial markets. It is important to underline the Fund’s role that is to be based on effective surveillance. This should cover fundamental macroeconomic linkages between the financial sector and the real economy. The IMF’s cooperation with the Financial Stability Forum should result in a strengthened prudential financial regulatory framework, implemented and adhered to across the whole membership. Against this backdrop we consider the Financial Sector Assessment Programs (FSAP) to be one of the key elements in the Fund’s toolbox, which provides the governments with clear guidance on preventing and coping with the crisis. As a sign of the importance of the FSAPs we note that the FSAP-recommended enhancement of the cross-border cooperation between the national financial regulators has been thoroughly tested in the recent days.

The Polish financial system still appears to be highly resilient to the turmoil, with no evidence of contagion effects. Nevertheless, there are risks that the slowdown in the euro area will negatively impact the near term growth prospects of all Central and Eastern European countries.

Unfortunately, the problems of the financial markets have coincided with a global rise in oil and food prices, as well as with the need to counteract global warming. We highly appreciate the World Bank
Group’s work on global public goods, and, in particular, on reconciling development and climate issues. We welcome the country-led, knowledge-based, and results-oriented approach presented in the Bank’s Strategic Framework. We share the opinion that taking steps against climate change should not compromise progress towards achieving the Millennium Development Goals. It should also take into account the specific situation of both developed and developing countries that heavily depend on carbon-intensive energy sector.

Global development issues are broadly related to the global governance. We welcome the process of the reform at the Bretton Woods institutions, with its objective to enhance the voice and representation of developing and transition countries. We also note with satisfaction the ongoing IMF governance reform, as well as the review of the Fund’s lending framework, which aim at reducing overlaps and making financial instruments more coherent.

Thanking once again the staff of both the Bank and the Fund for their work, I believe that these Annual Meetings will conclude in providing guidelines necessary to find appropriate solutions to the economic challenges we currently face.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Aleksei L. Kudrin

Our Annual Meetings are taking place during an unprecedented crisis, which has shaken the very foundations of the modern financial system. The problems in the U.S. financial sector, stemming from the flaws in the regulation of financial institutions, caused a chain reaction around the world. The simultaneous movement of markets in various regions, regardless of the countries’ macroeconomic positions, provides clear evidence of high interconnection of the financial systems and economies all over the world. It is obvious that the crisis can be resolved only through coordinated international efforts. Decisions taken without due consideration of the consequences for other countries could make the situation worse.

The coordinated actions to lower interest rates undertaken by the main central banks on October 8 showed an example of international cooperation, but they were not sufficient to calm the markets. The
situation required a more systemic and comprehensive response. Further steps agreed over the past few days, such as the commitment by the G-7 countries to provide support to the financial systems in accordance with the agreed action plan and the agreement among 15 euro-area countries to act in coordinated manner provide a chance to start restoring confidence in the global financial system.

The recent actions of the Russian authorities were broadly similar to the approach finally adopted by the G-7 and the euro area countries. The Russian Central Bank and the Ministry of Finance have allocated significant funds to maintain the liquidity of the banking system. In addition, the Central Bank has lowered the reserve requirements by a substantial margin. The parliament has significantly raised the level of deposit guarantees provided, introduced partial state guarantees for interbank credits, and allowed the use of public funds for the recapitalization of commercial banks. Because of the difficulties being experienced by Russian banks and corporations with gaining access to external financing, the government has made a decision to provide public credits for refinancing private external liabilities. It should be underlined that the level of foreign exchange reserves exceeds the total amount of Russia’s external liabilities (private and public), which means we can be confident in the country’s financial stability.

One positive aspect for the global economy is the likelihood that the steady growth in domestic demand in major emerging markets will continue. The financial institutions of these countries have not accumulated troubled assets, but their banks and equity markets nevertheless turned out to be vulnerable because of the limited access to external financing and the withdrawal of funds by international investors on a scale reminiscent of the 1997–1998 crisis. This time, however, the emerging markets are better prepared for this sort of developments as a result of their adherence to responsible macroeconomic policies and the accumulation of significant foreign exchange reserves. Furthermore, the relatively low dependence of consumer demand in these countries on credit means that one can expect this consumer demand to be more resilient to volatility in the financial markets.

Despite the fact that the financial crisis is at the center of our attention, we must not neglect the problems of low-income countries, for which the steep rise in fuel and food prices is a more significant factor contributing to the worsening of their economic situation. Many countries that import food and fuel have experienced a serious deterioration in their balance of payments as well as a decline in reserves, while the majority of low-income countries are now facing higher inflation. The rise in import spending threatens the gains in reducing poverty that have been made in recent years. Low-income commodity-
importing countries need help from the international community to ease their adjustment to the new situation. We, therefore, welcome the attention that the Fund is giving to low-income countries, especially those that find themselves in a difficult situation owing to a drastic deterioration of the terms of trade. In particular, the reform of the Exogenous Shocks Facility (ESF), which streamlines the conditions for access to the Fund’s resources for countries that have experienced such shocks, is a timely step. We also welcome the concrete actions taken by the World Bank within the framework of the $1.2 billion Rapid Financing Facility to provide assistance to low-income countries that have been hit by higher fuel and food prices.

One thing is clear to us: No matter how the current financial crisis ends, it is obvious that the world will be a different place afterwards. There needs to be a complete reassessment of the role of financial markets and approaches to their regulation and to international cooperation in this realm. It is already clear now that the ideology of deregulation of the financial sector as a means of increasing its effectiveness reached a dead-end. Approaches to liberalization of capital transactions also need to be re-examined in view of the destabilizing effect that emerging market economies may experience as a result of large-scale capital inflows as well as similarly massive outflows for reasons arising exclusively from external circumstances. We need to figure out whether the principles of targeting inflation without taking asset prices into account were correct, and analyze the reasons for the appearance of excess global liquidity and the occurrence of speculative “bubbles.”

The system of economic and financial regulation currently in place falls short of the one needed for the development of the world economy in the context of globalization. The performance of the world economy is too dependent on the financial and economic policies of a few countries and clearly places many of its participants at a disadvantage. The existing mechanisms for the coordination of financial and economic policies are not working properly. It also seems that the Bretton Woods institutions are reacting more to accomplished facts rather than playing an active coordinating role in strengthening the international financial architecture. And this is in spite of the fact that maintaining global financial stability was at the center of attention of the Bretton Woods institutions following the crises of the 1990s.

In this connection, we support the idea proposed by the World Bank President Robert Zoellick to establish a New Multilateral Network for a New Global Economy, within the framework of which a new steering group could be created. We believe that this approach will allow us to strengthen the stability of the international financial architecture through
the design of effective mechanisms for the coordination of financial and economic policies, and thus to be more successful in addressing other global problems, such as combating adverse climate changes.

We believe that the World Bank and the IMF can and should play an important role in setting up these mechanisms. We also believe that the Bretton Woods institutions, and the IMF in particular, should devote more attention to the oversight of financial and economic policies of large advanced countries, which have demonstrated serious weaknesses. Thought should be given to comprehensive measures aimed at minimizing contagion across countries so as to reduce the high price that emerging market and developing countries are forced to pay for crises that arise through no fault of their own.

We believe that it is not possible to strengthen the role of the Bretton Woods institutions and increase their legitimacy without profound changes in their governance. These changes should lead to a genuine increase in the voice, influence, and participation of emerging market and developing countries in the decision-making process. In this regard, the recent quota and voice reform that was carried out at the IMF was clearly inadequate. These countries should be assigned a larger role within the World Bank and the IMF, both by increasing the number of votes they hold and by expanding their participation in the management and staff, based on fairness and transparency. We should stress that an increase in the voice and representation of individual emerging market and developing countries should not take place at the expense of reducing the votes of other countries in this same group.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR SIERRA LEONE, ON BEHALF OF THE AFRICAN GOVERNORS

David O. Carew

Africa’s economic expansion is expected to continue this year, although the external environment is showing less favorable signs. The global economy has slowed down, oil and food prices have reached record-high levels, and global financial markets are strained. The deterioration of the external environment poses significant challenges causing great concern to many countries in our region.

We are particularly concerned about the severe impact that high global food and oil prices are exerting on our economies and the welfare
of our populations. These have already taken a heavy toll on the hard-
won macroeconomic stability, economic growth, and poverty reduction
gains in our countries. Riots have threatened peace and stability in many
of our countries, which are still grappling with deep scares on the social
fabric.

The current financial turmoil in the advanced economies indicate
that the real economy is decelerating faster than earlier envisaged, with
consumption, labor and housing markets weakening in both the USA and
Europe. The confidence shocks continue to exert intense pressure on
these economies, with money markets and lending standards tightening
further. We have witnessed the crisis evolving from one of liquidity into
that of solvency, in the process, creating a systemic problem of
recapitalizing the financial institutions. While we share the view that
public money should be used when there is a solvency problem, we urge
the Fund to quickly develop a set of systematic principles that should
govern such policy recourse for all countries alike.

Africa has so far been relatively resilient to the current global
financial crisis, but there are serious downside risks. The resilience has
stemmed from, among others, solid macroeconomic fundamentals,
prudent macroeconomic policies, and higher commodity prices.
However, the sharper-than-expected downturn for advanced economies
and its likely spillovers on emerging market economies such as China
and India would have a negative bearing on the growth prospects of
Africa.

We would like, nonetheless, to reaffirm our commitment to prudent
policies and structural reforms, especially those that would help the least
able to cope with these external shocks, while consolidating the gains
from macroeconomic stability. However, given the magnitude of the
 crisis, our countries cannot achieve the desired outcome unless and until
the World Bank and the Fund step up their support to our policy
frameworks, and help us address the short- and long-term impact of
rising food and oil prices.

In this regard, we welcome the IMF’s immediate responses that
include some augmented access to the Poverty Reduction and Growth
 Facility (PRGF) for countries under this program and whose balance-of-
payments has been severely affected by the current shocks. We also
welcome the recent review of the Exogenous Shocks Facility (ESF) and
we urge the Fund to expeditiously implement the facility in order to
enable greater and faster access by countries in need of resources from
this instrument.

In the World Bank Group, we welcome the New Deal on Global
Food Policy, including the Global Food Crisis Response Facility, which
provides $1.2 billion as a rapid response financing, from which several of
our countries have already benefited. We also support the intention of the World Bank Group to boost its overall assistance for global agriculture to $6 billion from $4 billion over the coming year and increase its agriculture lending to Africa from US$450 million to over US$800 million.

Despite these efforts, we would still like to underscore that more financial and technical support is required to meet the needs of our countries in the present environment. In this regard, we urge the World Bank to increase its financing to scale-up Africa’s investment in agriculture, infrastructure, and other related areas, with the view to help reduce transaction cost and improve productivity. The World Bank Group needs to strategically take a comprehensive approach that encompasses the whole value chain of the agriculture sector, and to identify and remove all constraints from farm level all the way to transformation and export.

The main cause of Africa’s low agricultural productivity lies on the limited irrigation and use of productivity-enhancing inputs, including fertilizers, improved seeds, and pesticides. Thus, we call on the Bank and IFC to step up their support in irrigation and land management, and to facilitate access to inputs by farmers at affordable prices.

The World Bank should also assist our countries in building marketing and distribution infrastructure facilities in the context of regional integration, by providing adequate financing and technical assistance in support of our Regional Economic Communities (RECs).

We continue to underscore the critical importance of lowering global tariffs imposed on our agricultural commodities, and eliminating subsidies introduced by the developed countries on their products. The distorting developed countries’ agriculture subsidies and tariffs have left Africa at a comparative disadvantage, and will have to be tackled first if one aims to exploit the continent’s agricultural resources and the related processing and manufacturing activities. The current hikes in food prices provide a suitable environment to make a breakthrough in removing subsidies since the developed countries’ producers are already getting the best returns.

More generally, there is a need to reverse the marginalization of Africa in global trade. To this end, we seek the IMF and the Bank’s advocacy role in unblocking the WTO talks to allow a quick resumption and a successful conclusion of the multilateral trade negotiations on issues under the Doha Round in order to ensure fair and balanced international trade, and urge all members of the WTO to stay on course.

Let me now turn to two important issues that are also of concern to us, related to the ongoing reform agenda at the IMF and the World Bank, namely, voice and representation, and technical assistance.
We are encouraged by the promising prospects of the ongoing dialogue in the World Bank Group to facilitate consensus building by stakeholders on a voice and representation reform package. In particular, we welcome the establishment of a third chair for Africa, and urge its immediate implementation. While acknowledging the doubling of basic votes proposed in the package, we are still calling for tripling of basic votes, or better, restoring their 1944 level. Furthermore, we call on the Bank to facilitate the increase of our countries’ voting power in the IDA Board, moving towards achieving parity between developed and developing countries in the long run.

We would also like to welcome the package adopted by the IMF Board of Governors on April 28, 2008 on quota and voice reform. However, while the agreement is broadly in the right direction, we consider it as an initial step towards enhancing and strengthening voice and representation of the African countries in the IMF Board. More needs to be done to enable a more effective voice and participation of African countries in the decision-making process of the IMF in particular, and the Bretton Woods institutions in general, to restore their legitimacy, including the establishment of a third chair for Africa at the Executive Board of the IMF.

We acknowledge the progress made in enhancing diversity at the managerial level in the Bank, and we urge both the Bank and the IMF to do the same at all staff levels. A merit-based selection of the Heads of the Bretton Woods institutions, regardless of their nationality, also forms an important voice issue that needs to be achieved. Furthermore, the appointment of an African national as Deputy Managing Director of the IMF is long overdue.

Regarding technical assistance, we reaffirm that the technical assistance provided by the Bretton Woods institutions is vital to our countries’ efforts to promote institutional capacity, macroeconomic stability, growth, and poverty reduction. In this regard, we welcome the increasing positive role played by the African Technical Assistance Centers (AFRITACs). However, we are deeply concerned about the potential impact of the current restructuring and refocusing of the IMF’s activities on the delivery of technical assistance. In particular, we caution that any decision to impose fees on technical assistance on countries should take into consideration the fact that IMF’s technical assistance is a public good, and should therefore be financed, as a matter of principle, by the general membership.

Finally, although our countries contribute the least to the carbon emission, they are the hardest hit by the climate change. Nonetheless, we are determined to play our role in the global effort to reduce the GHG emissions. We, therefore, call for availing us with adequate financial
resources, mainly on grant basis, to enable us play our role effectively. We urge all the stakeholders to expeditiously reach a new deal on the post-Kyoto Protocol under the auspices of the United Nations Framework for Climate Change. While we welcome the recent initiative by the World Bank to scale up its climate change activities, we urge the Institution not to compromise its growth and poverty reduction focus.

**STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR SPAIN**

*Pedro Solbes Mira*

My address comes to you at a difficult time for the global economy. It is also a time at which our coordination is of vital importance in order to face the common problems we are experiencing, together.

**International Economic Prospects**

Global economic prospects have deteriorated since the last Annual Meetings, with a global growth projection of 3.9 percent, which is sharply declining. While industrialized countries have been hardest hit by this economic slowdown, the crisis is increasingly spreading to emerging economies as well.

**Financial Crisis**

In light of the developments in recent weeks, the international financial crisis has entered a critical phase, with a sharp decline in confidence, the consequence of which is the virtual paralysis of credit and interbank markets.

As a result of the financial interdependence and the interconnectedness of banks, countries are being affected by the turmoil, hence the need, now more than ever, to coordinate the policies we must implement to avoid a worsening of the impact on the real economy.

Against this backdrop, consideration of how best to tackle the difficult situation without losing sight of the long term is needed. Macroeconomic policies must regain their effectiveness and maintain stability—achieving these objectives entails, first and foremost, the re-establishment of confidence. To this end, a broad-based, comprehensive action plan with the highest level of coordination and participation possible is necessary.
In the short term, therefore, it is critical to avoid an exacerbation of problems related to the credit squeeze, which result in solvency problems that would not otherwise have arisen. It is critical that ordinary financial channels rebound, and the public sector has a key role to play in this difficult task.

**Europe**

The European financial and banking system is reeling from the impact of the crisis and the effects on the real economy will be felt. Under these circumstances, Europe has demonstrated the capacity to take unified and coordinated action. The staging of the first summit of Heads of State and Government of the Euro Zone in Paris yesterday is a demonstration of the unequivocal commitment to act in a coordinated manner when facing systemic problems. The action plan agreed will help restore confidence and stability in markets.

Also, the participation of the European Central Bank in the recent coordinated slashing of interest rates is another example of an effort to provide joint solutions to common problems.

**Spain’s Economy**

Inevitably, Spain’s economy is also being affected by the turmoil discussed. Based on our projections, the decline in activity will last into the coming quarters, with a major adjustment in the housing sector.

Nevertheless, Spain’s economy has structural strengths owing to the economic policies implemented during years of robust economic growth, which gives us reason to believe that Spain will return to its potential growth path in the medium term.

These strengths include substantial capital accumulation in recent years, with significant improvements in infrastructure, human capital, and research and development; a very open economy, with companies capable of competing on international markets; healthy government accounts; and a robust financial system.

Spain’s financial system is solvent, efficient, and profitable, and we believe that it is well placed to weather the global financial crisis. Despite robust credit growth in recent years, lending practices have remained prudent under the strict supervision of the Bank of Spain. Provisions set aside in boom periods have been generous and have been bolstered by a counter-cyclical system of requirements imposed by the regulator.

To avoid liquidity problems, the government approved the establishment of a fund of up to EUR 50 billion to buy healthy assets from financial institutions, in accordance with market criteria. This fund
supplements the measures adopted yesterday by the Eurogroup heads of
government, which were approved today by the government of Spain.
These measures include the possibility of temporarily guaranteeing the
debt of banks for periods longer than six months, which, for 2008, may
amount to EUR 100,000 million, as well as the possibility of providing
capital contributions to financial institutions. While the latter measure is
being adopted in conjunction with the decisions adopted in Paris, it is not
expected to be implemented at this time.

*IMF Reform*

The most important item on the IMF agenda must be the response to
the crisis, which poses challenges of a magnitude not seen in many years.
It is important to review the best short- and long-term economic policy
responses, as well as the lessons to be learned from the financial crisis.
We firmly believe that the IMF is in an ideal position to face these
challenges, without jeopardizing collaboration with the international
institutions in question.

The IMF’s comparative advantage is derived from its supranational
and multilateral character. Specifically, it is the best-placed institution to
identify overall inconsistencies when the sum of individual decisions
may not be advantageous at the collective level.

It is for these reasons that we believe that it is important to give the
IMF a clear mandate, assigning it a key role as the multilateral
organization tasked with supervising and monitoring international
financial stability. Furthermore, the credibility of its supervision must be
burnished, thus enhancing its financial outlook and regional and
multilateral prospects.

Lastly, the Fund must be mindful of its role as financier and adapt it
to the current situation.

*World Bank*

I would now like to discuss the World Bank’s agenda.

In an international context where changes are occurring at a rapid
pace, the World Bank must prioritize its strategic guidelines and seek to
promote coordinated actions among the various World Bank Group
institutions and with other IFIs.

We endorse the voice and representation process in which the
World Bank Group is currently engaged. It is necessary to continue
moving this process forward without delay, thereby enhancing the
representation of the developing and transition countries. However, I also
believe that the World Bank’s capital structure and the representation of
its members must be adapted to reflect their weight in the global
economy and their commitment to development. We therefore welcome the progress already achieved in the reform process, and will continue efforts to reach an agreement as quickly as possible.

Esteemed colleagues, we are confident that joint efforts will enable us to meet these challenges.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR SRI LANKA

Sarath Amunugama

The current economic crisis has many dimensions, including the bursting of the housing bubble, high energy costs and food prices, large macroeconomic imbalances among major players in the world economy, regulatory and supervisory failures, and the weaknesses of our early warning systems. Although the latest financial market turmoil began in advanced financial markets, the contagion of this crisis will not be confined to the boundaries of developed countries. Due to the increased economic interdependency among nations through trade, investment and sophisticated capital markets, it is clear likely that many countries will have to go through a difficult period in the near future.

In that context, we believe that the IMF and the World Bank has an important role to play to safeguard global financial system stability and help maintain a sustainable level of development. At the country level all possible options should be explored to minimize the adverse consequences. In this regard a balanced approach of monetary, fiscal and other policies to help maintain productive investment is required. These policies need to be assisted by sufficient flexibility on the part of the Fund and the Bank to help those countries that may need urgent liquidity support and development assistance at this stage.

We take positive note of the progressive steps taken by the management of the IMF and the World Bank particularly in regard to its reforms and new development financing initiatives. We cannot stress enough the importance of a contingent liquidity support facility for emerging economies. Similarly, we are confident that the issues relating to quota reforms of the World Bank group will be resolved taking into consideration the claims of developing and emerging market countries that are under represented in the current quota structure.
Mr. Chairman, let me now turn to my own country of Sri Lanka. Given the high degree of openness of our economy we are by no means an exception to the pattern of our peers in the developing world. Sri Lanka is a net importer of commodities particularly oil. Our recent gains from commodity exports have been more than absorbed by the sharply increased cost of the oil bill, which showed a threefold increase within a matter of two years. High food and energy costs have been the main cause of high inflation in the country. Thanks to the tight monetary policy measures taken and good harvests, inflation, which reached a peak a few months ago, is now on the decline. A drop in oil prices to a reasonable level would be a great advantage.

Sri Lanka’s financial system has shown strong resilience to adverse global developments. With the implementation of Basel II in 2008, the regulatory framework of banks has been further strengthened.

The comprehensive development programs that has been launched by the government to address the key constraints for more equitable growth has already raised the GDP growth rate to a satisfactory level of around 6.5 percent compared to the historical average of around 4.5 percent in the past. There has been a sharp reduction in unemployment. Our growth momentum is expected to continue and register around 7 percent in 2008, particularly benefiting from improved performance in the agriculture sector. The poverty indicators as well as many other indicators relating to MDGs in Sri Lanka have shown remarkable progress in recent years and we need to sustain these achievements. My country has achieved most of the MDG goals. We are pleased that the World Bank has already committed US$900 million through the new country Assistance Strategy.

I conclude with the wish that through the concerted efforts by all parties, particularly the key players in the world economy, we will be able to recover from this crisis and continue the effort to give a better life for all our people.
International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank. We welcome the states that joined our two institutions during the last year.

At the outset, we would like to express our concern in respect of the current serious conditions of the global economy not experienced since the 1930s. Economic activity is going through a sharp downturn in many developed economies, with some of such economies entering real economic recession. Developing country and emerging economies have started to be impacted by financial turbulence, leading to downturn in growth rates lately. Such downturn resulted from the prolonged problem, increased external financing crunch, and inflationary pressures. Moreover, markets have become careful to distinguish among countries according to external imbalances affecting them. Global economic downturn emanated from financial crisis caused by the real estate market in the United States and the vicious cycle involving the financial sector and the real economy. Consequently, economic policy makers are facing increased difficulty in tradeoff choices between inflation, growth and financial stabilization.

Credit risks are still high despite measures taken by developed economies—including assistance extended by respective governments and steps taken by central banks—to curb difficulties in finance markets. Consequently, we stress the need for swift addressing of current crisis at the international level with close coordination among countries. Comprehensive measures need to be taken to ensure restoration of trust and confidence to the financial sector. A crucial measure to be taken in the short term involves making liquidity available, addressing bad assets, and injecting capital into the financial markets. Supervisory and regulatory systems must be enhanced to ensure financial market stability and obviate recurrence of such crises.

In addition to efforts aimed at addressing this international financial crisis, we call on the world community to increase attention to addressing the current crisis experienced worldwide in the form of soaring commodity prices, particularly food prices, which may lead to increasing the number of poor people by about 100 million persons, leading to enormous financial burdens for low-income countries, particularly in Africa. As we welcome the various efforts taken to tackle the different aspects and root causes of the current crisis, we note initiatives taken by the World Bank in support of such efforts. Yet, we look forward to further effective measures such as increased assistance to World Food Program, helping developing countries to modernize their agricultural sectors and increase production and productivity with a view to achieving desired food security. We also note the role contributed by IMF to support balances of payment and extend additional financial
assistance. Further, we welcome updating streamlining of “External Shock Response Facility” to make it more useful for member countries.

Donor Arab countries have contributed their role in mitigating suffering of the poor and increasing investments in agricultural sector. Special mention should be made of the $500 million grant extended by the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia to the World Food Program as well as the generous aid extended by: Kuwait, Qatar, and the United Arab Emirates. In this context, we call on industrial countries to contribute a vital role to address the current crisis and take the initiative in reducing subsidies for their agricultural products as well as restrictions imposed on their imports of the same. Such subsidies and restrictions are stifling development of agricultural sectors in developing countries. Further, we call for cessation of using agricultural products for biofuel production as this has led to escalation of the crisis and increased food prices.

Turning to the role contributed by IMF to achieve monetary and financial stability worldwide, particularly in light of potential adverse impact on global economy due to current financial crisis, we believe that, to enhance that role, developing and emerging countries should have a clear and obvious voice at IMF. In this respect, we welcome selection of a first chairman from a developing country to head the International Financial And Monetary Committee. Moreover, IMF should enhance its oversight role and apply the same in an equitable manner to policies of all member countries, including developed countries. As far as emerging economies are concerned, we encourage IMF to explore new mechanisms that provide to such economies rapid finance to protect such economies from adverse economic crises. Further we believe that IMF should adopt a budget that will facilitate undertaking such a required role. In this respect, we call for swift adoption and implementation of resolutions related to enhancement of IMF income. We are apprised of a decision to charge fees for technical support IMF extends to member countries. This may lead to alienating developing countries from IMF and denying such countries necessary technical assistance. Accordingly, we stress the need to reverse application of such a decision as soon as possible to prevent any resulting adverse impact.

In view of growing importance of sovereign funds to international financial system, we note the positive role contributed by such funds through providing capital to markets of developed economies during this year’s financial turbulence. These funds target long-term investments and shun speculation and noncommercial objectives. In this context, we stress again the importance of preserving voluntary nature of principles and practices agreed in respect of such funds, without any mandatory control. Respective principles should be subject to laws, obligations and
practices effective in countries to which each such sovereign fund belongs.

The Arab group of states is attentively monitoring increased activity by the World Bank in support of developing country efforts to address consequences of climate change and risks emanating from it. We stress that such increased attention to such issues by the Bank should not have adverse consequences for remaining development priorities and programs of the Bank, particularly efforts related to support for growth and poverty reduction in developing countries. Moreover, we stress the importance of coordination with specialized international organizations in this respect, especially the need for respecting ratified international covenants and conventions.

We appreciate efforts aimed at reaching a consensus that may enhance role of developing countries in decision making as well as outcomes of Development Committee deliberations about proposals in this regard. We look forward to real improvement in developing country voice in the coming Spring Meetings of the World Bank Group. We particularly refer to increase of total developing country voting rights towards parity with those of developed countries, without adverse impact on the voting rights of any other developing country. We also look forward to more diversification in World Bank Group staff, particularly an increase in staff members from developing country group. We would like to applaud the decision to create an additional chair in the World Bank Board of Executive Directors for Sub-Saharan Africa. We also call for a more open mechanism for selection of World Bank Group presidents and IMF Directors.

Turning to developments in our Arab region, we note the fact that most countries in the region experienced continued good growth rates in the past year despite global conditions of instability. Fruitful efforts continued in various areas of reform as well as adoption of sound policies leading to more open trade and obvious progress in areas of governance, accountability, and improved investment climate where some of our countries achieved top rankings. Moreover, increased inflows of financial resources into oil exporting countries led to increased investment outflows to developing countries, enhancing south-south economic relations.

Careful to achieve world oil market stability, Arab oil-producing countries increased investments with a view to increasing oil production and exports. They also continued close cooperation with oil consuming countries. It should be noted that oil price increases did not result from constraints related to the supply-side. They emanated from factors related to: world demand and limited refining capacity due to weak investments in refining capacity, unfair taxes imposed by developed countries on
energy resources, as well as speculation, which have become an outstanding characteristic of oil markets.

Economic growth in Arab countries coincided with accelerated inflation rates emanating from: higher world prices of commodities, local demand increases, and bottlenecks in local production capacity particularly in housing sectors. The challenge facing policies of Arab countries, especially low and middle-income countries, lies in: securing food requirements of their peoples, strengthening of growth and containment of inflation, and retaining proper levels of foreign exchange reserves. Many Arab countries have taken measures, including social assistance, to protect low-income groups from the impact of world price increases.

Varying conditions of our countries exposed many of them to impacts of lower global economy growth and commodity price crisis, particularly food prices, as well as instability of world markets and recurrent droughts. In addition to efforts made by our countries to address such challenges, we commend efforts made by the World Bank Group in our region through increased loans and credits as well as initiative included in World Bank strategy to support economic activity in the Arab World. We particularly commend the initiative presented by Mr. Zoellick. We look forward to a comprehensive plan identifying respective priorities, accelerated implementation of this initiative, and allocating more attention to regional projects that lead to more openness as well as projects aimed at addressing unemployment and expanding private sector development.

We call for increased attention to Arab countries suffering effects of conflicts. We recommend more flexibility in dealing with such countries and the need for combined efforts and cooperation between all organizations and agencies concerned to spread benefits and ensure maximum impact of aid extended. While commending the role contributed by IMF and the World Bank in this respect amid difficult circumstances, we call for continued efforts by the World Bank Group in our region to provide effective support to address sufferings of the Palestinian people under occupation, building their economy and ending the blockade and closures. We call for reconstruction of Iraq, re-engagement with Somalia and eliminating debt burdens of the Sudan, especially since peace agreements have been concluded. Progress and stability in those countries will undoubtedly benefit all in the region and the world at large.

We reiterate our urgent call to IMF and the World Bank Group to recruit appropriate numbers of Arab country nationals at all management levels, particularly higher management levels. It is important that Arab country nationals be accorded appropriate career advancement
opportunities as current recruitment and professional promotion ratios are very low compared to those from other similar regions, especially as many staff members from our region lost their jobs due to restructuring at IMF this year.

Finally, Mr. Chairman, we understand well that we still have a long way to go in our efforts to overcome challenges and achieve more progress because our Arab region is characterized by different circumstances and conditions and faces many of the challenges affecting developing countries. We look forward in this respect to continued close cooperation with IMF and the World Bank Group as well as all our development partners.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND FOR SWITZERLAND

Jean-Pierre Roth

The global economy faces its most difficult period for decades as the turmoil engulfing financial markets and institutions has intensified. The deep crisis of confidence in financial markets represents extraordinary challenges for macroeconomic and regulatory policies. An adequate and internationally coordinated response to these challenges is more important than ever. It should aim at mitigating the short-term impact of the current turmoil, while ensuring that the right lessons are learned for the global financial system to function more smoothly and consistently in the future.

Confronted with this challenge, the immediate response to the financial market turmoil by various public authorities in recent months has been adequate. They did their best to strengthen the financial system by providing liquidity, lowering interest rates and intervening in markets during critical moments. Unprecedented systemic challenges have prompted unprecedented government measures. In the medium and long term, however, crisis containment measures should be accompanied by more forceful regulatory measures. Three measures in particular ought to be mentioned here. Capital requirements—risk-weighted capital requirements—must be increased. The leverage ratio of large international banks must be contained. And finally, liquidity buffers must be reinforced.

Dealing with the crisis has been made more difficult by the absence of coordination of government interventions. A more pronounced
collective effort might prove useful now, or in the future, once this crisis is over. The Fund should be a partner in such a collective effort. Its contribution should build on the close cooperation with other international fora, the Financial Stability Forum (FSF) in particular. The Fund should contribute to this effort by building upon its comparative advantage. The Fund has a global membership. This will allow the Fund to identify more easily global financial risks; to disseminate policy lessons and best practices; and to provide feedback to international standard setters. More fundamentally, the Fund has the unique capacity of being at the crossroads of financial sector surveillance and macroeconomic surveillance. Macrofinancial linkages should become the bread-and-butter of the Fund.

While the outlook for the global economy is worrying, it would be wrong to succumb to pessimism. The same dynamic forces that weigh on the global economy today will eventually allow countries and their financial sectors to recover. This is not the first and will not be the last difficult period of economic disruption with global repercussions. We are firmly of the view that we must not underestimate, and should be confident in, the fundamental strength of market forces to improve economic welfare.

In the months to come, the Fund and the World Bank must jointly and in line with their respective mandate undertake a deeper assessment of the impact of the crisis on growth and poverty at a country level, both from a short and medium-term perspective. They should provide vulnerable countries with policy advice and capacity building, as well as quick and flexible financial assistance where necessary, to mitigate the negative impact on macroeconomic stability, growth and poverty reduction.

The Annual Meetings provide a welcome opportunity to briefly take stock of the work done by the Fund and the World Bank. At the Fund, good progress has been made in assessing its role and the adequacy of its instruments in all main areas of its activity: surveillance, lending, and technical assistance and training. However, much remains to be done.

The Fund’s Policy Agenda

Surveillance remains the most important pillar of Fund activity. The just completed triennial review of surveillance has confirmed that Article IV consultations—and the analysis and advice they offer—are highly valued by country authorities and market participants. These consultations should be further adapted to the global challenges and enhance their focus on core areas. And one of these core areas is the
linkages between the financial sector and the real economy. It is in this area that the Fund, as mentioned above, adds particular value.

The surveillance framework has been reinforced by the adoption of the 2007 Surveillance Decision. The Decision has given more prominent focus to external stability. This is welcome, as are the newly agreed procedures, which clarify how this Decision will be implemented in cases where further fact-finding and dialogue is needed. A key but not the only element of the focus on external stability is the focus on exchange rate issues. In this regard, two aspects are worth mentioning. First, more effort should be devoted to assessing exchange rate regimes rather than to exchange rate levels; fact is that it is notoriously more difficult to assess exchange rate levels than regimes. Second, exchange rate assessment should be better integrated with the overall assessment of macroeconomic and external stability.

Fund lending is likely to resume because of the current financial difficulties that have started to spread to emerging markets. The current lending instruments together with the activation of the emergency procedure put the Fund in a good position to respond to today’s challenges. This being said, we remain ready to discuss new lending instruments.

As regards technical assistance, there has undoubtedly been progress in establishing an accurate and transparent costing that reflects international best practice. The new policy for country contributions, although limited in scope, will enhance ownership by recipients and encourage careful use of limited resources.

Finally, the work on a new sustainable income model has taken a big step forward. Nonetheless, not all the uncertainties have been resolved so far. The Resolution allowing the broadening of the Fund’s investment authority has yet to be ratified by all members. And members ought to formally consent to gold sales as soon as possible.

Commodities Crisis

The World Bank and the Fund have an important role to play in helping countries to respond to the high and food and fuel prices. The Fund is appropriately addressing the macroeconomic implications, including through balance of payments support. The World Bank Group, with its strong presence at country levels worldwide, is very well positioned to address the short and long-term components of the crisis. Since April both institutions have demonstrated through the Global Food Crisis Response Program their ability to tailor appropriate policy responses to the needs of developing countries affected by the food price crisis. As many partner countries are also affected by the impact of fuel
prices, additional interventions are necessary and useful such as the Energy for the Poor Initiative. Given the extent of the challenges ahead, both institutions must remain vigilant. Close coordination with the United Nations will be paramount. Possible trade-offs between emergency needs and long-term sustainability must be assessed.

At the same time, the prospects for higher and more stable food prices also represent an opportunity for further poverty reduction in rural areas, and incentives for higher production. Small farmers should also be able to benefit from higher market prices. The Bank has an important role to play in tapping these opportunities. I welcome the planned increase in resource allocation to the agriculture sector, as part of the strategic directions of the World Bank Group.

Voice and Participation

Enhancing the voice, participation, and representation of developing and transition countries (DTC) in the World Bank Group is essential to better reflect today’s realities. Switzerland is ready to join a consensus on the adoption of the proposed first stage of concrete options, provided that we do not re-consider this outcome later on. In addition, the sequencing and deadlines for the work program of the second stage relating to completion of the review of IBRD shareholding must be further clarified. We strongly believe that the actual outcome of the IMF quotas negotiation must remain the starting point of such a review.

We look forward to periodic reviews of the progress and concrete proposals for realignment of Bank shareholding as part of a comprehensive reform package.

Strategic Framework for the World Bank Group on Development and Climate Change

The poorest countries will suffer most from global warming; we welcome the integration by the World Bank Group of the climate change dimension in its core mandate of development and poverty reduction.

We agree with the guiding principles of the Strategic Framework, which acknowledge the primacy of the UNFCCC process and ensure consistency with the recommendations of the Bali Action Plan. Other key principles, such as focusing on World Bank group comparative advantages, partnering with other multilateral and bilateral actors, and mobilizing the private sector, are also important.

We welcome the multi-sector dimension of the Strategic Framework; we thus expect sectors such as agriculture, land use, rural development, as well as water management and use to become more prominent in the Bank Group’s operations. We encourage in particular
the Bank to pay more attention to regional water and energy issues, especially in Central Asia.

In view of the huge financing needs for mitigating and adapting to climate change, we support the Bank Group efforts to mobilize and leverage resources from the public and private sector. Creating sound regulatory frameworks and structuring innovative instruments to crowd in private sector investments is critical; we are convinced that the Bank Group has a key role to play in this respect.

The new Climate Investment Funds are a significant channel to scale up resources. We commend the Bank for its instrumental role in setting up the CIFs. Switzerland is participating in the setting up of the Strategic Climate Fund and is looks forward to being part of the ‘Scaling-up Renewable Energy Program’ in low-income countries. In addition, we strongly encourage the World Bank group to increase its engagement in renewable energies.

**Strategic directions of the World Bank**

At a time of increasing global uncertainties and great challenges, we believe that a renewed discussion on the Bank’s priorities is paramount, with a view to reaching consensus on a concrete, concise, and results-oriented strategic framework. The foreseen discussion on the medium-to-long-term IBRD capital use provides a renewed opportunity for close interaction between management and the board to advance this agenda.

**STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE BANK FOR THAILAND**

*Suchart Thada-Thamrongvech*

I am honored to have an opportunity to address at this 2008 World Bank/IMF Board of Governors’ Annual Meeting today. On behalf of the Thai delegation, I would like to express my appreciation to the World Bank Group and International Monetary Fund (IMF) for hosting this meeting.

This year’s meetings take place at a challenging time for the world economy, particularly amid the financial turmoil in the US and Europe. Thailand and Asian countries had experienced similar and severe pain in 1997 crisis. We fully understand and realize the hardship and severity as
well as consequences of the financial meltdown. We would like to give our full support and encouragement towards the great efforts already made by the US administration on the bailout packages, which hopefully will keep such a financial upheaval at bay in the near future.

We are now faced with increasing financial volatility and every economy will unavoidably be affected more or less. Global financial volatility is likely to have crucial impacts on our growth, economic stability, and development for years to come. So, there must be cohesive and cooperative visible hands that assist and play pivotal role in preventing or lessening the detrimental effect of financial volatility on growth, stability, and economic development. The IMF and the World Bank should actively take part in this endeavor.

As when the volatility spreads, it will hit the developing countries harder with a longer period of suffering. We would like to urge that more assistances and relaxation in terms of funds and trade should be given to those smaller economies. More importantly, the developed countries should make every effort to resolve this crisis rapidly and introduce preventive measures to avoid stagnation on trade and development of the developing world.

To tackle the imminent effect of current outbreak, Thailand at the outset has been in full alert and abruptly laid down precautious and proactive measures through extensively engaging ourselves in regional financial cooperation with ASEAN and ASEAN plus 3. These, for example, include an acceleration of economic integration in ASEAN plus 3 region to cushion the impacts of the financial turmoil. Specifically, measures include CMI Multilateralisation process, which will help ASEAN, plus 3 member countries address short-term liquidity problem or the balance of payments difficulties. A study on bond financing for infrastructure projects under the Asian Bond Markets Initiative (ABMI) and Credit Guarantee and Investment Mechanism (CGIM) in the form of a trust fund are also in the pipeline.

I would like to reiterate on the objective of the World Bank, which is to create “a world free of poverty.” Today, the objective is still going strong with the World Bank bolstering the reduction of poverty as an overarching goal. Within this effort, I praise the World Bank in their strives to alleviate poverty in developing countries with sustainable economic growth by encouraging the poor to take part in development.

Thailand also considers poverty eradication a serious and utmost urgent target to be achieved. This evidence on eradicating poverty has been placed amongst the top priority missions as mirrored in the government’s national agenda.

I cannot deny that the problem of poverty in Thailand had long been accumulating with the real causes deeply rooted. Past attempts to fight
poverty had mostly addressed the symptoms and not the real causes. Approaches tended to be piecemeal and unfocused rather than comprehensive and sustainable. Thailand believes that in order to reduce poverty, we must bestow opportunity upon the poor and less fortunate group of people.

Since Thailand has declared war on poverty, many programs to help the poor have been implemented. These are targeted towards People’s Opportunity policy. These include debt suspension program, People’s Budget Project or SML, the establishment of the “Village Fund” for villages and urban communities, and the “People’s Bank” to give the poor better access to formal financial services and funding. We have also aimed at creating jobs and enhancing productivity in the communities through the establishment of the “One Village, One Product” Project.

We are confident that we are on the right track of combating poverty and hope to alleviate and eliminate the poor distress permanently.

Let me now turn to matters relating to the World Bank Group.

Thailand and the World Bank have been in a development partnership for many years now. As time evolved, the relationships between Thailand and the Bank Group have also progressed from primarily a borrower-lender relationship toward a knowledge sharing development partnership.

We are pleased to note that this year the World Bank has just completed “Thailand Country Partnership Strategy Completion Report” which represents an assessment of the World Bank’s 5 years contributions in Thailand under the current Country Partnership Strategy (CPS) endorsed by the Board of Executive Directors in December 2002.

Under the CPS, the World Bank has provided support and implementation of the overall reform program through technical support, capacity building and specific project interventions that align with Thailand’s national development agenda built around 5 pillars; these include Human and Social Capital, Competitiveness, Poverty and Inequality, Natural Resources and the Environment and Public Reform and Governance.

On this regard, we would like to thank the World Bank for your continuous and constructive efforts made throughout this project. We look forward to working closely in partnership with the Bank again to implement the next level of the CPS in the years to come as this partnership showed to be a successful and significant step to effectively contribute towards poverty alleviation and economic growth in Thailand.

The World Bank this year has released the 2009 Doing Business report. From the outcome, Thailand has moved six places up the ranking from 19th position to 13th. This higher rank in the Doing Business report
was largely due to the recent reforms in registering properties, protecting investors, trade across border through the adoption of e-customs, paying taxes through e-revenues as well as the improvement of our business climate by easing the cost and days of doing business in the country.

We would like to praise the Doing Business team for their consistent efforts and hard work throughout. It is no doubt that the Doing Business indicators have proved to be very useful source of input to policies makers, business owners, as well as foreign and Thai investors that intend to do business in Thailand. Policy makers especially can use these indicators to improve their business environment and making every country becoming more competitive. I therefore, would like to complement this excellent initiative.

This year the donor community has marked yet another astonishment. The funding contributed for the 15th replenishment of the International Development Association (IDA 15) has been marked as one of the largest donor funding since. It is recorded that IDA 15 represents a 43 percent increase from IDA 14, complemented by US$16.5 billion in internal financing from the World Bank Group and prior donor pledges for financing debt forgiveness.

On our part, Thailand being one of the subscribing countries has long been supportive and engaging in the funding of IDA, which aims at helping the world’s poorest countries. We would like to thank the donor countries for the funding contributed for the IDA 15. This demonstrated that the donor community is fully committed to helping countries overcome poverty and achieve sustainable growth, especially in the world’s poorest countries like Africa.

We hope to see that the fund will be accessible to all, especially those countries that are the poorest, post-conflict countries or weakly performed countries. Therefore, we urge the Bank and the donors to take this into account when reviewing the performance assessment. Criteria such as vulnerabilities and other factors should not be ignored.

Thailand has been an active participant and a major provider of financial and technical assistance to neighboring Greater Mekong Sub-region (GMS) countries through our Neighboring Countries Economic Development Cooperation Agency (NEDA) for the implementation of priority sub-regional projects. Scopes of activities, inter alia, trade facilitation, capacity building, and infrastructure financing. Currently, the assistance provided for these countries are still limited. Therefore, we urge the World Bank to consider assisting these countries in term of more financial support.

We welcome the progress on the Bank’s reform to enhance voice and participation of developing and transition countries (DTCs) in the World Bank Group. In line with the spirit of the 2002 Monetary
Consensus, we believe that increasing voice and participation of DTCs is central to the Bank’s reform. As such, we support the main objectives and the guiding principles set forth in the Bank’s reform, to enhance the participation of all developing and transition countries in the Bank’s governance; and to further enhance the legitimacy, credibility, and effectiveness of the World Bank Group.

Finally, we would like to stress to the World Bank and the IMF that diversity of staff will reflect the universality of its membership thereby enabling it to offer wide-ranging advice to countries from the perspective of staff with diverse background and experiences.

In this connection, I would like to touch upon the issues of the IMF. We note the progress, welcome the staff’s commitment to the reform of the IMF, and look forward to ongoing improvements in governance, as well as modernization of its operational structures to ensure this global financial organization is well equipped to face current and future challenges.

We welcome progress in the development of new income-expenditure framework to securely place IMF’s finances on a more sustainable footing. We also note the progress achieved in strengthening the surveillance role of the IMF to help prevent crises and promote domestic and international financial stability. We must, however, reiterate the importance and call for firm and even-handed implementation of the surveillance framework, particularly, in view of the ongoing financial turmoil.

We welcome the broad agreement to have IMF’s lending mandate revamped and adapted in order to better reflect the realities of today’s global financial system, and to address the imperative needs of low-income countries. We look forward to the upcoming review of conditionality associated with IMF facilities, as well as to the overdue proposals for new liquidity instruments, to ensure that the lending apparatus is up-to-date and relevant to all members.

In light of the developments in the world economy, realignments of quota shares with members’ relative economic positions remain on the IMF’s reform agenda going forward. To this end, we welcome the agreement on the quota and voice reform package to recognize greater global weight of emerging economies, and urge for continuing consideration and work on this dynamic reform.

In conclusion, we hope to see in the near future that the role of the World Bank will be transformed to meet with the global challenges and urgent problems such as Food and Energy Crises and Climate Change etc. These risk factors will undeniably become the biggest threats to poverty eradication and development.
It is an honor and pleasure to address you all today. The rising energy cost, the growing demand for oil from industrialized countries and emerging economies, rising food prices, scarcity of land for agriculture and of water in many regions, climate change, are only some of the indicators of the serious challenges we have faced in 2008. Add to that the recent near meltdown in the global financial markets and 2008 may well be remembered as the year markets failed.

However, it has not been all bad news. The developing world continues to grow, albeit at a slower pace than before and therein may lie part of the solution to the current global crisis. When we in the developing world face these types of crisis, which we do on a more regular basis than our colleagues in more economically advanced nations—then we are repeatedly told to increase our openness to the global economy, to trust in the market but to regulate them well. To be honest this approach has worked. The economies of Asia, the Pacific, and Africa are still projected to grow and may well be part of the salvation of the current economic woes.

However, for that to happen, we must make sure that in the effort to correct the market failures that have led to some of today’s challenges the world does not overreact and nations become more insular and protective rather than open and competitive. Improving the flow of capital, labor and financial resources from the developing world where economies are growing to the developed where they may stagnate will be an important part of the recovery process. That is not to say that targeted intervention cannot help.

Much like in the recent crisis where many Governments have seen the need for a large injection of liquidity into the financial system, many of us in the developing world have also had experiences (with equally mixed results) of trying to correct rapidly failing markets in a politically and economically charged atmosphere. Indeed, we may be able to share a lesson or two with some of our more developed partners in terms of how to intervene in markets. In Timor, we passed our own Economic Stabilization Act—but this was not in reaction to the recent financial crisis but the earlier rise in the price of basic commodities.

Through targeted but limited interventions, this year has seen some positive developments, mainly in the return of the internally displaced
peoples (IDPs) from the 2006 crisis; 25 out of 54 IDP camps have been closed. Cash transfers were made to vulnerable groups, including the elderly. Clear targets were set for improving basic health and education through the 2008 National Priority Program.

Responding to their genuine solidarity as a member of the international community, Timor-Leste (a least developed country) has also started to help other countries overcome hardships. Apart from donating emergency relief to victims of Tsunami in Indonesia, Timor-Leste will contribute $1 million in support of the work of UNICEF globally, in 2009. In per capita ratio, this amount would exceed the assistance that has been provided by many developed countries.

We do this not out of a sense of pride but out of duty and a feeling of shared understanding and responsibility. In Timor we realize we are fortunate that we are somewhat insulated from the winds of market failures currently blowing around the world. This is because we have revenue from natural resources that have so far shielded us. But we know that as a global partner what affects our partners ultimately affects us and therefore we too, even though we may be a small nation, have our role to play.

Ultimately, global crisis like the current financial crisis will have to be dealt with by re-look at global institutions like the IMF and World Bank to better prepare them for the challenges of the 21st century. 2008 has shown us that market failure and inability to regulate are not only problems for the developing world—but issues that deeply affect us all. Therefore, it is up to all of us in this room to look both collectively and individually at what can be done to create a more prosperous world for all its citizens.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND AND THE BANK FOR TONGA

‘Otenifi Afu’alo Matoto

It is an honor to address the Boards of Governors of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank Group on behalf of the government of the Kingdom of Tonga.

I would like to congratulate and welcome Mr. Dominique Strauss-Kahn on his first Annual Meetings in his capacity as the Managing Director of the International Monetary Fund.
I acknowledge the work the IMF and the Bank are doing to help restore global macroeconomic and financial stability while bolstering growth and reducing poverty. It is at times of crisis many member countries look to these institutions for guidance and assistance.

Since the last Annual Meetings, the global economy continues to slow down markedly and the global economic prospects have split along two contrasting lines. The golden weather of economic growth that major industrialized countries enjoyed for several years has faded. The United States, the Euro zone, and Japan are now experiencing major economic slowdowns because of the deepening financial crisis. By contrast, the emerging and developing economies are expected to remain resilient with growth slowing slightly to around 7 percent in 2008 and 6 percent in 2009. With forecasters predicting that the slowdown of global economic growth will continue through 2009, Tonga joins other member countries in echoing its concern over the impact of the current financial crisis. In this current situation, Tonga shares the Fund’s view for strong and coordinated policies to mitigate the spilling effects of the global crisis on the rest of the world.

While addressing the challenges of the global financial turmoil and economic stagnation, it is imperative that other short and long-term issues also receive attention. Global fuel and food prices have escalated sharply causing high inflation in many member countries. These price movements send important economic signals and we encourage continuing efforts by both the Fund and the Bank to soften the effects of these price movements on the most vulnerable member countries.

The determination by the Fund and the Bank not to lose sight of the long-term objectives because of today’s crisis is a move in the right direction. Given time, financial markets will recover and food and fuel prices will stabilize albeit at higher levels. In that respect, I acknowledge the efforts from the Fund and the Bank to restore confidence and reduce the human cost of these adjustment processes.

Aid is another area where some coordination is occurring but much more action is needed. Effective aid occurs where needs are properly identified, and donors work together to complement each other in meeting the needs. Tonga notes the increasing coordination of aid activities between the Bank, Fund, and other aid agencies in their operation programs. We support the Fund’s proposed reforms to the Exogenous Shocks Facility, which will provide more rapid and effective assistance to low income countries in the event of shocks.

The reforms undertaken by the Fund and the Bank are timely in order to respond more effectively to the global challenges now and in the future. The move to enhance the voice and participation of developing
countries will help improve the alignment of aid efforts and national needs. We therefore strongly agree with these initiatives.

**Domestic Economic Developments**

Tonga’s economy has shown resilience in the face of internal and external shocks. Preliminary projections indicated that economic growth is expected to expand by 2.6 percent in 2008/09 from 1.2 percent in 2007/08, with construction, transport, and services providing the impetus. A number of tourism and agriculture initiatives are also in the pipeline. These initiatives allow Tonga to tap its unused economic potential and also bring some much-needed diversity into the Tongan economy. This will help address the problem of a narrow economic base and reliance on a few key exports that has been a constraint in expanding the Tongan economy. This economic growth is underpinned by strong remittances, increasing foreign aid and recovery in private investments. These favorable economic developments have allowed the government to maintain a balanced budget for 2008/09 financial year.

Furthermore, the government of Tonga continues to pursue its economic and public sector reforms to stimulate growth and promote private sector development. Major reforms include moving the taxation system from being heavily based on international trade towards an efficient low rate broad based taxation system. Public enterprises are being rationalized to improve financial performance and some are being privatized. Work is also continuing to ease and reduce the cost of doing business with good success. Having said that, I would like to acknowledge the ongoing technical and financial assistance from the Bank, the Fund and their agencies in supporting us in these reforms. Social and political reforms are ongoing and a fully democratic government is expected to be in place in 2010.

Tonga’s relative high social development requires higher economic performance in order to achieve the Millennium Development Goals and sustain it at that level going forward. There is confidence that Tonga will be on target in areas such as gender equality, health, and education. Continued collaboration with external agencies in achieving the remaining goals is vital.

Notwithstanding the achievements to date, Tonga, like other small island states, is affected by the same economic tides that shift the major players. The economy is facing challenges from global surge in food and fuel prices, posing risks to the external account balance and escalating inflation, and vulnerability to climate change and natural disasters such as cyclones and earthquakes. These challenges could easily undermine
Tonga’s economic growth. The current global financial turbulence raises the risk of a decline in remittances.

The Tongan Government is committed to maintain prudent fiscal and monetary policies to preserve macroeconomic stability and accelerate economic growth. The Government has given priority to climate change, which needs adaptation and mitigation measures with support from donor communities. Work is currently being done to explore alternative sources of energy, in addition to more efficient utilization of fuel. The financial and technical assistance towards this initiative from the Bank Global Facility for Climate Change will greatly assist.

Tonga is doing everything it can to manage these challenges. However, some of these are beyond our control. In this context, Tonga will continue to rely on the Fund, the Bank and other donors to assist the economy in addressing these challenges.

To improve aid effectiveness and coordination, Tonga has signed a joint Declaration of Aid Effectiveness with its development partners. To guide development, the government of Tonga has also produced a Strategic Development Priorities framework. This framework is being reviewed for the next three years commencing July 2009. The Strategic Development Plan and Declaration of Aid Effectiveness are the first steps, however, much remains to be done to effectively align and integrate aid within Tonga. This ultimately will bring all donors into the Declaration of Aid Effectiveness.

The Government of Tonga is pleased with the decision by the Bank to establish an office in Tonga, which will strengthen our relationship and enable better coordination with other donor partners. The Tongan Government is always grateful to the Fund for the continued cooperation on the Article IV Consultations and other technical assistance received.

In conclusion, Tonga strongly supports the efforts by the Fund and the Bank to address the challenges before us and their efforts to reform their institutions so they can respond quickly and appropriately to the needs of member countries. I look forward to a continued close working relationship with both institutions. I wish the Bank and the Fund continued success in dealing with existing and emerging challenges going forward.
STATEMENTS BY GOVERNORS

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND FOR TURKEY

Mehmet Şimşek

This year’s annual meetings are taking place against a backdrop of dramatic changes in the global financial system. Devastating financial shocks continue to hit global markets. While the epicenter of the financial crisis has been the U.S., the problems quickly spilled over to Europe and elsewhere. Shaken by these financial shocks, the world economy is entering a difficult phase.

Emerging and developing economies, which have been the engine of economic growth, are facing economic slowdown mainly through trade and credit channels. While inflationary pressures are likely to ease, the risk of a significant slowdown in capital inflows appear high.

To deal with the fallout of the ongoing global crisis, it is clear that a coordinated response is required. We must devise a global fire-fighting strategy as well as ways of dealing with the impact of the crisis. We believe that the Fund is uniquely placed in this respect to coordinate a global response. Hence, we expect the IMF to play a more active role in coordinating the policy response.

In this rather gloomy background, I am pleased to tell you that the Turkish economy has so far been quite resilient to the global shock. Real GDP growth, for instance, was 4.2 percent in the first half of this year. This is lower than an average annual real GDP growth of 6.7 percent in the previous six years. For 2008, the growth is expected to settle around 4 percent. In the short-term, growth is likely to slow further. However, we remain positive on medium-long term growth outlook. Turkey’s demographics are favorable and we are implementing reforms that would boost productivity.

Thanks to softening commodity prices and weakening domestic demand, inflation is likely to fall to 7.5 percent next year.

Turkey’s current account deficit, which is likely to have reached 6.5 percent of GDP in 2008, looks set to narrow significantly in 2009. The deficit is partially financed by strong FDI inflows with nonbank corporate sector medium-long term borrowing providing a big chunk of financing. While the global backdrop has deteriorated in recent months, Turkey’s strong medium-long term growth prospects should continue to help attract the necessary funding. In addition, central bank has about 80 billion US$ reserves.

Fiscal discipline remains the cornerstone of our economic program. Thanks to sustained (and sizeable fiscal adjustment) over the past six
years, Turkey has lowered the public debt to GDP ratio to around 37 percent. We are committed to maintaining the fiscal discipline and keep the public debt to GDP ratio on a downward path. To enhance the credibility of our medium-term fiscal framework, we are planning to introduce a formal fiscal rule over the next few months.

Despite a relatively less favorable domestic and global backdrop, we have continued to implement structural reforms. We have adopted and implemented a number of critical reforms including a landmark social security reform, a labor market reform, and energy market reform. We have also introduced generous incentives to R&D to help Turkey move up the value chain.

Finally, Turkey’s banking sector is sound and strong. It is well capitalized, with a capital adequacy ratio of around 17.5 percent as of June 2008. The sector has strong asset quality. Banking sector’s net NPL ratio was a mere 0.6 percent as of end 1H08. The sector has been profitable with an ROE of 24.7 percent in 2007. The system also has no meaningful currency mismatch.

To sum up, Turkey’s macroeconomic fundamentals are strong. While this does not make us immune to the on-going global shock, it should make us a lot more resilient.

Let me now turn to matters relating to the Fund’s and the Bank agenda.

We commend the management for timely launching of a review of the Fund lending instruments, access policy and charges and maturities framework. We support removal of the redundant facilities from the toolkit. There is also a case for making existing instruments more flexible to address different needs of all membership. We closely follow the discussion on creation of a new liquidity instrument and would like to thank for different proposals. We should also substantially increase the access limits for the Fund financing. The Fund’s charges and maturity framework also needs to be streamlined, in particular, the application of surcharges. Hence, we strongly expect the upcoming Fund work to address these issues.

On the World Bank side, we welcome the consensus reached by the Executive Directors on the Voice Reform. The agreement represents an important step in enhancing the voice and participation of developing and transition countries in the World Bank. This first step, however, should continue with a more ambitious second step, which should include, among others, a meaningful realignment of IBRD shareholding to reflect countries’ relative position in the world economy.
I welcome all of you to Washington.

In the last few days, we have witnessed some of the most extraordinary events in our careers as finance officials. The strains and stresses in global financial markets have deepened, posing a great challenge to the global economic and financial system.

Yet, these very stresses underscore the reality of why we come together annually. Since last Friday, Washington has been the staging ground for meetings of the G-7 and G-20 Finance Ministers, the International Monetary and Financial Committee and the World Bank Development Committee. The Financial Stability Forum and the IMF hosted a high-level meeting on global financial turmoil.

We have all united with determination and with the singular focus of overcoming the world’s financial turmoil. We have exchanged views, shared experiences and set out an action plan. We have done so because we know that the problems we face are global, because the world economy and financial markets are more inter-connected than ever before, because we value multilateralism, and because the answer to overcoming challenges is international partnership, cooperation, and collaboration.

We must all act decisively, individually and collectively, according to our needs, to secure stability and growth for the world economic and financial order. None of us can afford to go it alone and each of us has our part to play. And we must remember that notwithstanding the temptation to resort to isolationism in the face of the current turmoil, we all benefit from free, open, competitive and soundly regulated financial markets.

The housing correction in the United States and the lack of confidence in mortgage assets has undermined investor confidence at home and abroad. It is profoundly affecting American families and businesses.

We have tackled this challenge vigorously and head-on.

We have acted boldly to provide liquidity to markets. The United States has created a number of innovative funding facilities to maintain the functioning of inter-bank markets, guarantee money market mutual funds, and we have extended dollar swap lines throughout the world.
We have worked vigilantly to strengthen our financial institutions. A number of significant institutional problems were addressed on a case-by-case basis over the last six months. This approach, while necessary, was not sufficient.

Thus, we adopted a systemic approach on a significant scale, to get at the underlying causes of the turmoil. With our new authority under the Emergency Economic Stability Act, the Treasury is empowered to use up to $700 billion to purchase capital in financial institutions, to purchase or insure mortgage assets, and to purchase any other troubled assets that the Treasury and the Federal Reserve deem necessary to promote financial market stability.

We have also taken steps to ensure the integrity of our financial markets and penalize frauds and market manipulation. And we have also protected our retail savers by temporarily increasing deposit insurance, and thus buttressing stability.

Every step of the way, we have worked in lockstep with the international community. Last Wednesday many of the world’s major central banks cut official interest rates in unison with the Fed. Our colleagues in Europe have nationalized or rescued specific financial institutions to restore confidence. They joined us in combating market abuse and they too have worked to create a more unified deposit insurance system.

Let me assure all of you that the United States will remain vigilant and committed to working with our global partners to take further action as needed to restore confidence in our markets.

That is why Treasury welcomes the initiatives taken by European nations as part of the G-7 action plan to strengthen their financial system and address funding issues for their financial institutions.

We in the United States are also moving forward on actions under the G-7 Action Plan announced Friday to improve availability of funding for our banks.

One area where we will continue our strong work with the international community is to build a new regulatory framework for the future to limit the chances that yesterday’s mistakes will be repeated tomorrow. The President’s Working Group on Financial Markets has taken important steps to improve market transparency and disclosure, risk awareness and management, capital and regulatory policies, practices regarding the use of credit ratings and market infrastructure for over-the-counter derivatives products. Our work has been undertaken in conjunction with the Financial Stability Forum and the IMF from day one.

The path ahead of us remains arduous. The current difficulties will not end tomorrow and even as confidence is restored, recovery will be
But as a student of financial history, I have little doubt that the vigorous actions we are taking and our partnership—so readily symbolized by this gathering—will overcome the challenges we face and we will in time emerge stronger.

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND FOR VIETNAM

Nguyen Van Giau

First of all, I would like to express our high appreciation to our colleagues at the Bank and the Fund for their excellent arrangements of this important event.

Since the last time we met at the 2007 meetings, the global economy has experienced various complicated developments, albeit several advantages. Increasing food and oil prices, the spillover inflation and the current global financial turmoil have caused the slowdowns in the global economic and financial systems, especially the fledging financial systems of the developing and transitional economies.

Higher prices, particularly oil and food prices, have globally challenged the food and energy security. The U.S. crisis-led financial turmoil has brought about numerous global macroeconomic problems, higher inflation, demand imbalance, and a gloomy forecast of the global economic growth from 4.9 percent to 3.7 percent. Major economies, such as the U.S., Japan, and the E.U., have reset their economic growth goals. In the region, high and sustainable growth economies, including China and ASEAN economies might have growth respectively decreasing from 11.4 percent and 6.5 percent in 2007 to 10 percent and 5.7 percent in 2008. The global economic turmoil has caused countries, including Vietnam, to adjust their macroeconomic policies as well as financial and monetary policies in an effort to contain inflation pressure, and higher food and oil prices.

The current situation is setting new challenges for international institutions, including the Fund and the Bank to take bold actions in an effort to support their member countries, thereby, contributing to the continuation of economic stability and driving forces, and ensuring poverty reduction achievements. In this connection, Vietnam welcomes efforts made by the Fund and the Bank in safeguarding global financial stability, promoting sustainable growth and reducing poverty.
It is encouraging that the Fund has recently made substantial efforts in its governance, operational and financial reform. We welcome the Fund’s success in the first step of strengthening member representation through quotas and voice enhancement. Policy dialogue between the IMF and its member countries is now more candid, and overall more effective, when better focused on how members’ economic policies impact the stability of their economies and of the economies of their partners. Lending activities are also reformed in order to provide assistance faster, in larger amounts, to low-income countries, streamline policy commitments made by borrower governments and also help address commodity price changes, disasters and conflicts. We also highly appreciate significant benefits that IMF technical assistance brings to member countries, with a unique feature of strong surveillance-lending-technical assistance relationship. Those reform activities are much supported by the IMF’s directions of diversifying revenue sources together with strengthening the effectiveness in resources allocation and utilization. However, regarding the issue of cost sharing in TA provision, we would like to note that the priority should be given toward developing countries, facilitating them to maintain their access and utilization of the Fund’s assistance.

At the same time, we saw that soaring food and commodity prices over the past year reaffirmed the role the Bank plays in international economic development and poverty reduction worldwide. We highly appreciate the Bank’s ability in tackling the global crises and supporting sustainable growth with care for the environment in its client countries while safeguarding and improving people’s health, education, and other human development outcomes. We also highly appreciate the collaboration and partnership the Bank had with numerous other multilateral organizations and partners to realize the most far-reaching results possible. The Bank’s quick response in May 2008 with a rapid financing facility\(^1\) for food crisis is widely acknowledged by all as an immediate action addressing immediate needs. In the longer run, the Bank’s recommendations on the focus of increasing agricultural productivity and narrowing the gap between the urban and rural areas will significantly contribute to the agricultural and rural development of the member countries, thus ensuring the sustainable economic development.

\(^1\) The World Bank report shows the first grants under the facility were approved for Djibouti ($5 million), Haiti ($10 million), and Liberia ($10 million).
On financial side, the borrowing member countries highly appreciate the great efforts made by the Board of Executive Directors to bring down the commitment fee on the outstanding credits of the IDA to zero percent. This decision helps the borrowers to reduce cost of resource significantly, hence increasing their capacity to invest for economic growth and poverty reduction. We also thank the IBRD for its biggest simplification and reduction in its loan pricing since the Asia financial crisis. It now makes borrowers to have access to IBRD loans at longer maturities and at price that are lower and more transparent than they had been.

On nonfinancial side, we endorse the Bank’s efforts in introducing simple projects that can be easily replicated, mechanism for additional financing of successful projects and revision to emergency and rapid response lending policy. We also endorse the approval of the Board in April 2008 for piloting the use of country system for financial management and safeguards as it helps harmonize the procedures of both the Bank and the borrowing member countries.

However, much needs to be done without delay to alleviate global crises. An estimated 2.5 billion people are trying to survive on $2 or less a day. Therefore, in the coming time the role of the IMF and the WB will be more significant in assisting their member countries to accomplish the cause of economic development and poverty reduction.

In addressing the adverse impact of the current global turmoil on the macroeconomy of the country, we welcome the coming focus of the IMF’s activities on key issues of global economic and financial concern and its actions to help members to meet the potential global challenges. It would be good for all members that IMF will making substantial progress in the coming time to enable member countries deal with imminent crises and urgent tasks, including responding to the challenges posed by rising food and fuel prices, drawing lessons from financial market crises and advancing key surveillance issues. Regarding this, we totally support the call made by IMF Managing Director Dominique Strauss-Kahn for a global solution to the financial crisis, in which the Fund would play the coordination role to organize a global response to weaknesses in the global financial system.

Another IMF priority is to review its lending instruments. We agree that it is time for the Fund to revise some credit facilities so that it can provide a more accessible and appropriate source of capital for those countries in need. New organizational tools and working practices, especially enhancement of IMF consultations and local capacity building program are much welcomed. We also look forward to further advances of the Fund’s governance agenda, including the next step beyond
strengthening membership representation through quotas and voice improvements.

We share the vision of the Bank, which is “to contribute to an inclusive and sustainable globalization—to overcome poverty, enhance growth with care for the environment, and create individual opportunity and hope.” This vision reaffirms the commitment of the Bank to fight against poverty and ensure the equal and sustainable development. In this connection, we think that the Bank should continue to collaborate closely with its member countries and international partners to make further progress in addressing the above-mention challenges. Particularly, we strongly support the Bank’s policy to consider Africa, especially sub-Saharan Africa, where extreme poverty is expected to grow, as its priority for action. We also urge the Bank to continue to intensify its role in coordinating the necessary available resources both technical and financial ones to support and assist the other countries in all regions to confront their emerging vulnerabilities, including Vietnam.

Skyrocketing food prices are a harsh reality, resulting in even greater hunger and malnutrition worldwide. Climate change threatens agricultural productivity and consequently the world’s food supply as well as the income of most of the poorest people. Natural catastrophes, such as this year’s earthquake in China and cyclone in Myanmar, devastate millions who may not survive without immediate disaster relief. Communicable diseases, with HIV/AIDS and malaria being the most critical, continue to challenge us. Therefore, we commend the Bank to continue to push forward the Global Food Crisis Response Program in collaboration with the United Nations and other partners. We also encourage the Bank to advance work on all aspects of voice and participation, keeping in mind the distinct nature of the Bank’s development mandate, and the importance of enhancing voice and participation for all developing and transition countries in the World Bank Group. As the change in the climate spans multisectors and development issues, all the countries are at significant risk. Therefore, we urge the Bank to continue to scale up its actions like adopting a Strategic Framework for Climate Change, setting up specialized climate funds, in order to help member countries to adapt to climate change and mitigate its impacts as well as to turn the challenge of climate change into an opportunity for development leading to an inclusive and sustainable globalization.

Now let me take this chance to provide a briefing of the latest developments in my country since our last gathering. Over the past year, we have achieved the following significant achievements:

2007 was the year we effectively joined WTO, since then macroeconomic situation has been severely impacted by complex
volatilities of the world economy: inflation bounced back to 2 digit number (12.63 percent in 2007 and 21.87 percent for the first 9 months of 2008 compared with the end of 2007); trade deficit increased sharply to 29.1 percent the total exports in 2007 and 32.6 percent of the total exports in the first 9 months of 2008. Given rapidly increasing inflation and trade deficit exercise being threats to macroeconomic stability, the government considered inflation control as the first priority objective and focused on directing the implementation of 8 major comprehensive sets of policies, including: (i) tightening monetary policy and ensuring the liquidity for banking system and the whole economy; (ii) closely monitoring and enhancing efficiency of public spending; (iii) focusing on production development and ensuring balance of good supply and demand; (iv) promoting exports, strictly supervising imports to reduce trade deficit; (v) strictly practicing thrift in production and consumption; (vi) enhancing market management work to guard against speculation, smuggling and trade fraud; (vii) strengthening policies to stabilize people’s life and expanding the coverage of implementation of social security policy; and (viii) promoting information and propagandization.

With the implementation of the government’s policies, including monetary policy, macroeconomic developments have shown positive signals:

- GDP for the first 9 months reached 6.52 percent, still at a high level compared with many other countries.
- Inflation was reduced from a monthly average of 2.9 percent for the first 6 months to less than 1 percent for July, August, and September.
- The trade deficit narrowed from a monthly average of US$2.3 billion in the first 6 months to the level of less than US$1.0 billion/month in July, August, and September.
- Newly granted and added foreign direct investment in the first 9 months reached US$57.1 billion, up by 398.5 percent from the same period of 2007.
- The money market and foreign exchange market are stable, and credit and total liquidity have a slower trend, ensuring the settlement safety for the credit institution system.

The Vietnamese economy still maintains the potential of stable development in medium and long term. However, from now to the end of 2008, the world and domestic economies may have many complex developments, especially the effects of the US financial turmoil, therefore, the government continues to implement tight monetary and
fiscal policy but in a flexible way in order to help control inflation, stabilize macroeconomic situation, ensure social security and sustainable development.

In addition to our own efforts, the support from WB and IMF is always appreciated by the government of Vietnam. Particularly, the financial support of the WB has contributed significantly to building up the key fundamentals for economic development of Vietnam. Over the past 15 years, WB has provided financial assistance of more than US$ 8 billion for around 80 programs and projects in various areas. In fiscal year 2009, WB has committed more than US$1.8 billion for 15 programs and projects, of which there will be an IBRD-financed project. Such financing program would make the Bank become one of the biggest ODA providers for Vietnam.

In concluding, I would like to convey our sincere thanks to the management and staff of the Fund and the Bank for efficient support extended to Vietnam thus far. I wish the Meetings a splendid success.
CONCLUDING REMARKS

STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNOR OF THE FUND FOR VIETNAM,
AT THE CLOSING JOINT SESSION

Nguyen Van Giau

It is a great honor for Vietnam to accept the chairmanship of the Board of Governors for 2009. Fellow Governors, please join me in thanking His Excellency Zoran Stavreski for the exceptional manner in which he has conducted these meetings.

These meetings have taken place against the backdrop of one of the most serious financial crises of our time. The complexity and scale of the challenges involved have reminded us that the strengthened cooperation of the Bretton Wood Institutions is crucial in confronting the present financial crisis and the surge in food and fuel prices. At the same time, we have also been reminded of the need for these institutions to devise timely and flexible responses to ensure member countries’ resilience to external shocks and to help safeguard the poorest from the full impact of the current crises.

Our discussions have recognized the importance of Fund surveillance in providing sound analysis at national and regional levels and in devoting greater attention to cross-country spillovers and macro-financial linkages. We have been further encouraged by the Fund’s commitment to consider new instruments that will allow for quick and efficient responses to its members’ request for assistance. Similarly, we have welcomed the active role of the Bank, in particular in providing policy advice and financing to the countries that are being hit the most by rising food and fuel prices, while also standing ready to expand lending in response to the on-going financial turbulence.

At the same time, we have reaffirmed the need for the Fund and the Bank to remain focused on crucial ongoing core challenges, such as increasing the role of developing and dynamic economies in the governance of our institutions. We have thus commended the Fund’s quota and voice reform and the Bank’s continuing discussions in this respect. In addition, we have supported the Bank’s efforts to integrate climate change into development strategies, and the scaling-up of aid to low-income countries, together with improved aid effectiveness.

Fellow Governors, allow me to convey our appreciation to the staffs of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank for their
continued hard work and dedication. Please also let me express our
grateful and deep appreciation to our hosts: the people and authorities of
the United States and Washington, DC.
Fellow Governors, I look forward to working with all of you to
tackle the important issues we have outlined here, and to seeing you at
our Annual Meetings next year in Turkey.

CONCLUDING REMARKS BY CHAIRMAN OF THE EXECUTIVE
BOARD AND MANAGING DIRECTOR OF THE INTERNATIONAL
MONETARY FUND

Dominique Strauss-Kahn

Mr. Chairman, I want to take this opportunity to thank you all, the
Governors and the guests, for your work over this long weekend.
This has been an unusual Annual Meetings, held in an atmosphere
of real crisis. The eyes of the world have been on us, and the IMF and the
World Bank—and you—have risen to the occasion magnificently.
This has been a genuine discussion and exchange of views. We
have learned from each other:
• At the IMFC on Saturday, we benefited from Stefan Ingves’
experience of the crisis in Sweden at the beginning of the 1990s.
• We heard the advice of Mr. Nakagawa of Japan on the role
of the Fund, and the lessons from Japan and from the Asian crisis.
• We heard from Mr. Somavia, the observer from the International
Labor Organization, the simple and heartfelt plea that when we
make decisions about how to handle the crisis we keep in mind
how those decisions will affect working people.
Today, I have been struck by the unity of purpose in speakers’
interventions. Governors have had different advice on specific issues.
• I noted well the advice of Dr. Yi Gang, on behalf of the People’s
Republic of China, that the Fund should “give the surveillance
priority to the ongoing financial turmoil, deepen its analysis,
learn lessons, and listen to the opinions of member countries”.
• I heard too the advice of the Governor from the Philippines, and
many other Governors, who urged us not to forget the effects of
the food and fuel crisis on the poor in emerging and developing countries.

- And for all the differences in specific advice, I heard a common theme: that we are in this together, and that we must act together. This is the most important message I take from this weekend.

Before we end these meetings, let me say a few words of thanks.

I want to thank the United States Governor and the authorities of the United States and Washington, DC, who have been our hosts.

I would also like to thank the Police Department of the District of Colombia, which has kept us safe, and the people of Washington who have invited us to their city.

I also want to thank you Mr. Chairman, and the Bank and the Fund Secretaries, for your direction of these meetings, and Bob Zoellick for his work with me over the last few days, and over the past year.

I would like to thank the staff of the Fund and Bank, who prepare papers for us, keep us to our schedules, organize outreach, and translate our words.

I would like to thank the staff of the Bank-Fund Conferences Office and Joint Secretariat, and especially Alice Yeo, who arrived only in May and has been successful in organizing her first Annual Meetings.

Next year we will meet in Istanbul, and I know that as soon as these meetings are over, the staff of the Bank-Fund Conferences Office will begin preparing for the next one. Well, I hope they get a few days rest first. They have earned it.

Finally, I would like to thank you, our Governors. You have made this a successful meeting for the IMF and World Bank, a memorable one for me, and I hope a useful one for citizens. Safe journey to all of you.

Zoran Stavreski

As the 2008 Annual Meetings of a rather unprecedented year draw to a close, I want to review the major issues that we have discussed and their implications for the Fund’s and Bank’s work priorities for the coming year.

First, these Annual Meetings will be remembered for taking place in the midst of a major financial market crisis. In this regard, we started to take stock of the lessons that are emerging. We noted that, while national authorities in individual countries need to play their part in mitigating the effects of the crisis, the Fund remains well placed—including in collaboration with the FSF—to help prevent and resolve international financial crises and disseminate best practices. Particularly invaluable are the Fund’s near universal membership, and its multilateral perspective, focusing on cross-country spillovers and the interlinkages between the macro and the financial sectors. We also acknowledged the sound capitalization and prudent risk management policies that have kept the Bank strong, providing it the capacity to expand finance in response to client demand.

Second, surging energy and commodity prices have not only exacerbated the impact of the financial market turmoil, but have also imposed a high cost on countries’ balance sheets and threatened their advances in poverty reduction. We welcomed the Bank’s launch of the New Deal on Global Food Policy as well as the establishment of the Global Food Crisis Response Program and its continued engagement, together with the Fund, in providing member countries with additional financial support and policy advice. We also called on the donor community to play its part in keeping its commitments to increase aid to the most vulnerable people.

Third, particularly at this critical juncture, Governors called on the Fund and the Bank to seize the opportunities for progress and change so as to remain relevant to their membership. We applauded the Fund’s reform of quota and voice. We also welcomed the Bank’s discussions on concrete options on voice and participation and urged the World Bank to reach a consensus on a comprehensive package of reforms. We
nonetheless reaffirmed the importance of continuing to review the issue of governance more broadly at both the Bank and the Fund, and supported the three-pronged approach that the Fund’s Managing Directors has proposed in this respect.

*Finally,* we discussed other ongoing core challenges, and agreed that addressing climate change is crucial to the development and poverty reduction agenda, given its potential to reverse the progress made by developing countries toward achieving the Millennium Development Goals. In this context, we also agreed on the importance of scaling up aid, improving its effectiveness, and ensuring its delivery against the background of a more complex aid architecture.

My fellow Governors, it has been a privilege to serve as Chairman of the Board of Governors of this year’s Annual Meetings. I thank you all for your support and cooperation, which have resulted in fruitful meetings. Allow me to reiterate my deep appreciation to Mr. Strauss-Kahn and Mr. Zoellick for their effective leadership at this difficult time. I also reiterate my full trust in the staff of the Bretton Wood Institutions for their expertise, dedication, and continued hard work.

I would also like to thank Mr. Anjaria and Ms. Georgieva and the staff of the Joint Secretariat, particularly the Assistant Secretary for Conferences, Ms. Yeo, for successfully arranging the meetings, as well as the authorities of the United States for their hospitality and assistance.

Finally, let me congratulate His Excellency Minister Nguyen Van Giau of Vietnam, who will succeed me as the Chairman of the 2009 Annual Meetings.

My fellow Governors, I wish everyone safe travels home, and I look forward to meeting you again next year in Istanbul.
DOCUMENTS AND RESOLUTIONS OF THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS
SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS

Monday
October 13  9:30 a.m.  Opening Ceremonies
Address from the Chair
Annual Address by Managing Director,
International Monetary Fund
Annual Address by President,
World Bank Group
Annual Discussion

2:30 p.m.  Annual Discussion

Following the conclusion of the Annual Discussion  Procedures Committees Reports
Comments by Heads of Organizations
Adjournment

NOTES:
1. The Meetings will be held at DAR Constitution Hall (a.m. session) and Fund Headquarters 2 Conference Hall (p.m. session), and all sessions will be joint.

2. The International Monetary and Financial Committee and the Development Committee will meet on Saturday, October 11, and Sunday, October 12, respectively.

3. The World Bank Group consists of the following:
   - International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD)
   - International Finance Corporation (IFC)
   - International Development Association (IDA)
   - International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID)
   - Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (MIGA)
PROVISIONS RELATING TO THE CONDUCT OF THE MEETINGS

Admission

1. Sessions of the Boards of Governors of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank Group will be joint and shall be open to accredited press, guests, and staff.

2. Meetings of the Joint Procedures Committee shall be open only to Governors who are members of the Committee and their advisers, Executive Directors, and such staff as may be necessary.

Procedures and Records

3. The Chairman of the Boards of Governors will establish the order of speaking at each session. Governors signifying a desire to speak will generally be recognized in the order in which they ask to speak.

4. With the consent of the Chairman, a Governor may extend his statement in the record following advance submission of the text to the Secretaries.

5. The Secretaries will have verbatim transcripts prepared of the proceedings of the Boards of Governors and the Joint Procedures Committee. The transcripts of proceedings of the Joint Procedures Committee will be confidential and available only to the Chairman, the Managing Director of the International Monetary Fund, the President of the World Bank Group, and the Secretaries.

6. Reports of the Joint Procedures Committee shall be signed by the Committee Chairman and the Reporting Member.

Public Information

7. The Chairman of the Boards of Governors, the Managing Director of the International Monetary Fund, and the President of the World Bank Group will communicate to the press such information concerning the proceedings of the Annual Meetings as they may deem suitable.
AGENDA

1. 2008 Annual Report

2. Report of the Chairman of the International Monetary and Financial Committee (Fund Document No. 4)

3. Report of the Chairman of the Joint Development Committee (Fund Document No. 5)

4. 2008 Regular Election of Executive Directors (Fund Document No. 6)


7. Selection of Officers and Joint Procedures Committee for 2008/2009
REPORTS OF THE
JOINT PROCEDURES COMMITTEE

Chairman—Macedonia, Former Yugoslav Republic of
Vice Chairmen—Costa Rica, Namibia
Reporting Member—Qatar

Other Members
Argentina, Bangladesh, Brazil, Costa Rica, France, Germany, Greece, Grenada, Guinea Bissau, Japan, Korea, Macedonia, Former Yugoslav Republic of, Namibia, Qatar, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Switzerland, Togo, Turkey, Uganda, United Kingdom, United States, Vietnam

Report I

October 13, 2008

Mr. Chairman:

At the meeting of the Joint Procedures Committee held on October 12, 2008, items of business on the agenda of the Board of Governors of the International Monetary Fund were considered.

The Committee submits the following report and recommendations:

1. 2008 Annual Report

The Committee noted that provision had been made for the annual discussion of the business of the Fund.

2. Report of the Chairman of the International Monetary and Financial Committee

The Committee noted that a presentation would be made by the

---

1 Report II dealt with the business of the Governors of the Bank, IFC, and IDA. Report II and the Resolutions contained therein were adopted by the Board of Governors of the Fund in Joint Session with the Board of Governors of the Bank, IFC, and IDA on October 13, 2008.
Chairman of the International Monetary and Financial Committee on October 13, 2008.¹

The Committee recommends that the Board of Governors of the Fund thank the International Monetary and Financial Committee for its work.

3. 2008 Regular Election of Executive Directors

The committee noted that the 2008 Regular Election of Executive Directors of the Fund would be completed on October 13, 2008 and that the next Regular Election of Executive Directors would take place in 2010.

4. Financial Statements, Report on Audit, and Administrative and Capital Budgets

The Committee considered the Report on Audit for the Financial Year ended April 30, 2008, the Financial Statements contained therein (Fund Document No. 7 and Appendix VI of the 2008 Annual Report), and the Administrative Budget for the Financial Year ending April 30, 2009 and the Capital Budget for capital projects beginning in Financial Year 2009 (Chapter 5 of the 2008 Annual Report).

The Committee recommends that the Board of Governors of the Fund adopt the draft Resolution set forth in Fund Document No. 8.²

Approved:

Zoran Stavreski
Macedonia—Chairman

A. Shakour Shaalan
Qatar—Reporting Member

¹ See pages 23–25.
² Resolution No. 63-7; see page 213.
ANNEX I TO REPORT I

REGULATIONS FOR THE CONDUCT OF THE 2008 REGULAR ELECTION OF EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS

1. **Definitions:** In these Regulations, unless the context otherwise requires:

   (a) “Articles” means the Articles of Agreement of the Fund.

   (b) “Board” means the Board of Governors of the Fund.

   (c) “Chairman” means the Chairman or Vice-Chairman acting as Chairman of the Board.

   (d) “Governor” includes the Alternate Governor or any temporary alternate Governor when acting for the Governor.

   (e) “Secretary” means the Secretary or any Acting Secretary of the Fund.

   (f) “Election” means the 2008 Regular Election of Executive Directors.

   (g) “Eligible votes” means the total number of votes that can be cast in an election.

   (h) “Rapid means of communications” includes conventional mail, fax, special courier or through the secure online Board of Governors Extranet Voting System (BOGeVS).

2. **Eligibility:** The Governors eligible to vote in the election shall be all of the Governors except those of the members that:

   (a) are entitled to appoint an Executive Director pursuant to Article XII, Section 3(b)(i);

   (b) have notified the Managing Director, in accordance with the procedure established by the Executive Board, of their
intention to appoint an Executive Director pursuant to Article XII, Section 3(c); or

(c) have had their voting rights suspended under Article XXVI, Section 2(b).

3. **Supervision of the Election**: The Chairman shall appoint such tellers and other assistants and take such other actions as he deems necessary for the conduct of the election.

4. **Schedule E**: Subject to the supplementary Regulations set forth herein, the provisions of Schedule E of the Articles shall apply to the conduct of the election.

5. **Number of Executive Directors to be Elected**: Nineteen Executive Directors shall be elected. In applying Schedule E of the Articles to the election, “Nineteen persons” shall be substituted for “fifteen persons” in paragraphs 2, 3, and 6, and “eighteen persons” shall be substituted for “fourteen persons” and “nineteenth” shall be substituted for “fifteenth” in paragraph 6.

6. **Proportion of Votes Required to Elect**: In paragraphs 2 and 5 of Schedule E “four percent” and in paragraphs 3, 4, and 5, “nine percent” shall not be changed, subject to paragraph 11 below.

7. **Nominations**:

(a) Each Governor eligible to vote in the election shall be entitled to nominate one person for election as Executive Director. Any person nominated by one or more Governors shall be eligible for election as an Executive Director.

(b) Nominations may be made from August 21, 2008 through September 4, 2008. Each nomination shall be made on a Nomination Form furnished by the Secretary, signed by the Governor or Governors making the nomination and deposited with the Secretary by rapid means of communication.

(c) Upon the closing of nominations, the Secretary shall, by rapid means of communication, send to all Governors eligible to vote in the election the list of candidates for the election, together with an invitation to Governors to vote in the first ballot.
(d) If a nominee withdraws from the ballot after the closing date of the nomination period, but before the closing date of the ballot, the Secretary shall inform all Governors eligible to vote of such withdrawal and invite them to submit nominations of a candidate by rapid means of communication, and the timing for such nomination, which shall be no less than ten business days of the Fund. At the end of the prescribed period of time for this nomination, the Secretary shall compile a new list of candidates with all individuals who were nominated by at least one Governor in either nomination period, and circulate that list by rapid means of communication to all Governors eligible to vote with an invitation to vote through similar channels (or in person) before the end of the balloting period.

8. **Ballots and Balloting:**

(a) One ballot form shall be furnished, before a ballot is taken, to each Governor eligible to vote.

(b) Each ballot shall be conducted by the deposit of ballot forms, signed by Governors eligible to vote, with the Secretary either by rapid means of communication or in person. When a ballot has been completed, the Secretary shall cause the ballot forms to be counted and the names of the persons elected to be announced and communicated to Governors by rapid means of communication.

(c) If the tellers should be of the opinion that any particular ballot form is not properly executed, they shall, if possible, afford the Governor concerned an opportunity to correct it before tallying the results, and such ballot form, if so corrected, shall be deemed valid.

(d) If a member does not have a duly appointed Governor during a ballot, such member shall be taken not to have voted on that ballot.

(e) Voting for the first ballot shall take place from September 5, 2008 through the scheduled first day of the Fund’s 2008 Annual Meeting. If a second or subsequent ballot is necessary, the Secretary shall announce and communicate the names of the candidates to be voted on, the members whose Governors are
entitled to vote, and the timing for the second or subsequent ballot.

(f) If a Governor does not vote for any candidate when entitled to do so, he shall not be entitled to vote on any subsequent ballot and his votes shall not be counted under Article XII, Section 3(i)(iii) toward the election of any Executive Director.

(g) If a second or subsequent ballot is required under Schedule E, but the number of remaining candidates is equal to the number of vacancies to be filled, those candidates shall be deemed to have been elected in the preceding ballot, provided that paragraph 13 of these Regulations shall apply.

9. If in any ballot there are more candidates than the number of Executive Directors to be elected and two or more candidates tie with the lowest number of votes, no candidate shall be ineligible for election in the next succeeding ballot, but if the same situation is repeated on such succeeding ballot, the Chairman shall eliminate by lot one of the candidates from the following ballot.

10. If any two or more Governors having an equal number of votes shall have voted for the same candidate and the votes of one or more, but not all, of such Governors could be deemed under paragraph 4 of Schedule E to have raised the total votes received by the candidate above nine percent of the eligible votes, the Chairman shall determine by lot the Governor or Governors, as the case may be, who shall be entitled to vote in the next ballot.

11. When in any ballot the number of candidates is the same as the number of Executive Directors to be elected, and no candidate is deemed to have received more than nine percent of the eligible votes, each candidate shall be considered elected by the number of votes received even though a candidate may have received less than four percent of eligible votes.

12. If the votes cast by a Governor raise the total votes received by a candidate from below to above nine percent of the eligible votes, the votes cast by that Governor shall be deemed, for the purposes of paragraph 4 of Schedule E, not to have raised the total votes received by that candidate above nine percent.
13. Any member whose Governor has voted in the last ballot and whose vote has not contributed to the election of an Executive Director may, before the effective date of the election as set forth in paragraph 15 below and subject to the limits specified above on the total number of votes that may be cast toward the election of an Executive Director, designate an Executive Director who was elected, and that member’s votes shall be deemed to have counted toward the election of the Executive Director so designated.

14. Announcement and Review of Result:

(a) After the last ballot, the Secretary shall cause to be distributed a statement setting forth the result of the election.

(b) The Board of Governors, at the request of any Governor, will review the result of the election in order to determine whether, in light of the objectives set forth in Chapter O, Section 2 of the Report by the Executive Directors to the Board of Governors on the Proposed Second Amendment to the Articles of Agreement, an additional Executive Director should be elected to serve for the term of office commencing November 1, 2008.

15. **Effective Date of Election of Executive Directors:** The effective date of election shall be November 1, 2008, and the term of office of the elected Executive Directors, and of any Executive Director appointed under Article XII, Section 3(c), shall commence on that date. Incumbent elected Executive Directors shall serve through October 31, 2010.

16. **General:** Any question arising in connection with the conduct of the election shall be resolved by the tellers, subject to appeal, at the request of any Governor, to the Chairman and from him to the Board of Governors. Whenever possible, any such question shall be put without identifying the members or Governors concerned.
### STATEMENT OF RESULTS OF ELECTION, OCTOBER 13, 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Whose Votes Counted</th>
<th>Candidate Elected</th>
<th>Toward Election</th>
<th>Number of Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdallah S. Alazzaz</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
<td>70,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age F. P. Bakker</td>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Macedonia, FYR</td>
<td></td>
<td>939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td></td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td>51,874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Romania</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>105,937</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE Huayong</td>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>81,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>81,151</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramón Guzmán Zapater</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>31,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
<td>30,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venezuela, Rep. Bol. de</td>
<td></td>
<td>26,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>98,659</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Whose Votes Counted</td>
<td>Candidate Elected</td>
<td>Toward Election Number of Votes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jens Henriksson</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>16,678</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>902</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>12,888</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>1,426</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>1,518</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>1,692</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>16,967</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>24,205</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>76,276</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Horgan</td>
<td>Antigua and Barbuda</td>
<td>385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bahamas, The</td>
<td>1,553</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>438</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>63,942</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dominica</td>
<td>332</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grenada</td>
<td>367</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>8,634</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>2,985</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Kitts and Nevis</td>
<td>339</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Lucia</td>
<td>403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Vincent and the Grenadines</td>
<td>333</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>80,636</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Itam</td>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>3,113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>880</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>1,020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eritrea</td>
<td>409</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>1,587</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gambia, The</td>
<td>561</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>2,964</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>599</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>1,542</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>944</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>1,386</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>1,615</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>17,782</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>1,287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>18,935</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Whose Votes Counted</td>
<td>Candidate Elected</td>
<td>Toward Election</td>
<td>Number of Votes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Itam (continued)</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>1,947</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Swaziland</td>
<td>757</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>2,239</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>2,055</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>5,141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>66,763</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willy Kiekens</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>18,973</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>4,114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>46,302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>8,443</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>10,634</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>3,907</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>3,041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>3,825</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>2,567</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>12,163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>113,969</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adarsh Kishore</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>5,583</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td>313</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>India</td>
<td>41,832</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>4,384</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>52,112</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hi-Su Lee</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>32,614</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kiribati</td>
<td>306</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>29,523</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marshall Islands</td>
<td>285</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Micronesia, Federated States of Mongolia</td>
<td>301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mongolia</td>
<td>761</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>9,196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Palau</td>
<td>281</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>1,566</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>366</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seychelles</td>
<td>338</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solomon Islands</td>
<td>354</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vanuatu</td>
<td>420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>76,311</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Whose Votes Counted</td>
<td>Candidate Elected</td>
<td>Toward Election</td>
<td>Number of Votes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jafar Mojarrad</td>
<td>Afghanistan, Islamic Republic of</td>
<td>1,869</td>
<td>53,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>12,797</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>3,940</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iran, Islamic Republic of</td>
<td>15,222</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>6,132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>10,587</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>3,115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>53,662</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Moser</td>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>1,859</td>
<td>61,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kyrgyz Republic</td>
<td>1,138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>13,940</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serbia, Republic of</td>
<td>4,927</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>34,835</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tajikistan</td>
<td>1,120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td>1,002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uzbekistan</td>
<td>3,006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>61,827</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aleksei Mozhin</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>59,704</td>
<td>59,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>59,704</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paulo Nogueira Batista</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>30,611</td>
<td>53,634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>7,990</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>2,439</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>3,273</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>1,159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>1,069</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>2,316</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suriname</td>
<td>1,171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trinidad and Tobago</td>
<td>3,606</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>53,634</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Andrés Pereira</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>21,421</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>1,965</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>8,811</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>1,249</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>6,634</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Whose Votes Counted</td>
<td>Candidate Elected</td>
<td>Toward Election</td>
<td>Number of Votes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablo Andrés Pereira</td>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>3,315</td>
<td>43,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(continued)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurean W. Rutayisire</td>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>852</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>2,107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>346</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>807</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>810</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comoros</td>
<td>339</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Congo, Democratic Republic of the</td>
<td>5,580</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Congo, Republic of</td>
<td>1,096</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Côte d'Ivoire</td>
<td>3,502</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>409</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>576</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>1,793</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>1,321</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guinea-Bissau</td>
<td>392</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>1,472</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>1,183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>1,266</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>908</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>1,051</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>São Tomé and Príncipe</td>
<td>324</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>1,868</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Togo</td>
<td>984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29,855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrigo Sadun</td>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>737</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>8,480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>70,805</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>1,270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>8,924</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Timor-Leste</td>
<td>332</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Whose Votes Counted</td>
<td>Candidate Elected</td>
<td>Toward Election</td>
<td>Number of Votes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Shakour Shaalan</td>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>9,687</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>12,134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>1,955</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>14,061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>2,280</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Libyan Arab Jamahiriya</td>
<td>11,487</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>332</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>2,190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>2,888</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Syrian Arab Republic</td>
<td>3,186</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>6,367</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yemen, Republic of</td>
<td>2,685</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>70,852</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perry Warjiyo</td>
<td>Brunei Darussalam</td>
<td>2,402</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>1,125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>953</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>21,043</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lao People’s Democratic Republic</td>
<td>779</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>15,116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>2,834</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>9,049</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>8,875</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>11,069</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tonga</td>
<td>319</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>3,541</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>78,068</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr. Chairman:

The Joint Procedures Committee met on October 12, 2008 and submits the following report and recommendations:

1. **Development Committee**

   The Committee noted that the Report of the Chairman of the Joint Ministerial Committee of the Boards of Governors of the Fund and the Bank on the Transfer of Real Resources to Developing Countries (Development Committee) would be presented to the Boards of Governors of the Fund and Bank on October 13, 2008 pursuant to paragraph 5 of Resolutions Nos. 29-9 and 294 of the Fund and Bank, respectively (Fund Document No. 5).²

   The Committee recommends that the Boards of Governors of the Fund and the Bank note the report and thank the Development Committee for its work.

2. **Officers and Joint Procedures Committee for 2008/2009**

   The Committee recommends that the Governor for Vietnam be Chairman and that the Governors for Lithuania and Tunisia be Vice Chairmen of the Boards of Governors of the Fund and of the World Bank Group, to hold office until the close of the next Annual Meetings.

   It is further recommended that a Joint Procedures Committee be established to be available, after the termination of these meetings and until the close of the next Annual Meetings, for consultation at the discretion of the Chairman, normally by correspondence and, if the

---

¹ Report III and the recommendations contained therein were adopted by the Boards of Governors of the Fund and of the Bank, IFC, and IDA in Joint Session on October 12, 2008.
² See pages 26–28.
occasion requires, by convening; and that this Committee shall consist of the Governors for the following members: The Bahamas, China, Côte d’Ivoire, the Czech Republic, Djibouti, France, Germany, Haiti, India, Iraq, Japan, Liberia, Lithuania, Montenegro, New Zealand, Nigeria, Saudi Arabia, Spain, Tunisia, the United Kingdom, the United States, Uzbekistan, and Vietnam.

It is recommended that the Chairman of the Joint Procedures Committee shall be the Governor for Vietnam, and the Vice Chairmen shall be the Governors for Lithuania and Tunisia, and that the Governor for Liberia shall serve as Reporting Member.

Approved:

Zoran Stavreski
Macedonia—Chairman

A. Shakour Shaalan
Qatar—Reporting Member
RESOLUTIONS

Resolution No. 63-1

Thirteenth General Review of Quotas

In accordance with Section 13 of the By-Laws, the following Resolution was submitted to the Governors on January 2, 2008 for a vote without meeting:

RESOLVED:

THAT the Board of Governors, having noted the report of the Executive Board entitled Thirteenth General Review of Quotas—Report of the Executive Board to the Board of Governors, hereby resolves to conclude this review under Article III, Section 2(a) with no proposal to increase quotas. It notes the intention of the Executive Board during the period of the Fourteenth General Review, which the Articles of Agreement provide commences upon completion of the present review, to monitor closely and assess the adequacy of Fund resources.

The Board of Governors adopted the foregoing Resolution, effective January 28, 2008.

Resolution No. 63-2

Reform of Quota and Voice in the International Monetary Fund

In accordance with Section 13 of the By-Laws, the following Resolution was submitted to the Governors on March 28, 2008 for a vote without meeting. Considering that the Resolution is also proposing adjustments in the quotas of members that have requested such adjustment and whose names are listed in Attachment I of the Resolution, the adoption of the Resolution requires positive responses from Governors having an eighty-five percent majority of the total voting power:

WHEREAS in response to the request of the Board of Governors set forth in Resolution 61-5, the Executive Board has submitted to the Board
of Governors a report entitled “Reform of Quota and Voice in the
International Monetary Fund: Report of the Executive Board to the
Board of Governors”, hereinafter the “Report”; and

WHEREAS the Executive Board has recommended increases in the
quotas of a number of Fund members, all of whom have requested that
their quotas be increased; and

WHEREAS in response to the request of the Board of Governors set
forth in Resolution 61-5, the Executive Board has proposed an
amendment of the Articles of Agreement that (a) would have the effect
of increasing the number of basic votes of members and establish a
mechanism to ensure that the ratio of the sum of the basic votes of all
members to the sum of the total voting power of all members remains
constant and (b) would enable each Executive Director elected by a large
number of members to appoint a second Alternate Executive Director;
and

WHEREAS the Chairman of the Board of Governors has requested the
Secretary of the Fund to bring the proposal of the Executive Board
before the Board of Governors; and

WHEREAS the Report of the Executive Board setting forth its proposal
has been submitted to the Board of Governors by the Secretary of the
Fund; and

WHEREAS the Executive Board has requested the Board of Governors
to vote on the following Resolution without meeting, pursuant to Section
13 of the By-Laws of the Fund:

NOW THEREFORE, the Board of Governors, noting the
recommendation and the said Report of the Executive Board, hereby
RESOLVES that:

A. Increase in Quotas of Members

1. The International Monetary Fund proposes that, subject to the
provisions of this Resolution, the quotas of members of the Fund listed in
Attachment I to this Resolution shall be increased to the amounts shown
against their names in Attachment I.

2. A member’s increase in quota shall not become effective unless the
member in question has consented in writing to the increase and has paid
to the Fund the full amount of such increase. Each member shall pay 25
percent of its increase either in special drawing rights or in the currencies of other members specified, with their concurrence, by the Fund, or in any combination of special drawing rights and such currencies. The balance of the increase shall be paid by each member in its own currency.

3. Each member shall consent to the proposed increase of its quota no later than October 31, 2008; provided that the Executive Board may extend this period as it may determine, taking into account, in particular, the need of members to obtain domestic legislative approval.

4. Each member shall pay to the Fund the increase in its quota within 30 days of the later of (a) the date on which it notifies the Fund of its consent or (b) the date on which the requirement for the effectiveness of the increase in quota under paragraph 5 below has been met; provided that the Executive Board may extend the payment period as it may determine.

5. No increase in quota shall become effective before the entry into force of the proposed amendment of the Articles of Agreement approved by this Resolution.

B. Future Quota Reviews
To ensure that members’ quota shares continue to reflect their relative positions in the world economy, the Executive Board is requested to recommend further realignments of members’ quota shares in the context of future general quota reviews, beginning with the Fourteenth General Review of Quotas.

C. Amendment of the Articles of Agreement
1. The proposed amendment of the Articles of Agreement of the International Monetary Fund set forth in Attachment II to this Resolution (the Proposed Amendment to Enhance Voice and Participation in the International Monetary Fund) is approved.

2. The Secretary is directed to ask all members of the Fund, by circular letter or telegram, or other rapid means of communication, whether they accept, in accordance with the provisions of Article XXVIII of the Articles, the Proposed Amendment to Enhance Voice and Participation in the International Monetary Fund.

3. The communication to be sent to all members in accordance with the previous paragraph shall specify that the Proposed Amendment to
Enhance Voice and Participation in the International Monetary Fund shall enter into force for all members as of the date on which the Fund certifies, by formal communication addressed to all members, that three-fifths of the members, having eighty-five percent of the total voting power, have accepted the Proposed Amendment to Enhance Voice and Participation in the International Monetary Fund.

D. Members Entitled to Appoint Two Alternate Executive Directors
1. Following the first regular election of Executive Directors after entry into force of the Proposed Amendment to Enhance Voice and Participation in the International Monetary Fund, an Executive Director elected by at least 19 members shall be entitled to appoint two Alternate Executive Directors.

2. As a condition for appointing two Alternate Executive Directors, an Executive Director is required to designate by notification to the Secretary of the Fund: (i) the Alternate who shall act for the Executive Director when he is not present and both Alternates are present and (ii) the Alternate who shall exercise the powers of the Executive Director pursuant to Article XII, Section 3(f). By notification to the Secretary of the Fund, an Executive Director may change these designations at any time.
## ATTACHMENT I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Proposed Quota (in millions of SDRs)</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Proposed Quota (in millions of SDRs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>1,773.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>2,113.9</td>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>176.4</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>3,625.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>1,883.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>237.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>4,250.5</td>
<td>Palau, Republic of</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>1,019.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>1,688.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>9,525.9</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>1,029.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>187.1</td>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>302.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>158.2</td>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>1,002.2</td>
<td>Seychelles</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1,891.4</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>1,408.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>347.8</td>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>427.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>275.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eritrea</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>4,023.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>Syria, Arab Republic</td>
<td>346.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>14,565.5</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>1,440.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>1,101.8</td>
<td>Timor-Leste</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>5,821.5</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>1,455.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>1,257.6</td>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>1,061.1</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>752.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>7,882.3</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>42,122.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>15,628.5</td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>460.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>427.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>3,366.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>142.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>266.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>183.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>418.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT II

Proposed Amendment
of the Articles of Agreement
of the International Monetary Fund to Enhance Voice and Participation
in the International Monetary Fund

The Governments on whose behalf the present Agreement is signed agree as follows:

1. The text of Article XII, Section 3(e) shall be amended to read as follows:

“(e) Each Executive Director shall appoint an Alternate with full power to act for him when he is not present, provided that the Board of Governors may adopt rules enabling an Executive Director elected by more than a specified number of members to appoint two Alternates. Such rules, if adopted, may only be modified in the context of the regular election of Executive Directors and shall require an Executive Director appointing two Alternates to designate: (i) the Alternate who shall act for the Executive Director when he is not present and both Alternates are present and (ii) the Alternate who shall exercise the powers of the Executive Director under (f) below. When the Executive Directors appointing them are present, Alternates may participate in meetings but may not vote.”

2. The text of Article XII, Section 5(a) shall be amended to read as follows:

“(a) The total votes of each member shall be equal to the sum of its basic votes and its quota-based votes.

   (i) The basic votes of each member shall be the number of votes that results from the equal distribution among all the members of 5.502 percent of the aggregate sum of the total voting power of all the members, provided that there shall be no fractional basic votes.

   (ii) The quota-based votes of each member shall be the number of votes that results from the allocation of one
vote for each part of its quota equivalent to one hundred thousand special drawing rights.”

3. The text of paragraph 2 of Schedule L shall be amended to read as follows:

“2 The number of votes allotted to the member shall not be cast in any organ of the Fund. They shall not be included in the calculation of the total voting power, except for purposes of: (a) the acceptance of a proposed amendment pertaining exclusively to the Special Drawing Rights Department and (b) the calculation of basic votes pursuant to Article XII, Section 5(a)(i).”

The Board of Governors adopted the foregoing Resolution, effective April 28, 2008.

Resolution No. 63-3

Proposed Amendment of the Articles of Agreement of the International Monetary Fund to Expand the Investment Authority of the International Monetary Fund

In accordance with Section 13 of the By-Laws, the following Resolution was submitted to the Governors on April 7, 2008 for a vote without meeting:

WHEREAS the International Monetary and Financial Committee has asked the Executive Board to develop specific proposals for a new income model and a new expenditure framework by the time of the 2008 Spring Meeting of the International Monetary and Financial Committee, and the Executive Board has put forward such a proposal; and

WHEREAS the implementation of certain aspects of this proposal requires an amendment of the Articles of Agreement and the Executive Board has proposed and recommended that the Board of Governors approve such an amendment, and has prepared a Report on the same; and

WHEREAS the Chairman of the Board of Governors has requested the Secretary of the Fund to bring the proposal of the Executive Board before the Board of Governors; and
WHEREAS the Report of the Executive Board setting forth its proposal has been submitted to the Board of Governors by the Secretary of the Fund; and

WHEREAS the Executive Board has requested the Board of Governors to vote on the following Resolution without meeting, pursuant to Section 13 of the By-Laws of the Fund;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Board of Governors, noting the recommendation and the said Report of the Executive Board, hereby RESOLVES that:

1. The proposed amendment of the Articles of Agreement of the International Monetary Fund (Proposed Amendment of the Articles of Agreement of the International Monetary Fund to Expand the Investment Authority of the International Monetary Fund) that is attached to this Resolution is approved.

2. The Secretary of the Fund is directed to ask all members of the Fund, by circular letter, telegram or other rapid means of communication, whether they accept, in accordance with the provisions of Article XXVIII of the Articles, the Proposed Amendment of the Articles of Agreement of the International Monetary Fund to Expand the Investment Authority of the International Monetary Fund.

3. The communication to be sent to all members in accordance with 2 above shall specify that the Proposed Amendment of the Articles of Agreement of the International Monetary Fund to Expand the Investment Authority of the International Monetary Fund shall enter into force for all members as of the date on which the Fund certifies, by a formal communication addressed to all members, that three-fifths of the members, having eighty-five percent of the total voting power, have accepted the Proposed Amendment of the Articles of Agreement of the International Monetary Fund to Expand the Investment Authority of the International Monetary Fund.
ATTACHMENT

PROPOSED AMENDMENT OF THE ARTICLES OF AGREEMENT OF THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND TO EXPAND THE INVESTMENT AUTHORITY OF THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND

The Governments on whose behalf the present Agreement is signed agree as follows:

1. The text of Article XII, Section 6(f)(iii) shall be amended to read as follows:

“(iii) The Fund may use a member’s currency held in the Investment Account for investment as it may determine, in accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the Fund by a seventy percent majority of the total voting power. The rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this provision shall be consistent with (vii), (viii), and (ix) below.”

2. The text of Article XII, Section 6(f)(vi) shall be amended to read as follows:

“(vi) The Investment Account shall be terminated in the event of liquidation of the Fund and may be terminated, or the amount of the investment may be reduced, prior to liquidation of the Fund by a seventy percent majority of the total voting power.”

3. The text of Article V, Section 12(h) shall be amended to read as follows:

“(h) Pending uses specified under (f) above, the Fund may use a member’s currency held in the Special Disbursement Account for investment as it may determine, in accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the Fund by a seventy percent majority of the total voting power. The income of investment and interest received under (f)(ii) above shall be placed in the Special Disbursement Account.”

4. A new Article V, Section 12(k) shall be added to the Articles to read as follows:
“(k) Whenever under (c) above the Fund sells gold acquired by it after the date of the second amendment of this Agreement, an amount of the proceeds equivalent to the acquisition price of the gold shall be placed in the General Resources Account, and any excess shall be placed in the Investment Account for use pursuant to the provisions of Article XII, Section 6(f). If any gold acquired by the Fund after the date of the second amendment of this Agreement is sold after April 7, 2008 but prior to the date of entry into force of this provision, then, upon the entry into force of this provision, and notwithstanding the limit set forth in Article XII, Section 6(f)(ii), the Fund shall transfer to the Investment Account from the General Resources Account an amount equal to the proceeds of such sale less (i) the acquisition price of the gold sold, and (ii) any amount of such proceeds in excess of the acquisition price that may have already been transferred to the Investment Account prior to the date of entry into force of this provision.”

The Board of Governors adopted the foregoing Resolution, effective May 5, 2008.

Resolution No. 63-4

Direct Remuneration of Executive Directors and their Alternates

Pursuant to Section 14(e) of the By-Laws, the 2008 Joint Committee on the Remuneration of Executive Directors and their Alternates on June 25, 2008 directed the Secretary of the Fund to transmit its report and recommendations to the Board of Governors of the Fund. The Committee’s report contained the following proposed Resolution for adoption by the Board of Governors.

In accordance with Section 13 of the By-Laws, the following Resolution was submitted to the Governors on June 26, 2008 for a vote without meeting:

RESOLVED:

THAT, effective July 1, 2008, the remuneration of the Executive Directors of the Fund and their Alternates pursuant to Section 14(e) of the By-Laws shall be paid in the form of salary without a separate supplemental allowance, and such salary shall be paid at the annual rate of $230,790 per year for Executive Directors and $199,650 per year for their Alternates.
The Board of Governors adopted the foregoing Resolution, effective July 25, 2008.

Resolution No. 63-5

2008 Regular Election of Executive Directors

The Executive Board decided on July 25, 2008, to propose a draft Resolution of the Board of Governors, by which the Board of Governors would adopt: (a) the draft Regulations for the Conduct of the 2008 Regular Election of Executive Directors; and (b) the recommendations relating to the timing of the next regular election. The recommendation that there should continue to be nineteen elective Executive Directors requires that the draft Resolution be adopted by an eighty-five percent majority of the total voting power, as specified in Article XII, Section 3(b).

In accordance with Section 13 of the By-Laws, the following Resolution was submitted to the Governors on July 28, 2008 for a vote without meeting:

RESOLVED:

(a) In accordance with paragraph (b) of Resolution No. 61-3 adopted on August 1, 2006, which provides that “a Regular Election of Executive Directors shall take place in 2008”, the proposed Regulations for the Conduct of the 2008 Regular Election of Executive Directors are hereby adopted; and

(b) That the next Regular Election of Executive Directors shall take place in 2010.

The Board of Governors adopted the foregoing Resolution, effective August 18, 2008.

Resolution No. 63-6

Reimbursement for Reasonable Expenses Incurred by Governors and Alternates in Attending Meetings of the Board of Governors—Amendment of Section 14(a) of the By-Laws
As part of the measures required to reduce Fund expenses and share the burden of such reductions across the Fund, the Managing Director proposed to discontinue reimbursement of travel expenses incurred by Governors and Alternates in attending meetings of the Board of Governors, as well as to reduce the number of days for which the Fund will pay them a per diem to compensate for accommodation and meals during those meetings. In that regard, on September 8, 2008 the Executive Board directed the Secretary of the Fund to transmit its report to the Board of Governors of the Fund. The report recommended to the Board of Governors that Section 14(a) of the By-Laws be amended to specifically exclude travel expenses from the reasonable expenses to be reimbursed.

In accordance with Section 13 of the By-Laws, the following Resolution was submitted to the Governors on September 8, 2008 for a vote without meeting:

RESOLVED:

The Board of Governors hereby resolves that Paragraph (a) of Section 14 of the By-Laws is amended to read as follows:

(a) Governors and Alternates shall receive reimbursement for reasonable expenses incurred in attending meetings of the Board of Governors, except for travel expenses.

The Board of Governors adopted the foregoing Resolution, effective September 23, 2008.

Resolution No. 63-7

Financial Statements, Report on Audit, and Administrative and Capital Budgets

RESOLVED:

THAT the Board of Governors of the International Monetary Fund considers the Report on Audit for the Financial Year ended April 30, 2008, the Financial Statements contained therein, and the Administrative Budget for the Financial Year ending April 30, 2009 and the Capital
Budget for capital projects beginning in Financial Year 2009 as fulfilling the requirements of Article XII, Section 7 of the Articles of Agreement and Section 20 of the By-Laws.

*The Board of Governors adopted the foregoing Resolution, effective October 13, 2008.*
PRESS COMMUNIQUÉ

October 11, 2008

1. The International Monetary and Financial Committee held its eighteenth meeting in Washington, D.C. on October 11, 2008 under the Chairmanship of Dr. Youssef Boutros-Ghali, the Minister of Finance of Egypt. The Committee welcomes Dr. Boutros-Ghali, the new IMFC Chairman. The Committee expresses its deep gratitude to Mr. Tommaso Padoa-Schioppa for his invaluable role as the Committee’s Chairman in securing the membership’s support for critical IMF reforms, and extends its best wishes.

2. Yesterday, October 10, the G-7 met and agreed the following plan of action:

- “Take decisive action and use all available tools to support systemically important financial institutions and prevent their failure.
- Take all necessary steps to unfreeze credit and money markets and ensure that banks and other financial institutions have broad access to liquidity and funding.
- Ensure that our banks and other major financial intermediaries, as needed, can raise capital from public as well as private sources, in sufficient amounts to re-establish confidence and permit them to continue lending to households and businesses.
- Ensure that our respective national deposit insurance and guarantee programs are robust and consistent so that our retail depositors will continue to have confidence in the safety of their deposits.
- Take action, where appropriate, to restart the secondary markets for mortgages and other securitized assets. Accurate valuation and transparent disclosure of assets and consistent implementation of high quality accounting standards are necessary.
The actions should be taken in ways that protect taxpayers and avoid potentially damaging effects on other countries. We will use macroeconomic policy tools as necessary and appropriate. We strongly support the IMF’s critical role in assisting countries affected by this turmoil. We will accelerate full implementation of the Financial Stability Forum recommendations and we are committed to the pressing need for reform of the financial system. We will strengthen further our cooperation and work with others to accomplish this plan.”

3. Today the International Monetary and Financial Committee strongly endorsed the above commitments.

4. The Committee recognizes that the depth and systemic nature of the crisis call for exceptional vigilance, coordination, and readiness to take bold action. It underscores that the Fund has a critical mandate to foster the multilateral cooperation needed to restore and safeguard international monetary and financial stability. The Committee considers that, using its emergency procedures, the Fund stands ready to quickly make available substantial resources to help member countries cover financing needs. The Committee calls for further intensive Fund engagement across the membership to discuss and develop robust policy responses to the crisis.

5. Moreover, the Committee notes that many emerging market economies, which have implemented sound policies in recent years, may experience spillover effects from the financial crisis. The difficult global financial environment, including elevated food and fuel prices, adds to the challenges for emerging market and developing countries to preserve macroeconomic stability, sustain growth, and make progress on poverty reduction. For these reasons, it is critically important that collaborative action be coordinated between advanced and emerging economies.

6. The Committee calls on the Fund—given its universal membership, core macro-financial expertise, and its mandate to promote international financial stability—to take the lead, in line with its mandate, in drawing the necessary policy lessons from the current crisis and recommending effective actions to restore confidence and stability. It asks the Fund to focus discussion, and enhance cooperation, with a wide range of perspectives with the FSF, the G-20, and others on this issue in an inclusive setting. The Committee asks the IMF to start this initiative immediately and to report to the IMFC at the latest at its next meeting.
7. The next regular meeting of the IMFC will be held in Washington, D.C. on April 25, 2009. The attachment summarizes the Committee’s discussion on other key points.

Attachment

Supporting Growth and Tackling Global Challenges

1. The Committee emphasizes that macroeconomic policies in the advanced economies need to provide essential stimulus in the face of the risk of a pronounced economic downturn, as confidence in the financial system is restored. Given the decline in commodity prices from their recent peaks and the expected slowing activity in many countries, policymakers should consider the most appropriate policy actions depending on national conditions. The Committee welcomes the recent coordinated monetary policy actions undertaken by several central banks. In a number of economies, fiscal policy has provided timely support to boost activity. Further fiscal initiatives should take account of medium-term consolidation objectives and, if undertaken, should give priority to dealing with financial problems. While macroeconomic policy priorities vary considerably across emerging market and developing economies, the Committee notes that the risk of a marked slowdown owing to financial market strains and sluggish export markets is becoming the primary concern for many of them. The Committee calls on the IMF to stand ready to assist members to prepare timely, effective, and appropriate policy responses to alleviate the impact of negative spillovers from the financial crisis.

2. The Committee is concerned that the progress made by low-income countries in achieving macroeconomic stability, fostering growth, and reducing poverty is being undermined by the adverse global environment. Many low-income countries, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, have been severely hit by higher food and fuel prices. The Committee calls on low-income countries to pursue strengthened adjustment efforts with increased donor assistance, in particular grants, to limit the effects on real income and poverty. The Committee welcomes the mission statement on low-income countries, and considers that the Fund should continue to play its part in the areas of its core expertise. The Committee welcomes the reforms to the Exogenous Shocks Facility, which allow it to be used more quickly and adequately.

3. The Committee notes the challenges posed by higher commodity prices in many countries, even though food and fuel prices have receded from their recent peaks. It recommends that shifts in international food and fuel prices be passed through to domestic markets, backed by
targeted measures and adequate safety nets to protect the poor and taking into account country-specific circumstances.

4. Progress toward a more multilateral trading system has never been more important given risks to global growth. The Committee therefore calls on members to resist protectionist pressures, and reiterates its strong support for a prompt and ambitious conclusion of the Doha Development Round of trade negotiations.

5. The Committee emphasizes that it remains important to guard against global imbalances. The multilateral strategy for addressing global imbalances remains relevant, even though short-term measures will need to focus on stabilizing financial markets.

**Advancing the IMF’s Surveillance Agenda**

6. The Committee underscores the central role of Fund surveillance in providing clear, advance warning of risks, helping members understand the interdependence of their economies, and promoting globally consistent policy responses. The Committee takes note of the conclusion of the Triennial Surveillance Review, and endorses the Fund’s first Statement of Surveillance Priorities. The Committee calls on all members to work together cooperatively and with the Fund toward achieving the economic and operational objectives that it sets forth. The Committee calls on the Fund to press ahead with the enhanced early warning of risks and vulnerabilities, including through enhanced financial sector liaison, analysis of macro-financial linkages, and scenario analysis, and by completing the extension of its vulnerability exercise to advanced economies. The communication of these risks and vulnerabilities should be concise, authoritative, and timely, including through an enhanced World Economic Outlook and Global Financial Stability Report. Work should also be undertaken toward a reshaped Financial Sector Assessment Program that is better integrated with the Fund’s surveillance mandate, and embraces regional perspectives. The Committee looks forward to regular reporting by the Managing Director on the progress made against surveillance priorities.

**Reviewing the IMF’s Lending Role**

7. The Committee stresses that Fund financing has a critical role to play in giving confidence to members—subject to adequate safeguards—by helping them cope with the challenges of globalization in general and the current financial crisis in particular. It emphasizes that the Fund is ready to make full use of the flexibility already embodied in its lending instruments, particularly in the emergency procedures and provisions for exceptional access. But additional efforts are needed to review the
Fund’s lending instruments, which might need to be adapted to the evolving needs of the membership. The Committee welcomes the ongoing review of the Fund’s lending role, and supports the plan to advance work in the following five areas: (i) reviewing the analytical framework for Fund lending and its coherence, including the scope for innovation in and streamlining of lending instruments, and exploring new modalities for Fund financing; (ii) creating a new liquidity instrument; (iii) re-examining Fund conditionality; (iv) reviewing the Fund’s lending facilities for low-income members; and (v) increasing access limits and financing terms for using Fund resources. The Committee urges the Executive Board to take this agenda forward expeditiously. The Committee strongly recommends that decisions be taken on an accelerated basis in those areas where there is strong consensus and particular urgency—such as the establishment of a new liquidity instrument—and on the full range of issues by the time of the 2009 Annual Meetings.

The Santiago Principles—Generally Accepted Principles and Practices for Sovereign Wealth Funds

8. The Committee welcomes the development of the Santiago Principles by the International Working Group of Sovereign Wealth Funds (SWFs). The Principles represent a collaborative effort by SWFs from across advanced, emerging, and developing country economies to set out a comprehensive framework, providing a clearer understanding of the operations of SWFs. Their adoption on a voluntary basis signals strong commitment to the Principles and their implementation should further enhance the stabilizing role played by SWFs in the financial markets, and help maintain the free flow of cross-border investment. The Committee welcomes the intention of the International Working Group to consider establishing a Standing Group to keep the Principles under review and explore the scope for collecting and disseminating aggregated information on SWF operations. It emphasizes that continued Fund support, if requested, should be consistent with budgetary constraints. The Committee also stresses the importance of clear and nondiscriminatory policies by recipient countries toward SWF investments. It looks forward to the completion of the work of the OECD in this area, and encourages continued dialogue and coordination between the OECD and SWFs.

Other Issues

9. The Committee welcomes the approval by the Board of Governors of the Resolution on quota and voice reforms, including the amendment
of the Fund’s Articles of Agreement to enhance voice and participation in the Fund. It notes that this is an important first step toward a realignment of members’ quota and voting shares. These realignments are expected to result in increases in the quota shares of dynamic economies, and hence in the share of emerging market and developing economies as a whole. The Committee also looks forward to further work by the Executive Board on elements of the new quota formula that can be improved before the formula is used again. The Committee also welcomes the approval of the amendment broadening the Fund’s investment authority as part of the Fund’s new income model. The Committee urgently calls on all members to work toward the early completion of the domestic legislative steps required for making the quota and voice reforms and the Fund’s new income model effective.

10. The Committee recommends members’ acceptance of the amendment of the Articles of Agreement for a special one-time allocation of SDRs.

11. The Committee welcomes the ongoing re-assessment of the Fund’s governance. This involves the follow-up by the Fund’s Executive Board to the IEO Evaluation of Aspects of IMF Corporate Governance; the work of the committee of eminent persons on IMF governance reform, chaired by Mr. Trevor Manuel; and the engagement of civil society and other concerned audiences. The Committee underscores that governance reforms will require joint and collaborative efforts by all organs of the Fund. It looks forward to a progress report at its next meeting.

Annex

**Surveillance Priorities for the International Monetary Fund, 2008–2011** (adopted by the IMF Executive Board on October 7, 2008)

In pursuit of its mandate to promote international monetary and financial stability, IMF surveillance will be guided through 2011 by the following priorities:

**Economic priorities**

The global economy faces a period of severe financial distress and slower growth alongside the challenges of sharp commodity price changes and global imbalances. The following interrelated policy objectives will be key to return to an international environment more conducive to sustainable noninflationary growth:
• **Resolve financial market distress.** Restore stability and minimize the adverse impact of the current crisis in financial markets on the real economy;

• **Strengthen the global financial system** by upgrading domestic and cross-border regulation and supervision, especially in major financial centers, and by avoiding the exposure of capital-importing countries, including low-income countries, to excessive risks;

• **Adjust to sharp changes in commodity prices.** React to commodity price shifts in domestically appropriate and globally consistent ways, with emphasis on keeping inflationary pressures in check in boom phases and minimizing risks that could arise when prices fall;

• **Promote the orderly reduction of global imbalances** while minimizing adverse real and financial repercussions.

*In coordination with other International Financial Institutions, the IMF should promote a common understanding of the forces and linkages underlying these challenges; draw key lessons from different experiences to share across the membership; provide clear advance warnings of risks to global economic and financial stability; and advise on how best to use policy—in particular monetary, fiscal, exchange rate, and financial sector policies—in support of these objectives.*

**Operational priorities**

• **Risk assessment.** Refine the tools necessary to provide clear early warnings to members. Thorough analysis of major risks to baseline projections (including, where appropriate, high-cost tail risks) and their policy implications should become more systematic;

• **Financial sector surveillance and real-financial linkages.** Improve analysis of financial stability, including diagnostic tools; deepen understanding of linkages, including between markets and institutions; and ensure adequate discussion in surveillance reports;

• **Multilateral perspective.** Bilateral surveillance to be informed systematically by analysis of inward spillovers; outward spillovers (where relevant); and cross-country knowledge (as useful); and,

• **Analysis of exchange rates and external stability risks.** In the context of strengthening external stability analysis, integrate
clearer and more robust exchange rate analysis, underpinned by strengthened methodologies, into the assessment of the overall policy mix.

The Executive Board has set the above priorities to foster multilateral collaboration and guide IMF management and staff in the conduct of surveillance. These priorities look ahead three years, but may be revised if circumstances warrant. They will guide the Fund’s work within the framework for surveillance provided by the Articles of Agreement and the relevant Board decisions, including the 2007 Decision on Bilateral Surveillance. Moreover, traditional areas of strength (such as fiscal policy and debt sustainability analysis) and relevant country-specific issues should not be overlooked.

The Executive Board is responsible for conducting, guiding and evaluating surveillance in order to ensure the achievement of these priorities. Management and staff are responsible for delivering on the operational priorities, subject to members’ cooperation in line with commitments under the Articles of Agreement. To foster progress toward economic priorities, management and staff are responsible for providing candid high-quality analysis and effective communication. The Managing Director will report: (i) regularly on actions toward priorities and readily visible results; and (ii) at the time of the next Triennial Surveillance Review on progress in attaining these priorities; management’s and staff’s contributions; and factors that impeded progress.
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Summary Statement

The Development Committee met today, October 12, 2008, in Washington DC.

Our meeting took place at a critical time for the global economy, with financial markets experiencing unprecedented turmoil. Developing and transition countries (DTCs) - many of them already hit hard by current high prices for energy and essential foodstuffs - risk very serious setbacks to their efforts to improve the lives of their populations from any prolonged tightening of credit or sustained global slowdown. The poorest and most vulnerable groups risk the most serious – and in some cases permanent – damage.

Against this background, we endorse the commitments made yesterday at the International Monetary and Financial Committee.

We stressed that aid volumes need to be consistent with existing commitments and we called for full compliance with these commitments.

In support of these concerted actions, we called on the World Bank to join with the IMF in drawing on the full range of its resources - finance, analysis and advice - to help DTCs strengthen their economies, maintain growth, and protect the most vulnerable groups against the impact of the current crises.

The World Bank Group stands ready to help its partner countries:

- The Bank’s recently announced $1.2 billion rapid financing facility is providing immediate help for countries coping with the impact of high food prices on the poor, and already has $850 million approved or in the pipeline. We urge countries to consider making contributions to this fund.

- We encouraged the Bank and its partners to move forward with a planned new program – Energy for the Poor – that would...
provide rapid support for countries’ efforts to strengthen social
safety nets to protect the poor against the impact of high fuel
bills.

- IBRD has the financial capacity to comfortably double its annual
  lending to developing countries to meet additional demand from
  clients. IBRD lending was US$13.5 billion last fiscal year.
- We urged IFC to explore options for helping recapitalize banks
  in developing countries adversely affected by the global liquidity
  crisis, including the possibility of a fund.

We also supported longer-term efforts beyond the immediate crisis,
including:
- Expanded help for energy-deficit countries to improve energy
  efficiency and improve domestic production to reduce their
  vulnerability to future price shocks.
- Reforms designed to increase the World Bank’s responsiveness
  to its clients.
- A new strategic framework for the World Bank to play a
  stronger role in helping countries deal with the causes and
  effects of climate change, and the recent launch of the new
  Climate Investment Funds.

**Main Text**

1. We met in Washington DC today, Sunday, October 12, 2008.
2. We are concerned by the impact of the turmoil in world financial
   markets and the continued high prices of fuel and food. We welcomed
   member countries’ commitment to take comprehensive and cooperative
   measures to restore financial stability and the orderly functioning of
   credit markets. The World Bank Group (WBG) and the IMF must help
   address these critical challenges, in particular the impact on developing
   countries, and draw lessons from the current crises. It will be crucial to
   maintain a focus on support for sustainable growth, poverty reduction,
   and the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).
   We welcomed world leaders’ renewed commitment to the MDGs at the
   recent United Nations (UN) high-level event, and we reiterated the
   urgent need to forge a deepened global partnership at next month’s
   *Financing for Development Conference* in Doha.
3. Developing and transition countries (DTCs) could suffer serious
   consequences from any prolonged tightening of credit or sustained global
   slowdown. Prices for fuels and staple foods remain at high levels. This
   is pushing up inflation in many countries and worsening income
distribution, setting back progress towards meeting the MDGs, in particular the poverty and human development MDGs. Higher food and energy prices are also causing balance of payments problems, which are especially severe for low-income energy importing countries, many in Africa. We recognized that countries face difficult policy challenges, including dealing with the distributional effects of the commodity price shocks and protecting the most vulnerable groups with carefully targeted assistance, controlling inflation, and managing the shocks, while maintaining sound public finances and sustaining growth.

4. We recognized the important role of the DTCs in the global economy, and called on the international community, including the WBG and the IMF, working in a coordinated way, to draw on the full range of their financial, analytical and technical assistance resources and policy advice to help DTCs strengthen their economies and maintain growth, and protect the most vulnerable groups within their populations against the short and medium term impact of the current crises. Poorer countries, with their limited sources of fiscal revenue, will be especially dependent on timely and predictable flows of Official Development Assistance (ODA). In this regard, we emphasized the enhanced importance, in the current context, of donors meeting their ODA commitments. We especially appreciate the strong increase in overall WBG commitments to members in fiscal year 2008. We welcomed the WBG’s collaboration with the UN and other partners, particularly through the UN High Level Task Force on the Global Food Crisis. Supporting President Zoellick’s call for a New Deal for Global Food Policy, we welcomed the expedited financing provided through the Global Food Crisis Response Program and parallel efforts to increase substantially the level of WBG financial and analytical support for food and agriculture. We welcomed the progress on the Energy for the Poor initiative and encouraged the WBG, with the help of donors, to finalize the proposal. This will provide rapid assistance to social safety nets, and support projects to reduce countries’ longer-term vulnerability to high and volatile fuel prices. We also welcomed the IMF’s mobilization of the Poverty Reduction and Growth Facility (PRGF) in response to its members’ needs, and the recent reform of its Exogenous Shocks Facility, which provides for easier and more rapid access to concessional assistance in response to shocks.

5. The need to address these new global stresses adds to an already extensive agenda of critical issues confronting the international community. These include meeting the challenges embodied in the MDGs and providing the necessary funding for their achievement in a transparent and accountable way. ODA volumes need to be consistent with existing commitments and we called for full compliance with these
commitments. We also highlighted the role of domestic resources for development. We called for: continued attention to the sustainability and full delivery of debt relief initiatives; addressing issues of global public goods including climate change; supporting health delivery systems in developing countries and countering international health scourges including HIV-AIDS; promoting gender equality and the empowerment of women; and maintaining and building upon the system of open international markets, including completing the *Doha Development Round* and delivering increased aid for trade. These challenges are particularly acute in conflict-affected countries and those in fragile situations, where we need to step up our efforts at collaboration, knowledge-sharing and ensuring adequate and timely resources. We called upon the Bank to intensify its efforts to operate flexibly and effectively in fragile and post conflict situations, and we welcomed the upcoming signing of the *UN-World Bank Partnership Framework and Fiduciary Principles Accord for Crisis and Emergency Situations*. The above agenda serves as a reminder of the crucial importance of intensified international co-operation and multilateralism in effectively addressing shared global challenges.

6. In this context, we welcomed the endorsement of a substantive *Agenda for Action* at the *Accra High Level Forum on Aid Effectiveness* by a broad partnership including stakeholders engaged in South-South cooperation. We noted in particular the reinforced commitment to: mutual accountability; support for country ownership through capacity development and institution building and increased use of strengthened country systems; enhancing value for money; transparency and predictability of aid and its underlying conditions; and the reduction of aid fragmentation. We urged development partners including the WBG to develop action plans to implement the Accra Agenda for Action and look forward to seeing the Bank’s action plan before our next meeting.

7. In light of the new global challenges, we called on the Bank to urgently review the implications for its strategy and operations, and to articulate detailed objectives and actions. We asked management and the Board to work together to enhance Group synergy and make the Bank a more efficient, flexible, decentralized and client focused organization. We look forward to reviewing progress in this regard. We encouraged the Bank to complete its strategic review of IBRD’s capital.

8. We discussed and welcomed the strategic framework for the World Bank Group on *Development and Climate Change*. The framework benefited from extensive consultations with member countries and other stakeholders. It provides a basis for the WBG to fulfill its core mission of promoting economic growth and poverty reduction, at the global, regional and country levels, in the context of the challenges posed by
climate change. While re-emphasizing the primacy of the UNFCCC negotiation process, and taking account of the Bali Action Plan, we encouraged the WBG to support climate actions in country-led development processes in a holistic manner, and to customize support to climate change adaptation and mitigation efforts, as well as capacity building needs, in its member countries. Recognizing the enormous financial gap for addressing climate change, we encouraged the WBG to strengthen its resource mobilization efforts, including facilitating access to additional concessional financing, ensuring complementarity with other financing mechanisms (notably the Global Environment Facility and the Adaptation Fund), supporting the development of market-based financing mechanisms, leveraging private sector resources, and seizing opportunities for innovation. We encouraged the WBG to play an active role in supporting the development and deployment of clean and climate-resilient technologies, and facilitating relevant R&D and technology transfer. In this context we welcomed the recent successful launch of the Climate Investment Funds (CIF), including the Clean Technology Fund and the Strategic Climate Fund, as a positive first step, and called on the WBG to give increased attention to mobilizing resources for adaptation.

9. The package of reforms enhancing voice and participation of all developing and transition countries (DTCs) in WBG governance and work, brought forward by the Bank’s Board, addresses many aspects of voice and participation in light of the Monterrey Consensus. This is an important first step in the ongoing process of comprehensive reform. This package includes both concrete immediate steps and commitments to further work. An additional Board seat for Sub Saharan Africa on the Bank’s Board will be created. DTC voting shares in IBRD and IDA will increase, giving special emphasis to smaller members. Further realignment of Bank shareholding will be taken up by the Bank’s Board in an important shareholding review that will develop principles, criteria and proposals for Bank shareholding. The review will consider the evolving weight of all members in the world economy and other Bank specific criteria consistent with the WBG’s development mandate, moving over time towards equitable voting power between developed and developing members. The Board would develop proposals by the 2010 Spring Meeting and no later than the 2010 Annual Meetings, with a view to reaching consensus on realignment at the following meeting. There is considerable agreement on the importance of a selection process for the President of the Bank that is merit-based and transparent, with nominations open to all Board members and transparent Board consideration of all candidates. In addition, Bank Management has committed to continue enhancing diversity of management and staff and decentralizing decision-making. We asked the WBG’s Boards and
Management to take prompt action to implement this agreed first step. We look forward to the periodic reports on progress and future proposals for a subsequent realignment of Bank shareholding as part of comprehensive reform.

10. We welcomed the continuing work by the Board to review and further strengthen internal governance at the World Bank.

11. The Committee’s next meeting is scheduled for April 26, 2009 in Washington, DC.
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Islamic Republic of Afghanistan

Governor
Abdul Qadeer Fitrat
Alternate Governor
Muhebullah Safi
Advisor
Patrick K. Asea

Albania

Governor
Ardian Fullani
Alternate Governor
Sherefedin Shehu
Advisor
Xhentil Demiraz
Mimoza Vangjel Dhembi
Nezir Haldeda
Genci Mamani
Helena VAKO

Algeria

Governor
Mohammed Laksaci
Alternate Governor
Ali Touati
Advisor
Saíd Maherzi

Angola

Governor
Rui Miguens Oliveira
Alternate Governor
Arlete Candida F. Montetro
De Sousa
Advisor
Emanuel Maravilhoso Buchartts
Joaquim Flavio Couto
Ana Beatriz G. De Ceita Da Costa
Mauro Fonseca
Bernardo Lourenco
Lucombe Joaquim Luveia

Antigua and Barbuda

Governor
Errol Cort
Alternate Governor
Rasona E. Davis
Temporary Alternate Governor
Trevor Blake
Advisor
Deborah-Mae Lovell

Argentina

Alternate Governor
Martin Redrado
Temporary Alternate Governor
Hernan Lorenzino
Advisor
Pablo Andres Pereira
Miguel Angel Pesce
Hector R. Torres

Armenia

Governor
Nerses Yeritsyan
Alternate Governor
Arthur Javadyan
Advisor
Vache Gabrielyan
Tigran Sargsyan

Australia

Governor
Wayne Swan
Temporary Alternate Governor
Christopher Y. Legg

Mario Miguel
Amadeu Leitao Nunes
Celso Justino Pongolola
Antonio Manuel Ramos Da Cruz
Beatriz Ferreira de Andrade Santos
### Australia (continued)

**Advisor**  
Nicolas Keith Brown  
James Chalmers  
Matthew Coghlan  
Megan Doughty  
Simon James Duggan  
Keith M. Hall  
Michael J. Kooymans  
Chelsey Martin  
Steven Morling  
Richard Murray  
Kellie Olsen  
Susan Richards  
Andrew Thomas  
Christopher Thompson

### Austria

**Alternate Governor**  
Wolfgang Duchatczek  
**Temporary Alternate Governor**  
Isabella Lindner

**Advisor**  
Elisabeth Gruber  
Nella Hengstler  
Johann Prader  
Wolfgang Renezeder  
Andreas Riecken  
Andreas Somogyi  
Raluca Tanasescu

### Azerbaijan

**Alternate Governor**  
Ilqar Fatizada  
**Temporary Alternate Governor**  
Famil Ismayilov

**Advisor**  
Azar Alasgarov  
Yashar Aliyev  
Rashad Orujov  
Ulvi Fuad Seyidzade  
Ashraf Shikhaliyev

### The Bahamas

**Governor**  
Hubert A. Ingraham  
**Alternate Governor**  
Wendy Craig

**Temporary Alternate Governor**  
Colin Higgs

**Advisor**  
Jerry Butler  
Paul Feeney  
Rhoda M. Jackson  
Chet Neymour  
Carl Oliver  
Cornelius F. Smith  
Sharon Turner  
Simon D. Wilson

### Kingdom of Bahrain

**Governor**  
Ahmed Bin Mohammed Al-Khalifa

**Alternate Governor**  
Rasheed Mohamed Al-Maraj

**Advisor**  
Kamal Ahmed  
Salman Al Khalifa  
Ismaeel Abdulnabi Ismaeel  
Al-Marhoon  
Farraah Duff  
Stephen Harrison  
Jacob Kristiansen  
Houda Ezra Nonoo

### Bangladesh

**Governor**  
A.B. Mirza Md. Azizul Islam

**Alternate Governor**  
Salehuddin Ahmed

**Advisor**  
Mohammad Tareque

### Barbados

**Governor**  
Darcy Boyce

**Alternate Governor**  
Marion Williams

**Advisor**  
Harold E. Codrington  
Donna Forde  
Kevin C.D. Greenidge  
Michael Ian King
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Governor</th>
<th>Alternate Governor</th>
<th>Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>Yury Mikhailovich Alymov</td>
<td>Vadim Sergeevich Misyukovets</td>
<td>Andrei Jumkou, Oleg Kravchenko, Oleg Morozov, Mikhail V. Nikitsenka, Oleg Popov, Sergei Roumas, Alexandr Rutkovsky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temporary Alternate Governor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Belarus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guy Quaden</td>
<td>Jean-Pierre Arnoldi</td>
<td>Willy Kiekens, Stephane J.M.E B. Rottier, Dirk Slaats, Dominique Struye de Swielande</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternate Governor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marcel A. de Souza</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abel Agbebleo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nounagnon Aristide Djidjoho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Babatunde Mohamed GADO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Martin Nani Gbedey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyrille Oguin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hermann Orou Takou</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Belgium</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raul Garron Claure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raul S. Mendoza Patino</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Bosnia and Herzegovina</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dragran Vrankic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternate Governor</td>
<td>Azra Hadziahmetovic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Viktoslav Bevanda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kemal Causevic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mila Gadzic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adnan Hadrovic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haris Htijarevic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Donko Jovic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kemal Kozaric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sveto Miletic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lejla Simon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miroslav Tomic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biseru Turkovic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ljubisa Vladusic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Benin</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linah K. Mohohlo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternate Governor</td>
<td>Taufila Nyamadzabo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ita Mary Mannathoko</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andrew Maatla Motsomi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geoffrey Ncube</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Brazil</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guido Mantega</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternate Governor</td>
<td>Ronaldo Malagoni de A. Cavalcante</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temporary Alternate Governor</td>
<td>Marcos Galvao</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Henrique de Campos Meirelles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Paulo Nogueira Batista, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antonio de Aguiar Patriota</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maria Isabel Rezende Aboim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leandro Martins Alves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pompeu Andreucci Neto</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fernanda Arraes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Brazil (continued)
Luis Antonio Balduino
Osanan Lima Barros Filho
Paulo Henrique Braga da Silva
Rodrigo Cabral
Marco Aurelio Cardoso
Luciano Galvao Coutinho
Jose Pedro Fachada Silva
Joao Carlos Ferraz
Marcelo E. Fiche
Eduardo Fingerl
Ives Fulber
Admilson Garcia
Renata Graf
Artur Cardoso de Lacerda
Rogerio Lot
Maria Elisa Rabello Maia
Sandro Marcondes
Jose Carlos Miranda
Ivan Monteiro
Ricardo de Moraes Monteiro
Helio Mori
Sergio Nazare
Alvaro Luiz Vereda Oliveira
Alexandre P. Rego
Paulo Sampaio
Carlos Henrique Moojen de Abreu e Silva
Ramiro Alves da Silva
Luis Gustavo Mansur Siqueira
Paulo Fontoura Valle
Carlos Augusto Vidotto
Svetlana Dimitrova Panova
Elena Poptodorova
Nikolay Volov
Victor Ivanov Yotzov

Burkina Faso
Governor
Lucien Marie Noel Bembamba
Alternate Governor
Moumounou Gnankambary
Temporary Alternate Governor
Bolo Sanou
Advisor
Issa Benjamin Baguiyan
Boureima Balima
Marie Didier Francois
Gyengani Zakaria

Burundi
Governor
Gaspard Sindayigaya
Alternate Governor
Spes Bibara
Advisor
Edouard Bizimana
Donatien Bwabo
Beatrice Hamenyayo
Pamphile Muderega
Desire Musharitse
Jacques Ngendukumana
Nicodeme Nimenya
Dieudonne Nintunze
Celestin Niyongabo
Bonaventure Sota

Cambodia
Governor
Chea Chanto
Alternate Governor
Neav Chanthana
Advisor
Serey Chea
Nguon Sokha
Nay Im Tal
Saphear Thai

Brunei Darussalam
Governor
Abdul Rahman Ibrahim
Temporary Alternate Governor
Ali Apong
Advisor
Roselan Daud

Bulgaria
Governor
Ivan Iskrov
Advisor
Konstantina Kostova
Eleonora Nikolaeva Nikolova
Ianka Vitanova Paliova
Cameroon

_Governor_
Essimi Menye

_Alternate Governor_
Mani Jean-Marie Benoit

_Advisor_
Philibert Andzembe
Aminou Basso
Georges Diffo Nghtiopop
Didier Edoa
Blaise Essomba Ngoula
Joseph Bienvenu Foe Atangana
Nazaire Fotso Ndefo
Idriss Ahmed Idriss
Yves Sererin Kamgna
Barthelemy Kouezo
Bela Lazare
Joachim Lema Okili
Rene T. Mbappou Edjenguene
Lucie Mbot Fouda
Armel Mbouloukoue
Gregoire Mebada Mebada
Alphonse Ngakko
Francois Ngoubene
Michelin NJOH
Edith Strafort Pedie
Alexandre Renamy-Lriot
Jean Tchoffo

Steve Pugliese
Pierre St-Amant
Jim Haley
Alanna Heath
Paul Jenkins
Julian Karaguesian
Shawn Ladd
Michael Wilson

Cape Verde

_Governor_
James Michael Flaherty

_Alternate Governor_
Mark Carney

Temporary Alt. Governor
Antoine Brunelle Cote
Brendan Carley
Adam Chambers
John Davies
Nathalie Gauthier
Jeremy Harrison
Laird Hindle
Michael Horgan
Brigid Janssen
Anna Kwik
Tiff Macklem
Steven McLaren
John D. Murray
Chisholm Pothier

Cristina Duarte
Carlos Augusto Duarte de Burgo

Central African Republic

_Governor_
Emmanuel Bizot

_Alternate Governor_
Florence Limbio

Advisor
Assane Abdalla Kadre
Albert Besse
Marie Laure Dengou
Dokossi-Nozikoum
Honore Mbaye

Chad

_Governor_
Gata Ngoulou

_Alternate Governor_
Christian Mornonde Ngardoum

Advisor
Mahamat Ali Adoum

Chile

_Governor_
Jose De Gregorio

_Alternate Governor_
Fernando Leonardo Hernandez

Temporary Alt. Governor
Pablo Garcia

Advisor
Alvaro Andres Rojas Olmedo
Aleandra Rozas
Cristian Salinas
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>People's Republic of China</th>
<th>Democratic Republic of the Congo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Governor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Governor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gang Yi</td>
<td>Jean-Claude Masangu Mulongo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alternate Governor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Alternate Governor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jin Zhong Xia</td>
<td>Athanase Matenda Kyelu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporary Alternate Governor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Advisor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mingyou BAO</td>
<td>Chiriji Celestin Chiza Chiva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huayong Ge</td>
<td>Zola Diambuana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jianxiong He</td>
<td>Diego Donné Essimbo Numayemé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teng Lin Seng</td>
<td>Manu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advisor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Advisor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sau San Chan</td>
<td>Makiadi Ephem Ghonda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jing Chen</td>
<td>Genevieve Inagosi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhong HUA</td>
<td>Jean Claude Kabongo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiyan LI</td>
<td>Kayembe Kayembe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hui Li</td>
<td>Blaise Kiangala Ntemulente</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ran Lin</td>
<td>Camille Ko'sisaka Nkombe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ye Liu</td>
<td>Firmin EyOlanga Koto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuyin LIU</td>
<td>Ntahwa Kuderwa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changchun MU</td>
<td>Pierre Le Beller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fang Yang</td>
<td>Lobot Yaondjo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JieHan Yang</td>
<td>Numbi Lumilila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur Kwok-hang YUEN</td>
<td>Paul Luwansangu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yung Henry Chi Wai</td>
<td>Mapon Matata Ponyo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hui Zhao</td>
<td>Faida Mitifu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu Fu Zheng</td>
<td>Joseph Mukania Kabwe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuling ZHENG</td>
<td>Tambo A. Kabila Mukendi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pongola Honore Mulangu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kashama Mkoy Mulossa Dede</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jean-Claude Nachega</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tadi Lewa Ndongala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nzinga Vincent Ngonga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mawakani Samba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jean Sefu-Idonge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jose Sele Yalaghuli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tshamala Serge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Felicien Tshibangu Luamuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moise Tshimenga Tshibangu wa Bilenga Tshishimbi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colombia</th>
<th>Republic of Congo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Governor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Governor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose Dario Uribe Escobar</td>
<td>Pacifique Issoibeka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alternate Governor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Alternate Governor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan Pablo Zarate</td>
<td>Mathias Dzon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporary Alternate Governor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Advisor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Ines Agudelo</td>
<td>Lipipi Catherin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolina Barco</td>
<td>Serge Mombouli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan Jose Echavarria Soto</td>
<td>Yuri Tadesse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose Tolosa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonardo G. Villar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advisor</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose Triana</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comoros</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Governor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Governor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Ali Soilihi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alternate Governor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Advisor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alamadi Abdoulbastoi</td>
<td>Lipipi Catherin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serge Mombouli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yuri Tadesse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Costa Rica

Advisor
Alvaro Umana Quesada

Cote d’Ivoire

Governor
Koffi Charles Diby
Alternate Governor
Kablan Yao Sahi
Temporary Alternate Governor
Henri Philippe Dacoury-Tabley
Advisor
Charles Koffi
Jeanne Kouame-Foldah
Yehouan Anatole Tohougbe

Croatia

Governor
Boris Vujcic
Alternate Governor
Relja Martic
Advisor
Michael Faulend
Tomislav Galac
Marijan Gubic
Adolf Matejka

Cyprus

Governor
Athanasios Orphanides
Alternate Governor
Spyros G. Stavrinakis
Advisor
Andreas Kakouris
Georgios A. Kyriacou
Yannis Michaelides
Lia Riri

Czech Republic

Governor
Zdenek Tuma
Alternate Governor
Petr Prochazka
Advisor
Stanislav Polak

Denmark

Governor
Jens Thomsen
Temporary Alternate Governor
Benny Andersen
Per Callesen
Advisor
Michael Joerholm
Katrine Graabaek Mogensen

Djibouti

Governor
Djama Mahamoud Haid
Alternate Governor
Ahmed Osman Ali

Dominica

Alternate Governor
Jennifer Nero
Temporary Alternate Governor
Laurel Bain

Dominican Republic

Governor
Pedro Ramon Silverio Alvarez
Alternate Governor
Joel Tejeda
Advisor
Julio C. Estrella
Ana Beatriz Rodriguez
Daniel Toribio

Ecuador

Governor
Maria Elsa Viteri Acuiturri
Advisor
Luis Gallegos
Renato Eduardo Perez Davalos
Xavier Santillan

Arab Republic of Egypt

Governor
Youssef Boutros-Ghali
Advisor
Wafa Fahmi Abdelati
Tamer M. Ali
Arab Republic of Egypt (continued)

Rania Al-Mashat
Hany Kadry Dimian
Asmaa Adel ElGanainy
Amina Mahmoud Hafez Ghanem
Serf Kandeel
Nagwa Samir Riad
A. Shakour Shaalan
Hassan Tantawy

El Salvador
Alternate Governor
Luz Maria Serpas de Portillo
Advisor
Nelly Lacayo Anderson

Equatorial Guinea
Governor
Estanislao Don Malavo
Alternate Governor
Mariola Bindang Obiang
Advisor
Miguel Engonga
Job Obiang Esono Mbengono
Agustin Locri-Bisquit
Luis Ondo Obono

Eritrea
Governor
Ghirmai Ghebremariam
Advisor
Gebreselassie Y. Tesfamichael

Estonia
Governor
Andres Lipstok
Temporary Alternate Governor
Aare Jarvan
Mart Kivine
Tanel Ross
Andres Sutt
Advisor
Ulo Kaasik
Maris Leemets
Mari Tarum-Seilenthal

Ethiopia
Governor
Atanfu Teklewold
Temporary Alternate Governor
Gebreyesus Guntie
Advisor
Habba Haaleo Addisu
Demissie Dejene Bedanie
E. Getachewu Gizaw
Elias Madebo
Gebre-ad Newaye-Christos
Yewondowssen Teshome Tesema
Eyob Tekalign Tolina

Fiji
Governor
Sada Sivan Reddy
Temporary Alternate Governor
Frazine Agnes Dutta
Advisor
Filimone Waqabaca

Finland
Governor
Erkki Liikanen
Temporary Alternate Governor
Velipekka Numnikoski
Advisor
Inkeri Hirvensalo
Janne Akseli Hukka
Olli-Pekka Lehmussaari
Kaija-Leena Rikkonen

France
Governor
Christine Lagarde
Alternate Governor
Christian Noyer
Advisor
David Appia
Gerard Belet
Jerome Cachau
Yves Censi
Genevieve Chedeville-Murray
Benoit Michel Claveranne
Benoit Coeure
Sonia Criseo
Aude de Amorim
France (continued)
Isabelle Deleu  
Alain Demarolle  
Corinne Dromer  
Bertrand Marie Jean Dumont  
Ambroise Sixte Armel Francois Fayolle  
Francois Giovalucchi  
Antoine Gobelet  
Herve Gonsard  
Pierre Jaillet  
Muriel Jakubowicz  
Frederick Jeske-Schonhoven  
Kacim Kellal  
Regis Koetschet  
Amina Lahreche  
Jean-Pierre Landau  
Marc Laurier  
Frederic Lefebvre  
Emmanuel Lenain  
Roland Lomme  
Francois Marion  
Raphael Martinez  
Christian Masset  
Marc Mertillo  
Emmanuel Moulin  
Danielle Noirclerc-Schoenberg  
Elizabeth Peri  
Cyrille Pierre  
Jean-Guillaume Poulain  
Caroline Regis  
Julien Rencki  
Luc Rigouzzo  
Jean-Michel Severino  
Marc-Olivier Strauss-Kahn  
Agnès Surry  
Bruno Sylvestre  
Luis Vassy  
Pierre Vimont  
Jean-Patrick Yanitch  

Vanessa Bongo  
Carlos Bounoug  
Symphorien Engone  
Sonia Melissa Kwaou  
Michele C. Lamarche  
Huguette Moussodou M.  
Jean Philippe Ndong Biyogho

The Gambia
Governor  
Momodou Bamba Saho  
Alternate Governor  
Aliu M. Ngum  
Advisor  
Abdoulie Jallow  
Bua Saidy

Georgia
Alternate Governor  
Nika Gilauri  
Advisor  
David Amaglobeli  
Archil Mestvirishvili

Germany
Governor  
Axel A. Weber  
Alternate Governor  
Peer Steinbrueck  
Temporary Alternate Governor  
Steffen Meyer  
Wolfgang Moerke  
Hermann Remsperger  
Klaus D. Stein  
Rolf Wenzel  
Advisor  
Karlheinz Bischofberger  
Enrico Brandt  
Carsten Hermann Johann Brinkmann  
Christian G. Burckhardt  
Helmut Burghause  
Christian Dahlhaus  
Leo Dautzenberg  
Antonette Debus  
Christoph Ludwig Denk  
Anne Deter  
Klaus Flosbach

Gabon
Governor  
Herve Nze Nong  
Alternate Governor  
Regis Immongault  
Advisor  
Yolande Assele Ebinda  
Alba Biffot
Germany (continued)
Michael Freytag
Otto Fricke
Doris E. Grimm
Soenke Hansen
Stephan Hilsberg
Bernd Kaltenhaeuser
Manfred Kolbe
Andreas Mitschke
Juergen Morhard
Heike Maria Nortmann
Michael Offer
Hans Reckers
Andrea Maria Margareta Rieck
Martin Roesch
Thilo Sarrazin
Klaus Scharloth
Thomas Schellbauer
Anna Schiller
Ines Schlotter
Carsten Schneider
Jeanette Schwanberger
Sabine Seidler
Gerhard Sennlaub
Matthias Sonn
Jorg-Otto Spiller
Gerald Steininger
Wolfgang Strengmann-Kuhn
Hubert Temmeyer
Gabriela Treess
Simone Virolka
Christian von Kienlin
Bernd Walter
Klaus-Peter Willsch

Alternate Governor
George Sfakianakis
Temporary Alternate Governor
Ioannis Ppathanassiou
Advisor
Charalambos Dimitriou
John Economides
Omiros Emmanouilidis
Karolos Gadi
Nikolaos Keranis
Konstantinos Kolias
Alexandros Mallias
Markella Eleonora Mantika
Emmanouela Markoglou
Spyros P. Papanicolaou
Panagiotis A. Pliatsikas
Panagiotis Varagkis
Miranda Xafa

Grenada
Governor
Christopher Jules De Riggs

Guatemala
Governor
Maria Antonieta Del Cid de Bonilla
Alternate Governor
Sergio Recinos
Temporary Alternate Governor
Jose Ricardo Barrientos
Advisor
Eduardo Garrido
Johny Rubelcy Gramajo Marroquin
Ivar Romero

Guinea
Governor
Ousmane Dore
Alternate Governor
Daouda Bangoura
Advisor
Madikaba Camara
Bintou Conde
Alpha Oumar Diakite
Abdoulaye Diallo
Kalidou Diallo

Greece
Governor
George Alogoskoufis
Guinea (continued)
Mohamed Diare
Fatoumata Diop
Mory K. Kaba
Abraham Richard Kamano
Saoudatou Sow
Facinet Sylla
Sekou Traore

Guinea-Bissau
Governor
Issufo Sanha
Alternate Governor
Joao Aladje Mamadu Fadia
Advisor
Vasco Da Silva
Gabriela A. Fernandes GOMES
Romao Lopes Varela, Jr.
Marcellino Vaz

Guyana
Governor
Ashni Singh
Alternate Governor
Lawrence T. Williams

Haiti
Governor
Charles Castel
Temporary Alternate Governor
Fritz Duroseau
Advisor
Ketleen Florestal
Ronald Gabriel
Edwige Jean
Raymond Alcide Joseph
Romel Troissou

Honduras
Governor
Edwin Araque Bonilla
Alternate Governor
Belinda de Martinez
Advisor
Carlos Avila
Guillermo Bueso
Karla Enamorado

Orlando Garner
Erica Narvaez
Roque Rivera
Manuel Rodriguez

Hungary
Alternate Governor
Almos Kovacs
Temporary Alternate Governor
Julia Kiraly
Advisor
Istvan Abel
Laszlo Buzas
Agnes Ilsinszki
Gyorgy Kopits

Iceland
Governor
Arni M. Mathiesen
Temporary Alternate Governor
Lilja Dogg Alfredsdottir
Advisor
Sigrun Olafsdottir
Bjoern Gunnar Olafsson
Sigmundur Sigurgeirsson
Thorsteinn Thorgeirsson

India
Alternate Governor
Duvvuri Subbarao
Temporary Alternate Governor
Adarsh Kishore
Madhusudan Prasad
Arvind Virmani
Advisor
Bhupinder Singh Bhalla
Subrahmanyam Bhamidipati
Navin Kumar Choudhary
Krishnamurti Damodaran
Raminder Jassal
Saranyan Krishnan
Rakesh Mohan
Deepak Mohanty
Maddirala Nagaraju
Vishal Nair
R.K. Pattnaik
Partha Ray
Ranendra SEN
India (continued)

Vediappa Senthil
Shyamala Shukla
Jawed Usmani

Indonesia

Governor
Boediono
Alternate Governor
Rahmat Waluyanto
Advisor
Juda Agung
Aida Budiman
Lucky Fathul Hadibrata
Erwin Haryono
Peter Jacobs
Dicky Kartikoyono
Sudjadjnan Parnohadiningrat
Hartadi A. Sarwono
Shinta Respati Indianingrum
Sokero
Perry Warjiyo
Dwi Mukti Wibowo

Islamic Republic of Iran

Governor
Reza RAEI
Alternate Governor
Tahmaseb Mazaheri-Khorzani
Advisor
Mohammad Hossein Ghassemi
Jafar Mojarad
Seyed Assadollah Monajemi
Hefzollah Soltanmohammadi

Iraq

Governor
Sinan Al-Shabibi
Alternate Governor
Azez Jafar Hassan
Advisor
Kadhim Al-Eyad
Ban Jamal Fatoohi
Ahmed Ibrahi
Mudhir Mohammed Salih Kasim

Ireland

Governor
Martin Mansergh
Temporary Alternate Governor
Thomas Anthony Grimes
Carmel Keane
Marianne Nolan
Stephen O’Sullivan
Michael J. Somers
Advisor
Michael Collins
Adrian J. Kearns
Suzanne Mitchell
Thomas Whelan

Israel

Alternate Governor
Zvi Eckstein
Temporary Alternate Governor
Karmit Flug
Advisor
Ran Alon
Zvi Chalamish
Yoav Friedmann
Jerome Netter
Rivka Talmor
Asaf Vitman

Italy

Governor
Giulio Tremonti
Temporary Alternate Governor
Ignazio Visco
Advisor
Paola Ansuini
Carlo Baldacci
Stefano Beltrame
Perluigi Bologna
Dante Brandi
Maria Cannata
Sebastiano Cardi
Giovanni Castellenata
Giuseppe Cipollone
Valerio Crispolti
Luigi Di Santo
Gianandrea Falchi
Luca Ferrari
Francesco Galietti
Italy (continued)
Giorgio Gomel
Vittorio Grilli
Giorgio Leccesi
Silvia Limoncini
Giandomenico Magliano
Francesca Manno
Marco Martella
Massimiliano Mazzanti
Edoardo Pucci
Arrigo Sadun
Francesco Spadafora
Basilio Antonio Toth
Francesca Valeri
Carlo Villanacci
Vincenzo Zezza

Jamaica
Governor
Audley Shaw
Temporary Alternate Governor
Donald George Wehby
Advisor
Richard Bernal
Sharon A. Crooks
Franz Hall
Anthony Johnson
Sharon Miller
Murna Morgan
Darlene Marie Morrison
John Robinson
Robert Stennett

Japan
Governor
H.E. Shoichi Nakagawa
Alternate Governor
Masaaki Shirakawa
Temporary Alternate Governor
Mitsuhiko Furusawa
Akinari Horii
Daisuke Kotegawa
Daikichi Momma
Takehiko Nakao
Toru Shikibu
Naoyuki Shinohara
Masato Watanabe
Advisor
Yuichi Adachi
Shuhei Aoki
Toshinori Doi
Daiho Fujii
Koki Harada
Hajime Hayashi
Naoki Hikota
Satoshi Ikeda
Yoichiro Ikeda
Nobuyuki Imamura
Akihiro Ino
Takamitsu Ishii
Tetsuro Ito
Takeshi Kato
Daisaku Kihara
Michihiro Kishimoto
Takayuki Kobayashi
Susumu Matsumoto
Ken Matsushita
Takashi Miura
Naruki Mori
Tokio Morita
Takaaki Nomoto
Takuya Nomura
Risa Ohkawa
Kenji Okamura
Shinsuke Okawa
Yoshihito Saito
Wataru Sakata
Rie Shimizu
Hitoshi Shimura
Yoko Shinagawa
Yoshihiro Sugimoto
Kenji Suwazono
Shinji Suzuki
Atsushi Tajima
Hiroshi Takami
Yasuo Takamura
Rintaro Tamaki
Masaru Tanaka
Aiko Toyama
Akihiro Tsuchiya
Yasusuke Tsukagoshi
Atsushi Uchida
Koji Uemura
Motofumi Umemura
Aichihiro Yamamoto
Takashi Yamamoto
Hiromi Yamaoka
Japan (continued)
Tatsuo Yamasaki
Akihiko Yoshida
Tomoyuki Yoshida

Jordan
Alternate Governor
Umayya Toukan
Advisor
Zaid Raad Al-Hussein
Kholod Saqqaf
Sam Abdallah Toghoz
Essa Saleh Yasein

Kazakhstan
Temporary Alternate Governor
Daniyar Akishev
Advisor
Arken Arystanov
Elena Bakhmutova
Erbol Bekmurza
Dastan Eleuenov
Olzhas Issabekov
Anuar Kurzhikayev
Aigul Moldabekova
Galymzhan Nurmagambetov
Anar M. Omarova
Daulet Orynbayev
Daulet Sovetovich Saudabayev

Kenya
Governor
Njuguna Ndung’U
Alternate Governor
Nicholas Arap Korir
Advisor
Florence Abonyo
Galma M. Boru
Christopher Wachira Gacicio
Peter Gakunu
Rachel Kemunto Gesami
Philip Kituti Kaloki
John Kamau
James Mwangi Kiiru
Jackson Kinyanjui
Peter Macharia
Washington Jakoyo Midiwo
John Muya

Beatrice Ngeo
Justus Nyamunga
H.E. Peter N.R.O. Ogego
Chrysanthis Barnabas Okemo
Raphael Owino Otieno
Seif Suleiman

Kiribati
Governor
Natan Teewe
Alternate Governor
Terieta Mwemwenikeaki

Republic of Korea
Governor
Man Soo Kang
Alternate Governor
Seongtae Lee
Temporary Alternate Governor
Je-Yoon Shin
Advisor
Dongkoo Chang
Taek-Kyu Chang
Jae Hoon Choi
Jae-Hyuk Choi
Sang Mok Choi
So Young Choi
Namki Hong
Byoungha Hwang
Han Chul Jang
Beomseok Kim
Kyu Ok Kim
Myung Kee Kim
Seung Tae Kim
Donghun Lee
Jeong Wook Lee
Kangone Lee
Seong-Young Lee
Suk Kwon Na
Eun-Suk Park
Hyun-Woo Park
Wonshik Park
Jin Hong Rim
Sangpyo Suh
Keun Man Yook
Jong-Won Yoon
Tae Sik Yoon
Kyong Hwa Yu
Kuwait

Governor
Bader Mohamed Al-Saad
Advisor
Sami Husain Al Anbaee

Kyrgyz Republic

Governor
Marat O. Alapaev
Temporary Alternate Governor
Gulmira Shakirova
Advisor
Sadriddin Djenbekov
Zamira Sydykova

Lao People's Democratic Republic

Governor
Somphao Phaysith
Alternate Governor
Panom Lathouly
Advisor
Soulysak Thamnuvong

Latvia

Governor
Ilmars Rimsevics
Alternate Governor
Martins Bicevskis
Advisor
Kaspars Abolins
Martins Bitans
Inguna Gulbe
Edmunds Krastins
Juris Kralalis
Irena Krumane
Andris Ruselis
Raivo Vanags
Inta Vasaraudze

Lebanon

Governor
Riad Toufic Salameh
Advisor
Majida Abdul Khalek
Raja Abou Asli
Faysal Abou Zaki
Francois Semaan Bassil

Hazar Caracalla
Mohamad Cheaib
Maya Samir Choueiri
Raya El-Hassan
Samir Fouad El-Khouri
Sami Haddad
Marianne Houwayek
Anwar Ali Jammal
Shadi Karam
Adnan Kassar
Khaled Kassar
Sami Sfeir

Lesotho

Governor
Timothy T. Thahane
Alternate Governor
Moeketsi Senoaana
Advisor
Mosito Nicholas Khethisa
Nthoateng Cecilia Lebona
Leonia 'Moi Lephoto
Manong Lesoma
Habofande Augustinus Makopela
Malcolm Murray
H.E. David Mohlomi Rantekoa
Monaheng Seleteng
Moepi Sematlane

Liberia

Governor
Augustine Kpehe Ngafuan
Alternate Governor
J. Mills Jones
Advisor
Natty B. Davis
Charles Sirleaf
Boimah Taylor
Dabah Varpilah

Socialist People's Libyan Arab Jamahiriya

Alternate Governor
Abdallah Ali Khalifa
Advisor
Nagia Meflah BOUAZZI
Abdullatif Abdulhafiz El-Kib
Socialist People’s Libyan Arab Jamahiriya (continued)

Abubaker Mahmoud Elmeddehem
Abdulfatah Sghayer Ghaffar
Mohammed Layas

Lithuania

Governor
Reinoldijus Sarkinas
Alternate Governor
Rolandas Krisciunas
Advisor
Darius Abazorius
Jurgita Kazlauskaite
Justas Minkevicius
Audrius Zelionis

Luxembourg

Governor
Jean-Claude Juncker
Alternate Governor
Yves Mersch
Advisor
Marc Bichler
Jerome Hamilius
Georges Heinrich
Serge Kolb
Patrick Marquart
Miguel Marques-Gomes
Dirk Mevis
Guy Schuller
Jean-Louis Siweck
Sandra Thein

Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia

Governor
Zoran Stavreski
Alternate Governor
Emilija Nacevska
Advisor
Anita Angelovska Bezoska
Jasminia Cvetanoska
Arlinda Idrizi
Nadica Kostoska
Aneta Krshevksa
Natasa Stojmanovska
Goran Trajkovski

Madagascar

Governor
Haja Nirina Razafinjatovo
Alternate Governor
Frederick Rasamoelio
Advisor
Honore Randrianarison
Sylvia Monique Rasaroilala
Christian Guy Detriga
Rasololamanana

Malawi

Governor
Victor Mbewe
Alternate Governor
Randson Pillillan Mwadiwa
Advisor
Wilson Toninga Banda
Singano Dalitso Kabambe
Jane Kambalame
Perks Master Ligoya
Rhino Mchenga
Kenna Mphondo
Hawa Olga Ndilowe
Elias E. Ngalande
Levie Jeremiah Sato
Rabson Shaba
Ted Sitima-wina

Malaysia

Governor
Wan Abdul Aziz Wan Abdullah
Alternate Governor
Zeti Akhtar Aziz
Advisor
Suaimi Ali
Ismail Bin Alowi
Adnan Zaylani Mohd Zahid
Narayanan Raman
Wan Mohd Nazri Wan Osman

Maldives

Governor
Abdulla Jihad
Alternate Governor
Abdul Hameed Mohamed
Mali

**Governor**
Abou-Bakar Traore

**Alternate Governor**
Sidi Almocitar Oumar BA

**Advisor**
Abdoulaye Daffe
Abdoulaye Diop
Mariam Konate
Assitan Kouyate
Mohamed Ouzouma Maiga
Abdoulaye Toure
Aboubacar Alhouesseyni Toure
Hawaye Toure
Idrissa Traore
Boubacar Sidiki Walbani

**Alternate Governor**
Miguel Messmacher

**Temporary Alternate Governor**
Alfonso Humberto Guerra de Luna

**Advisor**
Guillermo Babatz Torres
Jose Martin Garcia
Javier Guzman Calafell
Luis Jimenez
Roberto Marino
Antonio Ortiz Mena

Malta

**Governor**
Michael C. Bonello

**Temporary Alternate Governor**
Alfred DeMarco

**Advisor**
Lino Briguglio
Mark Miceli

**Marshall Islands**

**Governor**
Jefferson Barton

**Alternate Governor**
Jemi Nashion

**Mauritius**

**Governor**
Radhakrishna Chellapermal

**Alternate Governor**
Rundheersing Bheenick

**Advisor**
Dhanandjay Goboodun
Denise Chin Ying Lan Hing Po
Joyker Nayeck
Gowreesangharsing Rajpati
Keerteecoomar Ruhee

**Mexico**

**Governor**
Guillermo Ortiz

**Alternate Governor**
Miguel Messmacher

**Temporary Alternate Governor**
Alfonso Humberto Guerra de Luna

**Advisor**
Guillermo Babatz Torres
Jose Martin Garcia
Javier Guzman Calafell
Luis Jimenez
Roberto Marino
Antonio Ortiz Mena

**Federated States of Micronesia**

**Governor**
Finley S. Perman

**Alternate Governor**
Evelyn Adolph

**Moldova**

**Governor**
Leonid Talmaci

**Temporary Alternate Governor**
Victor Cibotaru

**Advisor**
Nicolae Chirtoaca

**Mongolia**

**Governor**
Batsaikhan Namkhai

**Alternate Governor**
Oyunchimeg Orosso

**Advisor**
Ochirbat Batsaikhan
Davaaasuren Damdinsuren
Nyamaa Tumenbayar

**Montenegro**

**Governor**
Ljubisa Krgovic

**Alternate Governor**
Nikola Fabris

**Advisor**
Svetlana Cerovic
Dusan Perovic
Ivan Petrovic
Gordana Radovic
Radica Zekovic
Zarko Zivkovic
Morocco

Governor
Abdellatif Jouahri
Temporary Alternate Governor
Karim El Aynaoui
Advisor
El Hadi Chaibainou
Mohammed Dairi
Anis El Youssoufi
Khalid Guelzim
Abdelali Jbili
Aziz Mekouar
Mounir Razki

Mozambique

Governor
Manuel Chang
Alternate Governor
Antonio Fernando Laice
Advisor
Antonio Pinto de Abreu
Armando A. Panguene
Luis Sitoe
Jose Alves Amad Sulemane

Myanmar

Governor
Than Nyein
Alternate Governor
Daw Ommar Sein

Namibia

Governor
Saara Kuugongelwa-Amadhila
Alternate Governor
Thomas K. Alweendo
Advisor
Patrick Nandago
Cecilia Ndishishi
Ngurimuye Ebson Uanguta

Nepal

Governor
Krishna Bahadur Manandhar
Alternate Governor
Krishna Gyawali
Advisor
Suresh Chandra Chalise
Sushil R. Mathema
Trilochan Pangeri

The Netherlands

Governor
A.H.E.M. Wellink
Alternate Governor
R. Gerritse
Temporary Alternate Governor
Age F.P. Bakker
Aerdt C.F.J. Houben
Rudolf Treffers
Martinus Verwey
Advisor
Joost Yvo Baeten
Geert Beekhuis
Hendriene Bolhaar
Carla Bundy
Victor Cramer
Ersilia deLannooy
Wouter Elsenburg
Jerrald M. Hasselmeyer
Jose Jardim
Renee Jones-Bos
Freek Keppels
Herman Lutke Schipholt
Elise Raap
Wouter Schipperoort
Jane Semeleer
Emsley D. Tromp
Gerard van der Wulp
Norberto Vieira-Ribeiro
Michael Willem

New Zealand

Governor
Grant H. Spencer
Alternate Governor
Colin Hall
Advisor
Yuong Thanh Ha
Rebekah Mawson
Nicaragua
Governor
Maria Esperanza Acevedo
Alternate Governor
Jose Rojas
Advisor
Nina Maria Conrado Cabrera
Manuel Coronel
Carlos Sequeira

Niger
Governor
Ali M. Lamine Zeine
Alternate Governor
Saadou Bakoye
Advisor
D. Maiga Toure

Nigeria
Governor
Chukwuma C. Soludo
Alternate Governor
Ibrahim Hassan Dankwambo
Temporary Alternate Governor
Sarah Alade
S.O. Monye
Bright Erakpoweri Okogu
Advisor
Alyiu Ahmed
Moses Ajayi
Yakubu Aminu Bello
Godson E. Dinneya
Christopher Osiomha Itsede
Babatunde F. Lawal
Magaji Babura Mahmoud
Baba Y. Musa
Peter J. Obaseki
Dominic Obiekie
Fidel Ogar Odey
Isaac Okoroafor
Ugochukwu Okoroafor
B.O. Opadeji
Hamza Tahir
Godwill Efiong Ukpong

Norway
Temporary Alternate Governor
Audun Groenn
Halvor Hvideberg
Jan Qvigstad
Martin Skancke
Advisor
Jarle Bergo
Bente Stoholen
Anders Svor

Oman
Governor
Ali Mohamed Al Mousa
Alternate Governor
Hamood Sangour Al-Zadjali
Advisor
Jawad Mohammed Jawad Al-Talib

Pakistan
Governor
Shamshad Akhtar
Alternate Governor
Waqar Masood Khan
Advisor
Ehtisham Ahmad
Azizali F. Mohammed

Palau
Governor
Marino Rechesengel
Alternate Governor
Sally Techitong-Soalablai

Panama
Governor
Juan R. de Dianous
Alternate Governor
Hernan Arboleda
Advisor
Alfredo Nicolas Macia Almeida
Jorge Mateo Milwood
Beatriz Ordas de Rodriguez
Papua New Guinea

Governor
Leonard Wilson Kamit

Alternate Governor
Rosamund Wesley

Advisor
Ivan Pomaleu
John Tuaim

Paraguay

Governor
Jorge Corvalan

Temporary Alternate Governor
Carlino Samuel Velazquez Martinez

Advisor
Jose H. Maciel
Julio Taboada

Peru

Governor
Julio Velarde

Temporary Alternate Governor
Renzo G. Rossini

Advisor
Javier Alfonso Luque Gianella
Javier Silva Ruete

Philippines

Governor
Amando M. Tetangco, Jr.

Alternate Governor
Roberto Tan

Temporary Alternate Governor
Rolando Andaya
Ralph G. Recto

Advisor
Edgardo J. Angara
Alfredo C. Antonio
Ricardo Balbido, Jr.
Jimmy Blas
Leonilo G. Coronel
Rey Anthony David
Ejercito Estrada
Willy Calaud Gaa
Jesus Jacinto
Jose Edgar Ledonio
Cecilia de Jesus Lee

Jaime Lopez
Wilhelmina Cruz Manalac
Hermilando Mandanas
Thomas Marcelo
Aurelio Montinola, III
Angelito Nayan
Erika Pulido
Carlos Sorreta
Edna Villa

Poland

Temporary Alternate Governor
Michal Baj
Elzbieta Chojna-Duch

Advisor
Pawel Jerzy Gasiorowski
Dorota Korobiejnikow
Robert Kupiecki
Ludomir Lasocki
Anna Suszynska
Damian Szostek
Remigiusz Urbanowski

Portugal

Governor
Paulo Ernesto Carvalho Amorim

Alternate Governor
Rui Manuel Carvalho

Temporary Alternate Governor
Nuno Mota Pinto

Advisor
Jose Pedro Viegas Cardoso
Maria Jose Vidal

Qatar

Governor
Abdullah Bin Soud Al-Thani

Alternate Governor
Abdulrahman Al-Thani

Advisor
Ahmad Ali Ahmad
Ismail Omar Aldafa
Ali Shareef Al Emadi
Ahmad Mohd Al-Sayed
Mejeb Turki Al-Turki
Rifaat K. Basanti
Romania

Temporary Alternate Governor
Stefan Nanu
Advisor
Lucian Croitoru
Valentin Lazea
Adriana D. Marinescu
Dragos-Valeri Negrescu
Eugen T. Radulescu
Mihai Nicolae Tanasescu

Russian Federation

Governor
Alekssei Kudrin
Alternate Governor
Sergey Ignatiev
Temporary Alternate Governor
Aleksei V. Mozhin
Advisor
Yulia Anikeeva
Andrei Bokarev
Andrei Bugrov
Vladimir Dashko
Timur Eyvazov
Aleksei Fokin
Aleksander Gorban
German O. Gref
Nikolai Griko
Vadim Grishin
Nadezda Ivanova
Vladimir Khrebtov
Sergey Kislyak
Mikhail Korobkin
Andrei Kostin
Pavel Kuznetsov
Dmitry Kvitko
Andrei Lushin
Andrey Matveev
Sergei Nosachov
Lev Valentinovich Palei
Andrei Serebriakov
Oksana Sergienko
Anna Shabunina
Andrey Shinaev
Vasily Titov
Anton Tolstikov
Lidia Nikolaevna Troshina
Yulia Ustyugova

Rwanda

Alternate Governor
Francois Kanimba
Advisor
George Katureebe
James Kimonyo
Christian Shingiro

St. Kitts and Nevis

Governor
Timothy Harris
Alternate Governor
Laurie Lawrence
Advisor
Izben Williams

St. Lucia

Alternate Governor
Garth P. Nicholls
Advisor
Clenie Greer-Lacascade
Michael Louis

St. Vincent and the Grenadines

Alternate Governor
K. Dwight Venner

Samoa

Governor
Nickel Lee Hang
Alternate Governor
Papali’i Tommy Scanlan

San Marino

Governor
Pietro Giacomini
Temporary Alternate Governor
Stefano Caringi

Sao Tome and Principe

Governor
Luis Fernando Moreira de Sousa
Alternate Governor
Edita Diogo Afonso Soares
Sao Tome and Principe (continued)

Advisor
Susan Jayne Akroyd
Juan Carlos Vilanova Pardo
Ovidio Pequeno

Saudi Arabia

Governor
Ibrahim A. Al-Assaf
Alternate Governor
Hamad Al-Sayari
Temporary Alternate Governor
Abdallah S.M. Alazzaz
Advisor
Ahmed Al-Balawie
Hamad Al-Bazai
Khaled M. A. Al-Fayez
Suliman Al-Gwaiz
Abdulrahman Al-Hamidy
Abdullah I. Al-Hudaithi
Abdullatif H. Al-Jaber
Fahad Aljuwaidi
Mubarak Al-Khafra
Abdulhamid Al-Khalifa
Ahmed Al-Kholife
Abdulrahman M. Al-Kudsi
Taha A. Al Kuwaiz
Majid Al-Moneef
Ahmed A. Al Nassar
Saad Mohammed A. Al Nefaece
Saeed Al-Qahtani
Rashed Abdulaziz Al-Rashed
Mansour Al-Saawi
Jammaz Al-Suhaimi
Sulaiman M. Al-Turki
Sami Al-Yousef
Jitendra G. Borpujari
Sami Ben Daamech
Robert Eid
Said H. Hashim
Richard R. Herbert
Mary Karras
Subodh Kumar Keshava
Jean Marion
Melhem F. Melhem
Abdulaziz A. O’Hali
Hutham S. Olayan
Khaled Olayan
Lubna Olayan
Khalid Oudghiri
Aftab Qureshi

Senegal

Governor
Abdoulaye Diop
Advisor
Djibril Camara
Souleymane Diallo
Sogue Diarissou
Adama Diop
Gnoumka Toure DIOUF
Salem Merzoug
Fatoumata Binetou Ndao
Amadou Lamine Ndiaye
Diagne N’Diaye
Birame Sene
Aly SOW
Massar Wague

Serbia

Governor
Radovan Jelasic
Alternate Governor
Ana Gilorijevic
Advisor
Srbojub Antic
Vladislav Cvetkovic
Janko Guzijan
Predrag Kovacevic
Djerdj Matkovic
Olgica Obradovic
Slavica Radojevic

Seychelles

Governor
Danny Faure
Advisor
Caroline Marie Abel

Sierra Leone

Governor
David O. Carew
Alternate Governor
Samura Matthew Wilson Kamara
Temporary Alternate Governor
Alimamy Bangura
Sierra Leone (continued)

Advisor
Abu Bangura
Osman Bangura
Ibrahim Sorie Conteh
Matthew Dingie
Samuel P.O. Itam
Sahr Lahai Jusu
Joseph Tekman Kanu
James Sanpha Koroma
Sheku Mesali
Winston Newman Samuels
Allieu Sesay

Singapore

Advisor
Alphonsus Chung Mun Chia
Han Wen Alvin Eng
Kah Chong Benny Lee
Anna Lisan Ng
Yoke Wang Tok
Nai Seng Wong

Slovak Republic

Governor
Ivan Sramko
Alternate Governor
Michal Horvath
Advisor
Andrej Droba
Marek Jakoby
Martin Kaco
Jana Kovacova
Mario Vircik

Slovenia

Governor
Marko Kranjec
Alternate Governor
Bozo Jasovic

Solomon Islands

Governor
Denton Rarawa
Alternate Governor
McKinnie Dentana

Advisor
Michael Gladstone Brown
Raynold Micah Moveni

South Africa

Governor
Tito Titus Mboweni
Alternate Governor
Jabulani Phillip Moleketi
Advisor
Goolam Aboobaker
Analisa Bala
Lungisa Fuzile
Marlon Geswint
Samantha Henkeman
Reginaold Dumisa Jele
Christopher Loewald
Jason Milton
Aaron Daniel Mminele
Monde Mnyande
Renosi Mokate
Ismail Momoniat
Kurt Joseph Morais
Nhlanhla Nene
Andre Frank Pillay
Cleo Rose-Innes
Michael Sachs
Gerrit van Schalkwyk

Spain

Governor
Pedro Solbes M.
Alternate Governor
Miguel Fernandez Ordonez
Advisor
Mario Delgado
Jorge Dezcallar
Maria Jesus Fernandez
Ramon Guzman Zapater
Pilar L. Hotellerie-Fallois
Maria Jesus Luengo Martin
Luis Marti
Juan Francisco Martinez Garcia
Miguel A. Martinez Rolland
Clara Mira
Pablo Moreno
Alberto Nadal
Soledad Nunez
Spain (continued)

Eva Valle Maestro
Jose Maria Vinals

Sri Lanka

Governor
Sarah Leelananda Bandara
Arunugama

Temporary Alternate Governor
K.G.D.D. Dheerasinghe
Ranee Jayamaha
Rajapakse A. Jayatissa

Advisor
Puwakandawe Weerasinghe

Sudan

Governor
Sabir Mohamed Hassan

Alternate Governor
Elfatih Mohamed Khalid Elbadri

Advisor
Nidal Salaheldin Abubakr Elnour
Fatahahman Ali
Hazim Abdelgadir Ahmed Babiker
Mutasim Yousif Elbadria
Mohamed
Hussein Yahya Jangoul Elbasha
Nagmeldin Hassan Ibrahim
Omer El Farouq Sayed Kamil
Somia Amir Osman

Swaziland

Governor
Martin G. Dlamini

Advisor
Adelaide Sonile Gumbi
Ephraim Mandla Hlophe
Lindiwe Kunene
Khangeziwe Glory Mabuza
Ndumiso Comfort Mamba
Vincent Mawandile Mhlanga
Zwelethu Minsi
Patrick Ben Ndzinisa
Lonkhululeko Sibandze

Sweden

Governor
Stefan Ingves

Alternate Governor
Per Jansson

Temporary Alternate Governor
Bjorn Fritjofsson
Barbro Wickman Parak

Advisor
Ingemar Eriksson
David Farelius
Patrik Granstrom
Mattias Hector
Jens Olof Henriksson
Bo Lundgren
Maria Norstrom
Susanna von Post

Switzerland

Governor
Jean-Pierre Roth

Temporary Alternate Governor
Roberto F. Cippa
Alexander Karrer
Ulrich Kohli
Thomas Moser

Advisor
Emilija Georgieva
Henri Getaz
Werner Hermann
Paul Inderbenin
Hanns Gero Juergen Jung
Manuel Sager
Stephan Schmid
Darlena Tartari Schwegler
Rene Weber
Werner Weber
Markus Zimmerli
Urs Ziswiler

Syrian Arab Republic

Alternate Governor
Adib Mayaleh

Tajikistan

Governor
Sharif Rahimzoda
Tajikistan (continued)

Alternate Governor
Matlubkhon Davlatov
Advisor
Abdujabbor Shirinov
Zavkidjon Zavkiev

Tanzania

Alternate Governor
Benno J. Ndulu
Advisor
Enos Steven Bukuku
Peter Lwali Kadesha
John Benti Kimaro
Harry Msamire Kitilya
Ngosha Said Magonya
Joseph Leina Masawe
Babu Ndeyanka Msami
Mwanaid Athumani Mtanda
Msafiri David Nampesya
Ahmed Amani Ndyeshobola
Johnson Jossia Nyella
Allan Abraham Tuni

Thailand

Governor
Tarisa Watanagase
Alternate Governor
Atchana Waiquamdee
Advisor
Somruedee Rungsiyaphornratana
Chantavarn Sucharitakul
Vachira Arromdee

Timor-Leste

Governor
Nikunj Soni
Alternate Governor
Abraao de Vasconcelos
Advisor
Joao Mariano Saldanha

Togo

Governor
Adjji Oteth Ayassor
Alternate Governor
Mongo Aharh-Kpessou

Tonga

Governor
'Otenei Afu'alo Matoto
Temporary Alternate Governor
Pilimilose Balwyn Faotusia
Advisor
Ana Pul Matoto

Trinidad and Tobago

Governor
Ewart S. Williams
Alternate Governor
Vishnu Dhanpaull
Advisor
Penelope Forde
Anne Joseph

Tunisia

Governor
Taoufik Baccar
Temporary Alternate Governor
Abdellmalek Saadaoui
Advisor
Brahim Hajji
Sadok Rouai
Mohamed El Saleh Souilem

Turkey

Governor
Mehmet Simsek
Alternate Governor
Durmus Yılmaz
Advisor
Serkan Ata
Birol Aydemir
Besir Baymaz
Özgür Demirkol
Omer Karademir
Suleyman Semdinoglu
Sibel Tokgoz
Turkmenistan

Alternate Governor
Dovletgeldi Sadykov

Advisor
Sapargeldy Annarejepov
Dovran Muradnazarov
Mered Bairamovich Orazov

Uganda

Governor
E. Tumusiime-Mutebile

Alternate Governor
Katekyeza L. Kiiza

Advisor
Perezi Kamunanwire
David Kihangire
Fred Muhumuza
Polycarp Musinguzi
George Ndyamuba
Charles Ssentongo

Ukraine

Temporary Alternate Governor
Oleksander Savchenko

Advisor
Volodymyr Fedorchuk
Viktor Kapustin
Sergiy Kruglyk
Volodymyr Kuchyn
Oleksandr Kupchyshyn
Volodymyr Lytvyn
Hennadii Nadolenko
Viktor Nikitiuk
Oleksandr Pinskyi
Olena Scherbakova
H.E Oleh Shamshur
Mykola Udovychenko
Yuriy G. Yakusha
Ihor Zhovkva

United Arab Emirates

Governor
Sultan Bin Nasser Al-Suwaidi

Alternate Governor
Khalid Ali Al-Bustani

Advisor
Hamed Nasser Abdelqader
Marwan Abedin

Abdulrahim M. Al-Awadi
Abdulla Al Awar
Fatema Khamis Al Mazrouei
Ahmed Al Qamzi
Abdulla Al-sabooosi
Nasser Al Shaadi
Majed Saif Al Shamsi
Saif H. Al-Shamsi
Hamad Al Hurr Al Suwaidi
Mohammed Saif G.S. Al-Suwaidi
Hamad Essa Al Zaabi
Bob Clarke
David Eldon
Euart Arthur Pierre Glendinning
Dana Kadrie
Habib Abdulnabi Kazim
Dalia Lahham
Abdulla Mohamed Saleh
Chirag Shah
James Andrew Spindler

United Kingdom

Governor
Alistair Darling

Alternate Governor
Mervyn King

Temporary Alternate Governor
Charlie Bean
Richard Davies
Georgie Drummond
Phil Evans
Stephen Field
Josh Fleming
Alexander Gibbs
Robert Murray Hills
Nicholas B. Joicey
Catherine Macleod
Stephen John Pickford
Hannah Elizabeth Robinson
Daniel Rosenfield
Jenny Scott
James Ian Talbot
Thomas Joseph Thornton
Lindsey Jennifer Whyte

Advisor
Thomas Barry
Jenny Lilian Bates
Niamh Mulholland
Paul Radford
United Kingdom (continued)
Efren Sabillo
Thomas Whinfield Scholar
Bindhi Shah
Tamarah Shakir
Nigel Sheinwald
Matt Smith
Adair Turner
Paul Wright

United States
Governor
Henry M. Paulson, Jr.
Alternate Governor
Ben S. Bernanke
Temporary Alternate Governor
Thomas A. Connors
Daniel Heath
Michael Kaplan
Neel Kashkari
Robert Kimmitt
Donald L. Kohn
Randall S. Kroszner
Clay Lowery
Meg Lundsager
David McCormick
D. Nathan Sheets
Mark Sobel
Advisor
Rawan Abdelrazek
Stephanie Ahern
Jeffrey Baker
Susan Baker
Bryan Balin
Andrew Baukol
Elizabeth Berry
Seth Howard Bleiweis
Jonathan Bloom
Virginia Brandon
Stacy Carlson
Carol Carnes
Terrence J. Checki
Susan M. Chun
Deborah M. Crane
Benjamin Jared Cushman
Nova Daly
Michele Davis
Robert Dohner
Jaroslav I. Dutkewych
William Foster
Kenton Fox
Jamie Franco
Timothy Franz Geithner
Timothy Goode
Michael Grifferty
Kristopher Haag
Mathew P. Haarsager
Richard Hall
Jane T. Haltmaier
Maureen Harrington
Michael Heath
John Hewko
Leslie Hull
Anthony Ieronimo
Rachel Jarpe
Anna Jewell
David Kavanaugh
Nasir Khilji
Lukas Pender Kohler
Judith S. Laufman
Stuart Levey
Stephen Fong-Ming Lin
William Lindquist
Darius Mans
W. Larry McDonald
Carrie McKellogg
Brookly Jean McLaughlin
Eric Meyer
Soniya Mitra
Matthew Mohlenkamp
Wilbur Monroe
Charles Moravec
Richard Morford
William C. Murden
Malachy Nugent
Patrick M. O'Brien
Brian ONeill
Francisco J. Parodi
Parker M. Payne
Daniel Walter Peters
Traci Ann Phillips
Michael Pisa, III
William Pizer
Matthew Poggi
Frances Reid
Sonja V. Renander
Jonathan Rose
Michael Ruffner
Robert W. Saliterman
United States (continued)
  Stephanie Ellen Segal
  Sara Senich
  Everett S.P. Spain
  Luyen Doan Tran
  Matthew Turner
  Beth Urbanas
  James Wilkinson
  Christopher Winship
  Kevin G. Woelflein
  Paul Rutland Wood
  Tsung-Tao Yang

Uruguay
  Governor
  Ruben Walter Cancela Vilanova
  Temporary Alternate Governor
  Aureliano Berro
  Advisor
  Carlos Steneri
  David Vogel Peliart

Uzbekistan
  Governor
  Saidakhmat Rakhimov
  Alternate Governor
  Ravshan Abdukarimov
  Advisor
  Azim Israilovich Akhmedkhadjaev
  Bakhtier Ibragimov
  Abdulaziz Kamilov

Vanuatu
  Governor
  Sela Molisa
  Alternate Governor
  Odô Tevi

Republica Bolivariana de Venezuela
  Alternate Governor
  Floria Caricote Lovera
  Temporary Alternate Governor
  Armando Leon
  Jose Rivas
  Advisor
  Jaime Luis

Vietnam
  Governor
  Nguyen Van Giau
  Alternate Governor
  Le Minh Hung
  Temporary Alternate Governor
  Phong Tien Pham
  Advisor
  Hong Lam Le
  Cau Van Nguyen
  Tuan Anh Tran
  John Hing Vong

Republic of Yemen
  Governor
  Noman Taher Al-Suaibi
  Alternate Governor
  Ahmed Abdul Rahman Al-Samawi
  Advisor
  Abdulwahab Al-Hajjri
  Ibrahim Alnahari
  Tarek Alsharafi
  Omar Salim Bazara
  Ahmed Ahmed Ghaleeb Saeed
  Sami Sofan
  Jala Omar Yaqoub

Zambia
  Governor
  Ng'andu Peter Magande
  Alternate Governor
  Caleb M. Fundanga
  Advisor
  Pamela Kasese Bwalya
  Situmeko Musokotwane
  Nawa Musiwa Muyatwa
  Inyambo Mwanawina
  Kellyford Nkalamo
OBSERVERS, REPRESENTATIVES OF INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, AND SPECIAL INVITEES

Abu Dhabi Fund for Development
Shabeeb Al Darmaki

African Development Bank Group
Donald Kaberuka
Mimi Alemayehou
Thierry de Longuemar
Augustin Flory
Abdulmajed Gadad
Patrick Claude Giraud
Louis A. Kasekende
Frederic Assomption Korsaga
Gantsho Mandla S.V.
Onike Nicol-Houra
Monojeet Pal
Alex Rugamba
Preeti Sinha
Peter Sinon
Graham Murray Stegmann
Timothy Turner
Tetsuya Utamura
Pierre Nicolas van Peteghem
Yogesh Vyas
Magatte Wade
Rolf B. Westling
Azagne George

African Export-Import Bank
Jean-Louis Ekra
Samuel Mugoya
Benédicte O. Oramah

African Fund for Guarantee and Economic Cooperation
Magaye Gaye
Mamâne-Lawal Mossi Bagoudou

African Trade Insurance Agency
Peter M. Jones
Astere Girukwigomba
Israel Kamuzora
Omingo Magara
Cyprien Sakou

African Union
Erastus J.O. Mwencha
Bankole Adeoye
Amina Salum Ali
Louise Bailey
Elham Mahmoud Ahmed Ibrahim
Julius Kagamba
Seraphine Manirambona
Richard M. Mkandawire
Golname Tefera
Rhoda Tumusiime
Olukorede Willoughby
Moctar O.A. Yedaly

Andean Development Corporation
Enrique Garcia
Felix Bergel
Luis Miguel Castilla
Carolina Espana
Gabriel Felpeto
Alejandro Guzmán
Hugo Sarmiento

Arab Bank for Economic Development in Africa
Ebe Ould Ebe

Arab Fund for Economic and Social Development
Abdlatif Y. Al-Hamad
Husam Omar Khalil

Arab Monetary Fund
Jassim Abdulla Al-Mannai
Yisr Burnieh

Asian Development Bank
Haruhiko Kuroda
Shyam Bajpai
Indu Bhushan
Roger Burstyn
Seethapathy Chander
Thelma Diaz
Toshimasa Dojima
Asian Development Bank (continued)
Katherine M. Ferrey
Charles Greenwood
Shuichi Hosoda
Mikio Kashiwagi
Masahiro Kawai
Maria Teresa Kho
Bindu N. Lohani
Dan Millison
Kazu Sakai
Naoki Sakai
Robert Schoellhammer
Warren Smith
Tessa Williams-Robertson

Center for Latin American Monetary Studies
Kenneth G. Coates
Adriana Alverde
Corina Arteche
Luiz Barbosa
Jaime Osvaldo Coronado
Maria Luisa Gutierrez

Central African Economic and Monetary Community
Hassan Adoum Bakht
Benoit Ketchekmen

Central African States Development Bank
Anicet G. Dologuele
Oscar Ngole

Central American Bank for Economic Integration
Nick Rischbieth
Hernan Danery Alvarado
Yu-Yuan Hou
Jose Felix Magana
Alejandro Jose Rodriguez Zamora

Central American Monetary Council
Alfredo Blanco
William Calvo V.

Common Fund for Commodities
Ali Mchumo
Javed Akhtar

Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa
Sindiso Ngwenya
Tidenekialeshe Asfaw
Alex Gitari Kwiminya
Michael Gondwe
Carol Jilombo
Kombo James Moyana
Cris M. Muyunda
ATTENDANCE—OBSERVERS

Commonwealth Secretariat
Indrajit Coomaraswamy
Vasant Kumar Jogoo
Jonathan Ockenden
Constance Elizabeth Vigilance

Cooperation Council for the Arab States of the Gulf
Nasser Ibrahim Al-Kaud

Council of Europe Development Bank
Raphael Alomar
Jacques Mirante Pere
Thierry Poirel
Apolonio Ruiz Ligero
Luca Schio
Imre Tarafas

East African Development Bank
Godfrey B. Tumusiime
Mahesh K. Kotecha

Economic Community of West African States
Mohammed Ibn Chambas
Christian N. Adovelande
Lambert N’galadjo Bamba
Remi Gbaguidi
Comla Kadje
David Lansana Bockari Kamara
Nelson O. Magbagbeola
Mercedes Mensah
Mohamed Ben Omar Ndiaye
Ousseini Salifou
Thierno Bocar Tall

Economic Cooperation Organization
Murat Ulus
Omer Faruk Baykal
Nadeem Karamat

European Bank for Reconstruction and Development
Thomas Mirow
Alex Auboeck
Erik Berglof
Olivier Descamps

European Central Bank
Jean-Claude Trichet
Elisabeth Ardaillon-Poirier
Lorenzo Bini Smaghi
Ricardo Llaudes
Frank Moss
Lucas D. Papademos
Georges Pineau
Francisco Ramon-Ballester
Raymond Ritter
Regina Karoline Schueller
Juergen Stark
Joerg Stephan
Agnese de Leo
Michele Kirstetter

European Commission
Joaquin Almunia
Louis Michel
Moreno Bertoldi
Marco Buti
Elisabetta Cappanelli
Antonio de Lecca
Servaas Deroose
Geraldine Dufort
Karin Gardes-Koutny
Peter Kerstens
Despina MANOS
Amy Medearis
Nigel Nagarajan
Angelos Pangratis
Bernard Petit
Maciej Popowski
Loukas Stemitsiotis
Mattias Sundholm
Heliodoro Temprano Arroyo
Gerassimos Thomas
Benedicte van den Berg
European Commission (continued)
Vlassia Vassikeri
Luc Veron
Benjamin Pearson

European Investment Bank Group
Barbara Bargagli-Petrucci
Sophie Billon
Martin Curwen
Carlos da Silva Costa
Philippe de Fontaine Vive
Bertrand de Mazieres
Thomas Hackett
Plutarchos Sakellaris
Fiona Turner

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
Hafez M.H. Ghanem
Daniel J. Gustafson
Keith Wiebe

Inter-American Development Bank
Luis Alberto Moreno Mejia
Amal-Lee Amin
Edward Bartholomew
Hugo Eduardo Beteta Mendez-Ruiz
Otaviano Canuto
Susana Cardenas
Winston Cox
Soren Elbech
Luis Alberto Giorgio
Gerard S. Johnson
Robert Kaplan
Lori Kerr
Santiago Levy
Eduardo Lora
Angela Marcarino Paris
Hilen Meirovich
Santiago Pastrana
Steven Puig
German Quintana
Bruno Walter Coelho Saraiva
Hans Schulz
Desmond Thomas
Carla Tully
Daniel M. Zelikow

IADB-Inter-American Investment Corporation
Orlando Ferreira Caballero
Steven Reed
Carlos Roa

International Fund for Agricultural Development
Lennart Bage
Uday Abhyankar
Cheryl Morden
Thomas Pesek
Matthew Wyatt
Bonnie Lynn Harris

International Labour Organization
Juan Somavia
Marina Colby
Philippe Egger
Armand Pereira
Stephen Pursey

Islamic Development Bank
Rami Ahmad
Khaled M. Al-Aboodi
Ghassan Youssef Al-Baba
Abdul Aziz M. Zahir Al Hinai
Ghada Attieh
Aftab A. Cheema
Amadou Boubacar Cisse
Sirelkhhatim Sidahmed
Musa Hassan Sillah
Njad Subei
Sidi Mohamed Ould Taleb
Salah Ameur Jelassi

Islamic Financial Services Board
Rifaat Ahmed Abdel Karim
Farrah Mohamed Aris

Kosovo
Ahmet Shala
Gani Gerguri
Ilir Ibrahimimi
Hajdar Korbë
Driton Qehaja
Kosovo (continued)
Arie Rabhofgel
Hashim Rexhepi

Kuwait Fund for Arab Economic Development
Osama Alattal

Latin American Association of Development Financing Institutions
Rommel Acevedo
Ricardo Palma-Valderrama

Latin American Reserve Fund
Rodrigo Bolanos Zamora
Ana Maria Carrasquilla
Juan Carlos Alfaro
Iker Zubizarreta

League of Arab States
Ashraf Riad

Nordic Investment Bank
Johnny Akerholm
Lars Eibeholm
Nils Erik Emilsson
Jens Hellerup
Hilde Kjelsberg
Kari Kukka
Harro Pitkanen
Heidi Susanne Syrjanen

OPEC Fund for International Development
Suleiman Jasir Al-Herbish
Said Aissi
Fuad Albassam
Anajulia Taylhardat de Tarter
Helen Abu Jurji
Ranya Nehmeh

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
Angel Gurria
Richard H. Carey
Carolyn Ervin

Susan Fridy
Stephen Paul Groff
Ian Hawkesworth
Brenda Killen
Jean-Marie LeGrand
Kaori Miyamoto
Gabriela Ramos
Holly Richards
Javier Santiso
Klaus Schmidt-Hebbel
Sandra Wilson

OECD-Development Assistance Committee
Eckhard Deutscher
James Michel
Jens Sedemund

Organization of American States
Victoria Abalo
Irene Klinger
Joaquin Salcedo

Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries
Mohammad Alipour-Jeddi

Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat
Sanjesh Naidu

P. L. O.
Jihad Al Wazir
Aziz Abu-Dagga
Samir Abdullah Ali
Mazen Saleem Jadallah
Mohammad Shtayyeh
Jamila Shami

Southern African Development Community
Angelo Eduardo Mondlane

United Nations
Susan Bajardi
Suzanne Bishopric
Farooq Chowdhury
Hazem Fahmy
United Nations (continued)
Manuel F. Montes
Daniel Platz
Frank Schroeder
David Smith
Jomo Kwame Sundaram
Richard Tyner
Rob Peter Vos

United Nations Children’s Fund
Bjorn Gillsater

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
Heiner Flassbeck

United Nations Development Programme
Kemal Dervis
Paolo Galli
Magnus Magnusson
Ad Melkert
Rosemary Nuamah
Frederick S. Tipson
Marissa Ayento

United Nations Economic Commission for Africa
Abdoulie Janneh
Kasirrim Nwuke

United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean
Ines Bustillo
Helvia Velloso

United Nations Industrial Development Organization
H. Stephen Halloway

West African Economic and Monetary Union
Soumaila Cisse
Abdoulaye Diop
Houessou
Felix Bruno Kafando

West African Monetary Institute
Temitope Oshkioya
Emmanuel Terlumun Adamgbe

Turkey Planning Team 2009 Annual Meetings
Cavit Dagdas
Nurettin Akkaya
Mustafa Soner Aksu
Cemil Mehmet Arslan
Onur Ataoglu
Abdurrahman Atmaca
Nihal Aydogan
Senay Aydogan
Mevlut Bulut
Hasan Canpolat
Simge Colakoglu
Mehmet Comcuoglu
Yavuz Delice
Ebru Ejder
Ozkan Eker
Huseyin Eren
Caner Esentur
Levent Gulsoy
Hakan Gurgen
Aydin Haskebabcı
Ilhan Kara
Dilek Karragac
Ayse Keten
Hatice Kokden
Ayhan Kurtoglu
Erol Kazubasioglu
Didem Emine Mutlay
Ayhan Mutlu
Zeynep Okay
Hatice Camay Ozalp
Ozgur Ozaslan
Ula Pacali
Saadettin Parmaksiz
Mesut Pektas
Mehmet Ali Saglam
Hasan Sahinkaya
Turkey Planning Team 2009 Annual Meetings (continued)
Ercan Tanrisal
Levent Ulku
Mustafa Ustun
Feza Faruk Ustunkaya
Seray Yilmaz
Gultu Yilmazturk
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